

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Supp No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 161 of 2025 with M.A. No. 189 of 2025**

**WO HR Verma (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 20 years in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Supp No. 2)

M.A. No. 186 of 2025 Inre T.A. No. 41 of 2024

**Col Subhash Chandra (Retd)** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri SS Pandey,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. M.A. No. 186 of 2025 has been moved by the petitioner for early disposal of this case.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. T.A. No. 41 of 2024 is fixed for hearing on 10.03.2025.</p> <p>5. Put up this case on date already fixed i.e. <b>on 10.03.2025</b>.</p> <p>6. M.A. aforesaid is disposed off.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 1)**

**EX-A. No. 214 of 20122with M.A. No. 1350 of 2024  
Inre O.A. No. 500 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Bhola Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order of this Tribunal has already been complied with by the respondents and payment has been made to the applicant on 24.09.2024. It is also submitted that interest has also been paid to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant who is present through virtual mode conceded that order has been complied with and payment has been made to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Since order under execution has been complied with, there is no need to pass any order regarding compliance.</p> <p>6. Execution application No. 214 of 20122 is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1350 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>7. This application has been moved by learned counsel for the respondents under Section 35-A of Civil Procedure Code regarding withdrawal of cost imposed against the respondents alongwith affidavit regarding compliance of order of this Tribunal, which is taken on record.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost imposed against the respondents may be set aside in view of Section 35-A of Civil Procedure Code.</p> <p>9. List on <b>09.05.2025</b> for hearing on this aspect.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 280 of 20122 Inre O.A. No. 331 of 2021**

**Ex Cpl Dharm Raj** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Himanshu Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Himanshu Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Preeti Mala, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. DAV, Legal Cell, Departmental Representative for the respondents is also present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents. Cost imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition No 7355 of 2023 against order dated 06.05.2022 passed by this Tribunal has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that writ petition No 7355 of 2023 has been dismissed today by the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.</p> <p>6. OIC Legal Cell, Air Force who is present through virtual mode submits that sanction has been accorded for payment of cost of Rs 75,000/- which shall be deposited shortly.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 15,000/- or bring stay order, if any.</p> <p>8. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost till next date of listing.</p> <p>9. Parties are directed to file copy of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.</p> <p>10. Personal appearance in respect of DAV, Legal Cell is exempted.</p> <p>11. List on <b>09.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 3)**

**Ex Sep Dhananjay Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition in this case is to be filed. He further submits that cost has been deposited. Cost so deposited shall be released in favour of the applicant.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 15,000/- or bring stay order, if any.</p> <p>6. List on <b>09.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 4)

EX-A. No. 107 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 3 of 2017

**Kamlesh Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>4. On behalf of the respondents it is submitted that writ petition in this case is to be filed. Departmental representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit or bring stay order, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (A)                      Member (J) <i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 5)

Ex-A/123 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 245 of 2018

**Nk (TS) Dharamendra Singh Tomar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>4. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that order under execution is under process. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/-. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost till next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.05.2025</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div>

**Ex-A/130 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 981 of 2022**

**Ex Nk (DSC) Anil Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition against order of this Tribunal has been filed which is yet to be listed. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs 10,000/- or bring stay order, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**O.A. No. 220 of 2016**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

## Versus

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</li> <li>2. Supplementary affidavit dated 12.12.2024 filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Pleadings are complete.</li> <li>4. List on <b>27.03.2025</b> for final hearing.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>rathore</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 975 of 2023**

**Ex PO (US) Hemant Mishra (Navy)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

## Versus

### Union of India& Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</li> <li>2. Time was granted to learned counsel for the applicant for filing rejoinder affidavit, which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</li> <li>3. List on <b>08.05.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>rathore</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1493 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2158 of 2023**

**Smt Kosalai P W/o Ex Sep late Gurusamy Perumalsamy** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, which has not been filed.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit on payment of cost of Rs 1,000/-.</li> <li>4. List on <b>08.05.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>rathore</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 10)

O.A. No. 114 of 2024

Smt Suman Budhraja  
M/o late Maj Ankit Budhraja  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd) and Gp Capt RP Singh (Retd), Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present. None is present on behalf of the respondent No 5.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.05.2025</b> for final hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 267 of 2024**

**Smt Anjali Devi W/o Ex Sigmn (late) Kapil Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav,  
Advocates

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</li> <li>2. Application has been moved by learned counsel for the applicant for withdrawal of this O.A.</li> <li>3. Objection on withdrawal of O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw this O.A. on the ground that in this O.A. mother of the deceased soldier has not been made a party. He further submits that complete facts are also not mentioned in the said O.A.</li> <li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that O.A. may be amended rather than withdrawal.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Points raised regarding withdrawal of application being sufficient, application is allowed.</li> <li>8. Learned counsel for the applicant is allowed to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to file afresh.</li> <li>9. O.A. aforesaid is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>rathore</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No.13)**

**O.A. No. 655 of 2024**

**Sgt Dhirendra Pratap Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and shall be filed shortly. She prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 14)

O.A. No. 656 of 2024

Sgt Kulverdhan Saini (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>



Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</li> <li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li> <li>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been provided to learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</li> <li>4. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>rathore</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 16)

O.A. No. 658 of 2024

**Sub (DMT) Akhilesh Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 17)

O.A. No. 1016 of 2024

**Sub S John Kennedy (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 18)

O.A. No. 1017 of 2024

**Ex Sub Melvin Fernandes** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

**O.A. No. 1036 of 2024 with M.A. No. 51 of 2025**

**Ram Piyari Tripathi @ Ram Pyari**  
**W/o Nb Sub late Kaushal Kishor Tripathi** Applicant  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>2. M.A. No. 51 of 2025 has been moved on behalf of the applicant for grant of interim relief, which is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file objection on interim relief sought by the applicant.</li> <li>4. List on <b>08.05.2025</b>.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 20)

O.A. No. 1042 of 2024

Hony Sub Maj Naresh Kumar (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.07.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 21)

O.A. No. 1239 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1392 of 2024

Lt Col Pallavi Varma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1392 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. As reported by the registry, there is delay of 08 months and 13 days in filing this Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1239 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed against order dated 04.10.2023 passed in statutory complaint dated 25.04.2023 rejecting statutory complaint of the applicant and for promotion of the applicant in the rank of Colonel. Relief has also been sought against confidential reports of the applicant. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>08.05.2025</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

# Form No. 4

**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 68 of 2019**

**Ex Sub Nand Lal Gupta**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on <b>14.04.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(Ser No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 797 of 2021**

**Ex Hony Nb Sub Ramesh Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh and Shri Avdhes Shukla,  
Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.04.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 24)

O.A. No. 415 of 2022

**Ex Hav Suresh Kumar Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Col AK Srivastava (Retd) and Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.04.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 25)

O.A. No. 898 of 2022

Col Ramesh Chandra Dixit  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:Applicant in person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan,  
Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant in person and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 3 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No time left.</p> <p>4. List on <b>24.04.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b> <i>rathore</i></p>

**O.A. No. 606 of 2023**

Applicant

## Versus

## Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.07.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 27)

O.A. No. 803 of 2023

Ex Hav Asif Husain

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.02.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. No time left.</div><div>3. List on 01.07.2025 for hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>rathore</div></div></div>

**O.A. No. 861 of 2023**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Santosh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.07.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2(Ser No. 29)

T.A. No. 41 of 2023

Ex Hav Virendra Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Tatsat Shukla, Shri Dhiraj Kumar and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>24.02.2025</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. No time left.</div><div>3. List on <b>01.07.2025</b> for hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>rathore</div></div></div>

**T.A. No. 26 of 2024**

## Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.02.2025</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.04.2025</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>