

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3(SI. No. 1)**

**EX-A. No. 185 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 653 of 2020**

**Ex Flt Cadet Charul Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>02.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX-A No. 321 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 421 of 2020**

**Ex Gnr Ram Sharan @ Ram Saran** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>21.02.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 193 of 2021**

**Sub (NT) Suresh Shirahatti**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks and no more further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>15.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 65 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Maj Nigam Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request of learned counsel for the respondents one week further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>10.02.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 221 of 2022**

**Ex Nk (TS) Krishna Bahadur Chhetri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Argument concluded. Judgment is reserved.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 1080 of 2022**

**Ex Sgt Ram Mohan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>17.02.2023..</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 69 of 2023 with M.A. No. 63 of 2023**

**Ex HFL Virendra Kumar Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri VP Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A. No. 63 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 07 years and 25 days in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No. 69 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>06.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 8)**

**T.A. No. 51 of 2022**

**L/Nk Deepak Kumar** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Anagh Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>01.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anagh Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Similar matter is pending before the Hon'ble AFT, PB, New Delhi.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>24.02.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>