

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 703 of 2023 with M.A. No. 852 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Maj (RT) Krishan Baldev Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 852 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 04 months and 07 days in filing Original Application. Subject matter pertains to re-fixation of pension which being a recurring cause of action, delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks next.</p> <p>8. List on <b>14.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 706 of 2023 with M.A. No. 857 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Satyendra Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar, Advocate holding brief for Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 857 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per office report, there is delay of 09 months and 11 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar, Advocate holding brief for Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>8. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 706 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>13. List on <b>11.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX- A. No. 158 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 242 of 2022**

**Smt Chandmuni Devi, M/o Late Ex Sep Sentu Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This is a fresh execution application for implementation of order dated 14.11.2022 passed in O.A. No. 242 of 2022.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 4)**

**M.A. No. 854 of 2023 with M.A. No. 855 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1069 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants-respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**BHM Jeewan Chandra Papnai (Retd)** Respondent-applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 854 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 months and 14 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 18.01.2023 there has been some delay in filing leave to appeal due to late receipt of instructions from the department. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 03 months and 14 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 855 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 18.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Transferred Application No 1069 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span> <i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX. A. No. 208 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 464 of 2021**

**Ex Nk Desh Raj Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>30.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 6)**

**EX. A. No. 233 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 263 of 2014**

**Ex Hav Ishwar Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate  
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 4 are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondent No 4 prays for and is granted a week's further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 7)**

**EX. A. No. 81 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2018**

**Smt Manpreet Kaur W/o Late Gnr Jagdeep Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>28.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX. A. No. 88 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 133 of 2010**

**Ex Nk Yogendra Singh Tomar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>30.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX. A. No. 100 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 850 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Sharafat Minya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 10)

EX. A. No. 103 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 195 of 2022

**Smt Bodhi Kumari W/o Ex Sep Ilahi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that there is a variation in name of the applicant in court order and records held by the Record Office. She prays for and is allowed a week's time to file correction application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.08.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O. A. No. 121 of 2015**

**Smt Priyanka Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate  
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Notice was issued to respondent No 5 and 6 to which service report has not been placed on record.</li><li>3. Registry is directed to submit report for service of notice to respondent No 5 and 6.</li><li>4. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>5. Applicant present in person has moved an application for adjournment of the case on the ground that her counsel is not available today.</li><li>6. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</li><li>7. List on <b>09.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 1067 of 2022**

**Mrs Aarti Srivastava, W/o Late Col Ravindra Kumar Srivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JL Joel, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JL Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Copy of Court of Inquiry proceedings filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>4. On request of brief holder two weeks time as last opportunity is granted to file counter affidavit.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 268 of 2023 with M.A. No. 281 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Mangala Prasad Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 14)**

**T.A. No. 19 of 2023**

**Ex Pnr Persadi Lal** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri ....., Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On 05.04.2023 petitioner was directed to file bench copy which has not been filed. Today no one is present for the petitioner.</p> <p>3. Petitioner is directed to file bench copy within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>28.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No.15)**

**O.A. No. 120 of 2020**

**Smt Anita Singh, W/o Late Ex Nk Indrajeet Singh & Others** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This is a part heard case.</p> <p>3. List before the appropriate bench on <b>09.08.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 16)**

**T.A. No. 55 of 2022**

**Poonam Devi W/o Late Subhash Chandra** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Bench copy has not been filed by learned counsel for the petitioner.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to file bench copy within a week.</li><li>4. On request of Departmental Representative last opportunity of four weeks was granted to seek instructions for grant of family pension to the applicant but today nothing has been filed on behalf of the respondents.</li><li>5. Two weeks further time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to seek instructions regarding investigation by Army Recruiting Office (ARO), Meerut.</li><li>6. List on <b>03.08.2023</b>.</li><li>7. Let copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 17)**

**T.A. No. 59 of 2022**

**Smt Sadhna Devi W/o Late Arbeshanker Rajdhari Yadav** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Sudeshwar Maurya, Advocate  
Shri DP Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate  
Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Chandra Sewak, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudeshwar Maurya, Shri DP Singh and Shri Abhishek Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Somesh Singh, Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh and Shri Chandra Sewak, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Synopsis filed by learned counsel for the petitioner is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents the case is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>