

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 713 of 2023 with M.A. No. 865 of 2023

Ex Chief Mech-II Dinesh Chandra Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate, Shri RK Singh,
Advocate, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. There is delay of 24 years, 09 months and 02 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection.5. List on 02.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 717 of 2023 with M.A. No. 869 of 2023

Ex Sgt Vinod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate,
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dhiraj Kumar and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. There is delay of 05 years, 06 months and 10 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection.5. List on 12.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 718 of 2023 with M.A. No. 870 of 2023

Ex Nk Shiv Kishor Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 05 years and 10 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>5. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 719 of 2023

Ex Sub Ginesh Kochukuttan Kurup Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Matter pertains to re-fixation of pay which requires adjudication.4. Admit.5. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is not an ex-serviceman as is mentioned in the cause list. He further submits that the applicant is presently serving in the Army and posted at 1 Corps.7. On perusal of record we find that the applicant is not an ex-Army person. Registry is directed to remove word 'ex' from the cause list.8. List on 12.09.2023 <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 5)

O. A. No. 722 of 2023 with M.A. No. 873 of 2023

Ex Sub Hridyanand Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate, Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken over for hearing, Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Applicant has sought relief of refund of recovered money and to stop further recovery.4. Interim relief against recovery from pension has also been made.5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction.6. List on 12.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 6)

O. A. No. 725 of 2023 with M.A. No. 876 of 2023

Ex Nk Purna Bahadur Gurung (DSC)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to delete respondent No 4 from array of parties which is allowed.4. Steps within a week.5. List on 08.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 728 of 2023 with M.A. No. 883 of 2023

Ex PO WTR Bimal Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 883 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per office report, there is delay of 16 years, 03 months and 11 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>8. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 728 of 2023</u></p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>13. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 8)

EX- A. No. 161 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 861 of 2022

Ex Nk (TS) Sul Khan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This is a fresh execution application for implementation of order dated 31.10.2022 passed in O.A. No. 861 of 2022.4. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 11.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 9)

EX- A. No. 164 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 331 of 2019

Smt Prabhawati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This is a fresh execution application for implementation of order dated 02.07.2021 passed in O.A. No. 331 of 2019.4. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 12.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 10)

EX- A. No. 169 of 2022 Inre EX- A. No. 107 of 2019 Inre O. A. No. 226 of 2014

Ex Sub Radhey Shyam Upadhya Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 11)

EX- A. No. 270 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 526 of 2019

Ram Chander Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks and is allowed two weeks further time to file fresh affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 12)

EX- A. No. 371 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 135 of 2021

Smt Bhagwan Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Jagvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction is still awaited. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/-</p> <p>4. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 13)

EX- A. No. 7 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 405 of 2021

Ex Hav (Honey Nb Sub) Radhey Krishna Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Applicant in Person

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Applicant is not present.2. Compliance report has not been filed. Cost imposed has also not been deposited.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance report on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/-.4. List on 14.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 14)

EX- A. No. 70 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 61 of 2022

Ex Hav Rajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 15)

EX- A. No. 131 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 666 of 2020

Smt Susheela Devi wife of Sep (Late) Ram Dulare Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Notice was issued to respondent No 2. Service is still awaited. Registry is directed to place on record report with regard to service of notice.</p> <p>3. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 16)

O. A. No. 219 of 2021

Ex Sep Shriram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vivek Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Shri Nitin Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vivek Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and shri Nitin Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 17)

O. A. No. 193 of 2022 with M. A. No. 1332 of 2022

Ex Hav Hari Om Babu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Order in chamber (infructuous).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 18)

O. A. No. 883 of 2022

Ex L/Nk Ritesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VK Chahar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri VK Chahar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 19)

O. A. No. 926 of 2022

Smt Phool Kumari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Letter received from Senior Treasury Officer, Mainpuri is taken on record.4. On request made by learned counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.5. List on 11.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 20)

O. A. No. 119 of 2023 with M. A. No. 116 of 2023

Ex Spr Rajesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within a week.</p> <p>4. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 21)

O. A. No. 460 of 2020

Ex Sgt Rahul Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with MoD. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time as last opportunity to settle the matter.</p> <p>3. List on 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 3 (Sl. No. 22)

O. A. No. 415 of 2021

Smt Poonam Singh Wife of Sep (Late) Ajay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Shri Mahendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for respondent No 1 to 5 and Shri Mahendra Kumar Mishra and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 8 are present. No one is present for respondent No 6 and 7 i.e. Axis Bank, Kapurthala and Mumbai.</p> <p>2. Annexure 11 and 12 of the counter affidavit of respondents shows that claim of applicant's husband was rejected by respondent No 8. Copy of claim rejection letter of respondent No 8 addressed to Axis Bank Ltd, Axis House, C-2, Wadai International Centre, PB Marg, Worli, Mumbai is filed as annexure-12, whereas respondent No 8 i.e. New India Assurance Co. Ltd, Mumbai has stated in para 3 of counter affidavit that no claim intimation and documents with regard to the death of the applicant's husband has been received by the respondents i.e. New India Assurance Co. Ltd, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (Annexure-9) is stated to be signed between IHQ of MoD (Army) and Axis Bank.</p> <p>4. In view of the above circumstances and facts of the case we are of the view that for proper adjudication of this case it is necessary to summon respondent No 6 and 7 alongwith necessary documents regarding said MOU and claim of the applicant's husband Sep (late) Ajay Kumar Singh.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to issue notice to respondent No 6 and 7 to appear in person before this bench alongwith copy of necessary documents regarding claim of the applicant's husband Sep (late) Ajay Kumar Singh and said MOU.</p> <p>6. List on 16.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

