

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 175 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 990 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Karan Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 20.02.2023 passed in O.A. No. 990 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)

T. A. No. 35 of 2023

Smt Seema Devi petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. This transferred application has been received from Hon'ble High Court of judicature at Allahabad. Shri Shamsad Alam, Advocate appeared and filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shamsad Alam, learned counsel for the petitioner and and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to provide bench copy.</p> <p>5. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 3)

T. A. No. 37 of 2023

Smt Shweta Singh petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. This Transferred application has been received from Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.</p> <p>3. On the case being taken up for hearing, no one is present on behalf of the petitioner. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to issue notice to petitioner returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 568 of 2021

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Jawahar Lal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief for Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant has moved amendment application dated 31.12.2022 which is taken on record. It will be heard at the time of final hearing.</p> <p>3. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 608 of 2022

B Ekta Suman Srinivas W/o Late Wg Cdr Bhamidipati Srinivas Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This is a part heard case.</p> <p>3. List before the appropriate bench on 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 987 of 2022

Ex NK Budhi Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VK Pandey, Advocate, Shri Girish Tiwari,
Advocate, Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VK Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks and no more time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 988 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Chhabi Nath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate, Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate, Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1058 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1266 of 2022

Ex Nk Arvind Kumar Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1266 of 2022</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 74 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Bhanu Prxatap Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1058 of 2022</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. It is submitted that other objections raised by the respondents will be considered at the time of hearing.</p> <p>13. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1063 of 2022

Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Shekh Istak

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1 of 2023

Ex Nk Rauf Khan (DSC) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. It has been informed that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench of AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>5. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 42 of 2023

Rakesh Kumar Singh S/o Late Ex Sep Ramvir (Ramveer) Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kuma , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.4. List on 18.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 433 of 2023

Ex NK Suresh Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed two weeks and no more time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 434 of 2023

Ex Hav Tikendra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 435 of 2023

Ex Hav Trilok Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 342 of 2020

Dashartha W/o Late Sep Prem Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Ms Priyanka Yadav, Advocate holding brief for Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability of the case. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of respondent No 4.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Objection on maintainability, if any, shall be heard at the time of hearing.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for amendment which shall also be heard at the time of final hearing.</p> <p>6. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 414 of 2021

Smt Usha Devi M/o Late AC Satyam Aarya Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 494 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Narayan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Nisar Ahmed, Advocate
Shri Nishant Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that grievance of the applicant has already been redress and amount has been paid to the applicant. Regarding this an affidavit has been filed by respondent No 4. It is further submitted that CPPC has already been changed from New Delhi to Allahabad. Thus, he submits that O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. None is present for the applicant to oppose the above submission.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, this O.A. is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 18)

T.A. No. 15 of 2017 with M.A. No. 35 of 2020

Bhupendra Singh Bhaduria Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Subject matter relates to General Court Martial which does not pertain to this bench.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to list before the appropriate bench as per roster on 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>