

(Supplementary)
Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 782 of 2023 with M.A. No. 932 of 2023

Ex Sub Hridayanand Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 14 years, 05 months and 12 days to which applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on 30.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

(Supplementary)

Form No 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 785 of 2023 with M.A. No. 934 of 2023

Smt Babita Chand W/o Ex Nk Late Vinod Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 934 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per office report, there is delay of 10 months and 04 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Heard Shri VK Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>8. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 785 of 2023</u></p> <p>10. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>13. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 767 of 2023 with M.A. No. 922 of 2023

Ex Sub Rakesh Kumar Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Shri Onkar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 922 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 06 months and 03 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Satya Narayan Mishra and Shri Onkar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 767 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next</p> <p>12. List on 30.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 771 of 2023 with M.A. No. 924 of 2023

Smt Salochana Devi W/o Ex Hav Late Lakshman Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

Mrs. Upasna Mishra, Advocate, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 924 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 01 month and 09 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 771 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next</p> <p>12. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 773 of 2023 In Ref: with M.A. No. 927 of 2023

Ex Hav Manoj Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 927 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 10 months and 02 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 773 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next</p> <p>12. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 778 of 2023 In Ref: with M.A. No. 931 of 2023

Col Hriday Narain Kaushal (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rama Kant and Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 10 years, 06 months and 18 days in filing Original Application for which applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 5)

O. A. No. 788 of 2023 with M.A. No. 937 of 2023

Smt Neelam Singh W/o Late Ex PTR Byas Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate, Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate, Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 15 years, 02 months and 16 days in filing Original Application for which applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on 23.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Sl. No. 6)

M. A. No. 925 of 2023 with M.A. No. 926 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 30 of 2023

Ex Nk Pawan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Shri Onkar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Satya Narayan Mishra and Shri Onkar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 925 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 05 months and 14 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 20.12.2022 some time has elapsed in getting instructions from the applicant for filing leave to appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the applicant in giving instructions for filing of the present application, delay of 05 months and 14 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>6. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 926 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.12.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 30 of 2020.</p> <p>8. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in the matter for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 7)

M. A. No. 929 of 2023 with M.A. No. 930 of 2023 Inre O.A. No.23 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Hav (PA) Sachin Kumar Yadav

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. On perusal of the record we find that this is a second leave to appeal filed against order dated 14.10.2022 passed in O.A. No. 23 of 2022. Earlier applicant-respondents had filed leave to appeal i.e. M.A. No. 577 of 2023 and this was rejected by the Tribunal vide order dated 25.04.2023 passed after hearing of the learned counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p>3. In Para 7 of leave to appeal it is mentioned that applicant-respondents has not previously filed any application. Thus incorrect facts have been mentioned in the application.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to issue notice to concerned counsel as to why appropriate action should not be initiated for mentioning wrong facts before this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. List on 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 8)

EX- A. No. 175 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 629 of 2017

Ex JWO Vishnu Deo Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply to objection within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy be provided to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 9)

EX- A. No. 176 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 58 of 2018

Ex JWO Ashok Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply to objection within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy be provided to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 10)

EX- A. No. 177 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 119 of 2018

Ex JWO Vishnu Deo Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate
Shri Avaneesh Pal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh and Shri Avaneesh Pal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection against compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, on compliance report may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 11)

EX- A. No. 178 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 635 of 2017

Ayodhya Tiwari S/o Late Ex Sub Brij Behari Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 12)

EX- A. No. 179 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 621 of 2017

Ex JWO Bachcha Jee Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply to objection within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy be provided to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 13)

EX- A. No. 180 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 624 of 2017

Ex JWO Raj Nath Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply to objection within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy be provided to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 14)

EX- A. No. 181 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 623 of 2017

Arun Kumar Tiwari S/o Ex Sub Deo Nandan Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 15)

EX- A. No. 182 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 117 of 2018

Smt Sumitra Devi W/o Late Ex WO Shyamala Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed.3. Respondents are directed to file reply to objection within two weeks.4. List on 19.09.2023.5. Copy be provided to the respondents. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 16)

EX- A. No. 183 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 641 of 2017

Ex WO Mukhtyar Ahmed

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply to objection within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy be provided to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 17)

EX- A. No. 284 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 98 of 2011

Smt Ram Kumari Pandey W/o Moti Lal Pandey (Deceased) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks and no more further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 18)

EX- A. No. 11 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 817 of 2021

Ex ACP Nb Sub Manendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that supporting documents have not been provided by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to provide supporting documents pertaining to CEA within two weeks, as mentioned in order dated 25.03.2022.</p> <p>4. List on 08.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 924 of 2022

Ex Nk Vijay Bahadur Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder has not been filed.</p> <p>3. List on 17.07.2023 as part heard case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1013 of 2022

Ex POMA Parijat Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: In Person, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties.2. In the interest of justice the case is adjourned.3. List on 06.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 11 of 2023

Smt Raj Kanwar W/o Late Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Hari Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay kumar Pandey i, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 15 of 2023

Ex Hav (Hav Nb Sub) Krishnapal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate, Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate, Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 40 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ram Prakash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 167 of 2021

Smt Prem Bati, W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Ram Sarup Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate, Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks and no more further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 197 of 2021

Smt Prem Bati, W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Ram Sarup Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate, Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 534 of 2022

Ex Sub Rajendra Bahadur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>