

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**EX- A. No. 828 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Kumar Vikaram Gurung**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions.</p> <p>4. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**EX- A. No. 196 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 255 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Jai Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Shri yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 29.11.2022 passed in O.A. No. 255 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>15.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 3)

M. A. No. 986 of 2023 with M.A. No. 987 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 170 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Karamvir

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent:

Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 986 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 months and 17 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 21.02.2023 there has been some delay in filing leave to appeal due to late receipt of instructions from the department. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 03 months and 17 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1001 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 21.02.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 170 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that in order dated 21.02.2023 only direction was issued to consider case of the applicant and no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 4)

M. A. No. 992 of 2023 with M.A. No. 993 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 582 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Versus

Lt Col Sharma Vipin Jaishree Radheshyam (Retd)

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for applicant-respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M. A. No. 992 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for grant of leave to appeal in O.A. No. 582 of 2022. Admittedly, the leave to appeal has been filed with delay of 08 months and 09 days and the grounds shown in delay condonation application being not sufficient, application for condonation of delay is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 993 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed with delay condonation application. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected.</p> <p>4. In consequence thereof the application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected.</b></p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 5)

M. A. No. 1000 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1001 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 352 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Versus

Rifleman Chanda Mohan Singh Respondent-applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1000 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 05 months and 18 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 22.12.2022 there has been some delay in filing leave to appeal due to late receipt of instructions from the department. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 05 months and 18 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1001 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 22.12.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 352 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX- A. No. 192 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 438 of 2019**

**Ex Hav Kamal Dev Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of cost of Rs 10,000/-.</p> <p>3. Order dated 21.03.2023 is rectified to read as under:- <u>Line 7</u> For            The cost so deposited shall be paid to learned counsel for the applicant  Read          The cost so deposited shall be payable to applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX- A. No. 344 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 853 of 2021**

**Ex Nk Roop Chand Kuril** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that compliance has been made in part wherein PPO has been issued but the PDA i.e. bank has withheld the amount. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that he intends to implead respondent No 5 and 6 as necessary party to which respondents have no objection. Application is allowed.</p> <p>3. Notice has been received by Shri DC Lohumi on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate change within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>13.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX- A. No. 127 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 670 of 2020**

**Uday Bhan**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 797 of 2022**

**Smt Guddi Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Shish Ram Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order <b>reserved</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 844 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Santosh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.09.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 849 of 2022**

**Sub MV Karunagaran**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and counter affidavit will be filed shortly. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 924 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Vijay Bahadur Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite granting sufficient opportunities rejoinder affidavit has not been filed, therefore opportunity to file the same is closed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.07.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 927 of 2022**

**Smt Munni Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, a week's further time as last opportunity is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1077 of 2022**

**Lt Col Aakash Arora** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satya Saharawat, Advocate  
Ms. Aditi Laxman, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satya Saharawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 26 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Sandeep Kumar Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time to file policy letter pertaining to this case.</p> <p>4. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 555 of 2023**

**Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Rakesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>17.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>