

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**Diary No. 2047 of 2023**

**Lt Col Susheel Kumar Mahapatro** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. There is delay in filing Original Application. Registry has raised objection in filing O.A.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has challenged letter dated 30.12.2022 and 07.02.2023. He further submits that there is no delay as this O.A. was filed on 04.07.2023.</li><li>5. Applicant has challenged order dated 30.12.2022 by which maintenance allowance was sanctioned to respondent No 6, 7 and 8 by GOC-in-C, Central Command and recovery started vide order dated 07.02.2023.</li><li>6. Matter needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Issue notice to respondent No 6, 7 and 8 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</li><li>9. List on <b>29.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 4)

M.A. No. 1030 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1031 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 395 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex L NK Kulwinder Singh

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1030 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 04 months and 17 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 25.01.2023 there has been some delay in filing leave to appeal due to late receipt of instructions from the department. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 04 months and 17 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1031 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 25.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 395 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that in order dated 25.01.2023 only direction was issued to consider case of the applicant for grant of 2<sup>nd</sup> MACP and no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Diary No. 1299 of 2023**

**Smt Dilsara Devi Gauchan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Defects pointed out by the registry has not been removed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to remove defects.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Diary No. 1300 of 2023**

**Smt Gom Jom Ghaleni** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Defects pointed out by the registry has not been removed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to remove defects.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 3)**

**Diary No. 1301 of 2023**

**Smt Dhan Maya Pun** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Defects pointed out by the registry has not been removed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to remove defects.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Diary No. 1302 of 2023**

**Smt Bol Maya Poudel Alias Bal Maya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Defects pointed out by the registry has not been removed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to remove defects.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX- A. No. 2 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 695 of 2020**

**Col Sanjeev Agnihotri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Cost has not been deposited.</li><li>3. PIFA was summoned vide order dated 19.05.2023 to appear in person. Today she is not present. An affidavit has been filed by PIFA to waive her personal appearance on the ground of accidental injury and health issues related to post surgery. Accordingly, her physical presence is exempted.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 26.06.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>28.08.2023</b> on which date the officer responsible from PIFA shall remain present through video conferencing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 6)**

**EX- A. No. 82 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 508 of 2019**

**Smt Kalinder Devi alias Kalindi Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded today. She prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>4. List on <b>24.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 7)**

**EX- A. No. 153 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Chintamani Jaiswal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed but cost has been deposited.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX- A. No. 154 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Nagendra Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX- A. No. 155 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Kumar C S** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 10)**

**EX- A. No. 156 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Ramesh C** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 11)**

**EX- A. No. 157 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Subrat Kumar Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 12)**

**EX- A. No. 158 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Ram Surat Baitha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 13)**

**EX- A. No. 159 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Anil Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 14)**

**EX- A. No. 160 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Dharmdeo Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 15)**

**EX- A. No. 161 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Onkar Singh Lakhoidya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 16)**

**EX- A. No. 162 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Raman Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 17)**

**EX- A. No. 163 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Vinod Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 18)**

**EX- A. No. 164 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Naresh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 19)**

**EX- A. No. 165 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Prit Paul** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 20)**

**EX- A. No. 166 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Smt Sugandhi Giri, W/o Late Ex Hav (Dvr MT) Anand Giri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 21)**

**EX- A. No. 167 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 37 of 2020**

**Hav (AA) Beerendra Pratap Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that SLP has been dismissed on 03.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 22)**

**EX- A. No. 216 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 501 of 2018**

**Smt Geeta Pal W/o Late Ex Cfn Ramesh Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Cost has not been paid. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost shall be deposited shortly.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the case file was returned by PIFA to MoD on 21.06.2023.</li><li>4. PIFA was directed to appear in person. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that she could not appear before the bench because of her accidental injury and health issues related to post surgery. She has prayed to permit her to appear through video conferencing.</li><li>5. List on <b>28.08.2023</b> on which date the officer responsible from PIFA shall remain present through video conferencing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 23)**

**EX- A. No. 302 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 256 of 2020**

**Smt Haruli Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Ram Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Neither affidavit of compliance has been filed not has the cost been deposited.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with MoD. He further submits that cost shall be deposited shortly.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be deposited in the registry by the date fixed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>28.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 766 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Navin Chandra Tewai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Notice issued to respondent No 4 i.e. bank is deemed sufficient. None is present on behalf of respondent No 4. The case shall proceed ex-parte.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has already been filed. Supplementary affidavit on behalf of respondent No 5 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>13.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 461 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Sunil Kumar Gupta**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate, Mrs. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that after the Six Central Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1<sup>st</sup> July, as the date of increment for all Government Employees, thereafter, the applicant being retired on 30.06.2015 is entitled for grant of last increment due on 01.07.2015 as per decision of the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of <i>P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others</i> (W.P. No. 15732 of 2017, decided on 15.09.2017).</li><li>4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the applicant had served for complete one year from the date of his last annual increment, but he had not been granted annual increment as on the date of his discharge i.e. 30.06.2015 as per policy in vogue since the date of annual increment falls on the following day i.e. 01.07.2015. Therefore, benefit of the Hon'ble Madras High Court order being <i>in personam</i> cannot be extended to the applicant and hence, Original Application is liable to be dismissed.</li><li>5. The law on notional increment has already been settled by the Hon'ble Madra High Court in the case of <i>P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others</i> (Supra). Against the said Judgment the Union of India had preferred Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.22282 of 2018 which was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 23.07.2018. The relevant portion of the Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Madras Court is excerpted below:-  <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"5. The petitioner retired as Additional Director General, Chennai on 30.06.2013 on attaining the age of superannuation. After the Sixth Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1st July as the date of</i></p></li></ol>

increment for all employees by amending Rule 10 of the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008. In view of the said amendment, the petitioner was denied the last increment, though he completed a full one year in service, i.e., from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013. Hence, the petitioner filed the original application in O.A.No.310/00917/2015 before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench, and the same was rejected on the ground that an incumbent is only entitled to increment on 1st July if he continued in service on that day.

6. In the case on hand, the petitioner got retired on 30.06.2013. As per the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008, the increment has to be given only on 01.07.2013, but he had been superannuated on 30.06.2013 itself. The judgment referred to by the petitioner in State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its [Secretary to Government, Finance Department and others v. M. Balasubramaniam](#), reported in CDJ 2012 MHC 6525, was passed under similar circumstances on 20.09.2012, wherein this Court confirmed the order passed in W.P.No.8440 of 2011 allowing the writ petition filed by the employee, by observing that the employee had completed one full year of service from 01.04.2002 to 31.03.2003, which entitled him to the benefit of increment which accrued to him during that period.

7. The petitioner herein had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2013, but the increment fell due on 01.07.2013, on which date he was not in service. In view of the above judgment of this Court, naturally he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service, though the date of increment falls on the next day of his retirement. Applying the said judgment to the present case, the writ petition is allowed and the impugned order passed by the first respondent-Tribunal dated 21.03.2017 is quashed. The petitioner shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2013, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. No costs.”

6. In view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Madras High Court, upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court, we are of the view that since the applicant had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2015, but the increment fell due on the next day of his retirement 01.07.2015, on which date he was not in service, he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service.

7. In view of the above, the Original Application is **allowed**. The impugned order, if any, is set aside. The applicant shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2014 to 30.06.2015, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2015, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. The respondents are directed to issue fresh Corrigendum P.P.O. accordingly. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

8. Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 27)**

**T.A. No. 23 of 2023**

**Munni Lal alias Munne Lal**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri ....., Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Notice sent to petitioner has returned back with endorsement that he has left the house and is not residing there. Notice has not been served on him.</p> <p>3. Let notice be issued to petitioner's counsel who had filed the petition in Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad for informing the petitioner regarding this case.</p> <p>4. List on <b>04.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.3 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 607 of 2020**

**Sep Prem Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Shri Dinesh Kumar Pushpakar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri R C Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. No rejoinder affidavit has been filed for the last two years.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays and is granted two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>16.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>