

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 842 of 2023

Ex Sgt Sri Saran Srivastava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on admission.</p> <p>3. A preliminary objection has been raised by learned counsel for the respondents on maintainability of this O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any, on maintainability.</p> <p>4. List on 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 845 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1048 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ajab Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1048 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 02 years, 06 months and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 845 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 849 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Bachchu Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on admission.3. Matter being related to grant of enhance service pension needs adjudication.4. Admit.5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.6. List on 18.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 852 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1053 of 2023

Ex Nk (TS) Satendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1053 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 10 months and 15 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 852 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 854 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1056 of 2023

Ex RFN Sandeep Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1056 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 01 year and 07 months in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 854 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 857 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1057 of 2023

Ex Nk (TS) Sandeep Bahadur Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1057 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 10 months and 15 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 857 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 861 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1083 of 2023

Ex Hav (ACP NB Sub) Santosh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1083 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 10 months and 16 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 861 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1039 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 195 of 2022

Smt Bodhi Kumari W/o Ex Sep Ilahi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1039 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This application has been moved by the applicant for correction in order dated 31.10.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 195 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that due to typographical error name of applicant was wrongly typed as Smt Bodhi Kumari instead of Smt Bohdi Kumari whereas in PPO issued by the respondents her name is correctly mentioned as Smt Bohdi Kumari.</p> <p>5. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List on 16.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Suppl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 408 of 2022

Rect Rinku Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments heard.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 394 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 814 of 2021

Ex ACP Nb Sub Manendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of Rs 5,000/- which shall be payable to bar association</p> <p>3. List on 22.09.2023.</p> <p>4. Copy of order be provided to respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 325 of 2023 with M.A. No. 442 of 2023

LME Aditya Arun (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present. No one is present on behalf of respondent No 5.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 4. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Service to respondent No 5 is deemed sufficient. The case shall proceed ex-parte against respondent No 5.</p> <p>4. List on 05.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 350 of 2023

Ex CPL Sibnath Banerjee

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present. No one is present on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6.2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 4 is taken on record.3. O.A. shows that party name of respondent No 5 has not been mentioned correctly. Applicant is directed to correct the party name within a week.4. Notice has not been sent to respondent No 5 and 6. After making necessary correction issue notice to respondent No 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.5. List on 18.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 360 of 2023 with M.A. No. 418 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Birendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amrendra Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amrendra Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 476 of 2023

Ex Sep ACP-1 Rakesh Chandra Pandey & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 31.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 6)

EX- A. No. 195 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 352 of 2017

Hony Nb Sub Suresh Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Similar matter is pending before the Larger Bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 7)

O. A. No. 575 of 2019 alongwith T.A. No. 1312 of 2010

Smt Roopa Singh Teveotia,
W/o Ex Hav (Late) Aman Pal Singh Teveotia Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate holding brief for Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 31.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 103 of 2021

Sep Vinod Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to provide original documents vide order dated 19.04.2023. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that today original documents are not available. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the bench.</p> <p>3. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 95 of 2022

Ex Rect Ravindra Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Since no one is present on behalf of the applicant, the case is passed over for the day in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. List on 23.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.3 (Sl. No. 10)

O. A. No. 335 of 2022 alongwith O.A. No. 554 of 2022

Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. O.A. No. 554 of 2022 is tagged with this O.A. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since O.A. No. 554 of 2022 has already been disposed off, it should be detached from the present case.3. We find that O.A. No. 554 of 2022 has already been disposed off vide order dated 17.04.2023, let the same be detached from O.A. No. 335 of 2022.4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he wants to file supplementary counter affidavit clarifying policy with regard to entitlement of pension. He is allowed to file supplementary counter affidavit within two weeks.5. List on 29.08.2023.6. Copy of this order be provided to respondents. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>