

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

DY.- No. 1116 of 2014 with EXA. 104 of 2013

Mithilesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A.- No. 1701 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1702 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 392 of 2012)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicants

Versus

Mahendra Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1703 of 2014 with M.A. 1704 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 172 of 2012)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicants

Versus

Lokendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 02.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 206 of 2013 with M.A. No. 357 of 2014

Suresh Kumar Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 03.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 161 of 2014

Sgt Sandeep Singh Kushwaha
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 11.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 727 of 2010

Jaswant Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 22.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 89 of 2012

Pirtu Lakra

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>28.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A) is on leave; hence put up on 23.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1513 of 2014
(Inre: T.A. No. 58 of 2013)

P Raghavan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>27.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) is on leave; hence put up on 09.01.2015.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1680 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1064 of 20134

Nil Kant Gain

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>27.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) is on leave; hence put up on 02.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

**M.A. No. 83 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1122 of 2014, M.A. No. 1123 of 2014,
M.A. 1342 of 2014 & M.A. No. 1343 of 2014
(Inre : O.A. No. 243 of 2011)**

Sgt Kesho Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Air Chief Marshal Arup Raha & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>27.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) is on leave; hence put up on 04.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

**M.A. No. 400 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1334 of 2014 & M.A. No. 1339 of 2014,
(Inre : O.A. No. 334 of 2011)**

RD Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Air Chief Marshal Arup Raha & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>27.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) is on leave; hence put up on 04.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 82 of 2014

Yogesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>27.11.2014</u></p> <p>One Hon'ble Member of the Bench i.e. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) is on leave; hence put up on 04.12.2014.</p> <p>I/C Registrar</p> <p>rpm/-</p>	

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 04 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 34 of 2013)

Ram Chandra Tiwari

In person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: The applicant in person, and Mrs. Veera Bahadur Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the respondents produced a copy of the order dated 21.11.2014 passed by the Chief of the Army Staff under Section 164(2) of the Army Act, 1950 on the petition dated 8.2.2012 of the applicant after serving a copy of the same on the applicant, who is appearing in person. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>The applicant, who is appearing in person, after receiving the aforesaid copy of the order from Mrs. Veera Bahadur Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, states that since his petition has been disposed of, as above, and the judgment and order dated 19.9.2013, passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 34 of 2013, has been complied with, this Execution Application be disposed of as</p>

infructuous.

Accordingly, this Execution Application No. 02 of 2014 is dismissed as infructuous.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 896 of 2014 with M.A. No. 897 of 2014

(Inre: T.A. No 364 of 2010)

Hari Baboo Nishad

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This petition has come on transfer from the Allahabad High Court and renumbered as T.A. No. 364 of 2010.</p> <p>We find that this petition/T.A. has been admitted by the Allahabad High Court on 21.2.2007.</p> <p>In this case counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged and the matter is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>Accordingly, list this case for hearing on its turn, i.e. on 10.2.2015, before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, the name of Shri D.K. Pandey be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 124 of 2014

Prem Singh Negi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs. Veera Bahadur Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, learned counsel for the respondents states that counter affidavit is ready and will be filed before the Registry during the course of the day after serving a copy of the same on learned counsel for the applicant. If the counter affidavit is filed, the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 2.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>On the next date learned counsel for the respondents will satisfy this Court as to whether after summary trial the O.A. is maintainable under Section 15 of the A.F.T. Act, 2007 or not.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 175 of 2014

Lt Col Harshwardhan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Alok Mathur holding brief of Shri R.K. Verma, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>From the order-sheets we find that this O.A. has been admitted by this Tribunal on 29.8.2014. However, while going through the O.A. we noticed that are serious allegations levelled by the applicant against respondent no. 4 regarding adverse entries made by him on the C.R. of the applicant pertaining to the period from 7.12.2004 to 31.5.2005 and from 1.6.2005 to 31.5.2006, since respondent no. 4 was the Commanding Officer of the applicant. It requires reply from respondent no. 4. As such, we find it just and proper that notice should be issued to respondent no. 4.</p> <p>Accordingly, issue notice to respondent no. 4 to file counter affidavit within three weeks from the date of service of notice. Learned counsel for the applicant will take steps within three days.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents, as prayed, may file counter affidavit in the meantime.</p>

We also find from the order-sheet dated 11.11.2014 that the respondents were directed to produce original record of the applicant including his ACR before this Court, but the respondents failed to produce the same.

Learned Standing Counsel is again directed to ensure production of the original record of the applicant including his ACR before this Court on the next date.

List on 5.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 339 of 2010

Krishan Pal

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>From the order-sheets we find that this case has not yet been admitted, though it has been listed for hearing.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri Rohit Kumar, list this case on 1.12.2014 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 38 of 2012

Subhash Chandra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Prakhar Kankan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, is busy in High Court. He, therefore, prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>However, on going through the file and the order-sheets therein, we find that this T.A. has not yet been admitted.</p> <p>Office is directed to list the case on 15.1.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 542 of 2010

Bhagwat Prasad Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. PritiTyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised, Shri. P. N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. A person claiming himself Shri Bhagwat Prasad Mishra, applicant is present and states that his counsel Shri P.N. Chaturvedi is arguing a case in some other court and requested for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 11.02.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 65 of 2010

Ganesh Babu KV

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, and Capt. PritiTyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised, Shri. P. N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. A person claiming himself Shri Ganesh Babu K.V., applicant is present and states that his counsel Shri P.N. Chaturvedi is arguing a case in some other court and requested for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 12.02.2015 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 278 of 2012

Hemendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, O.A. No. 278 of 2012 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 119 of 2010

Ravindra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised, Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief and requested for adjournment. As such, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 12.01.2015 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 531 of 2010

Sultan Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Secy GOI & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>List has been revised. Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>This case has been received on transfer from the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and renumbered as Transferred Application no. 531 of 2010.</p> <p>We have gone through the records and order sheets of this petition and it comes out that the petition has not yet been admitted.</p> <p>Accordingly, list this case on 13.02.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1604 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 59 of 2013)

Jitendra Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Pradeep Chandola, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This Misc. Application No. 1604 of 2014 has been filed by the applicant for recall of the order dated 29.10.2014, passed by this Court, dismissing O.A. No. 59 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of the recall application. The reasons shown in the affidavit seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the order dated 29.10.2014, dismissing the O.A. No. 59 of 2013 for non-prosecution, is hereby recalled and the O.A. is restored to its original number, provided the applicant deposits a sum of Rs. 500/- to the AFT Bar Association within a period of ten days.</p> <p>List on 2.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1661 of 2014 with M.A. No.1662 of 2014

(Inre: T.A. No. 123 of 2011)

Lt Col Rohit Mittal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rakesh Johri, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Col. G.J. Manhas & Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Rakesh Johri stated that this case will be argued by Shri Rajiv Manglik and Shri Manglik is busy in another Court. Shri Johri, therefore, prays that this case be adjourned for the day and be listed on 9.12.2014 since this Court on 8.10.2014 has ordered for listing of this case on 9.12.2014. Col. G.J. Manhas has no objection about listing of this case on 9.12.2014.</p> <p>Accordingly, list this case on 9.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster. When the case is next listed, the name of Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey be shown as counsel for the respondents, and the names of Shri Rajiv Manglik & Shri Rakesh Johri be shown as counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1663 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 192 of 2014)

Brijesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dileep Singh on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri R.P. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Dileep Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Misc. Application No. 1663 of 2014 has been filed by the applicant for setting aside the order dated 11.11.2014, dismissing O.A.(A) No. 192 of 2014 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of the aforesaid application. The reasons shown in the affidavit seems to be genuine. Accordingly, Misc. Application No. 1663 of 2014 is allowed and the order dated 11.11.2014 is hereby recalled, provided the applicant deposits a sum of Rs. 300/- to the AFT Bar Association within a period of ten days from today. If the amount of Rs. 300/- is deposited within the aforesaid period, the O.A.(A) be restored to its original number.</p> <p>List on 4,2,2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1664 of 2014 with M.A. No.1665 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 165 of 2013)

Parikram Jit Singh & Another
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>In this case two applications have been filed. Misc. Application No. 1664 of 2014 has been moved by the applicant for condoning the delay in filing Misc. Application No. 1665 of 2014 for recall of the order dated 3.9.3014, dismissing O.A. No. 165 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of the recall application. The reasons shown in the affidavit seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the order dated 3.9.3014, dismissing the O.A. No. 165 of 2013 for non-prosecution, is hereby recalled and the O.A. is restored to its original number, provided the applicant deposits a sum of Rs. 500/- to the AFT Bar Association within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>Both the aforesaid applications, therefore, stands disposed of.</p> <p>List on 5.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1668 of 2014
(Inre: T.A. No. 1148 of 2010)

Manoj Kumar Pandey
In Person

Applicant

Versus

GOC, UB Area
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 5 is taken on record.</p> <p>Present: Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. The applicant, who is appearing in person in this case as he is unable to engage a counsel due to paucity of funds, as mentioned in paragraph 7(a) of the application for Leave to Appeal, is not present, neither there is any request in writing or mentioned orally on his behalf to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, Misc. Application No. 1668 of 2014 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX.-A. No. 1 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1508 of 2014

(Inre: T.A. No. 858 of 2010)

MadhukarKalu Jadhav

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents states that she received detailed instruction with respect to compliance of the judgment and order dated 17.7.2013, passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No. 858 of 2010. She, therefore, prays for and is granted ten days' time to bring the same on record by means of an affidavit.</p> <p>List on 2.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 141 of 2012

Rajneesh Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Nishant Verma, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative, prays for and is granted, as a matter of last opportunity, four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 10.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 183 of 2014

Tuphan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Case called out. No one appeared from either sides.</p> <p>On call of the case it has been pointed out by the Bench Secretary that an adjournment application has been moved by Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant. Accordingly, this case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 6.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A.(A). No. 99 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1649 of 2014

Hav Mahadev Rao Talagapu

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 1649 of 2014.</u></p> <p>This is an application for taking counter affidavit on record. Let the counter affidavit be taken on record. This application, accordingly, stands disposed of.</p> <p>Shri Vinay Pandey prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 6.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 18 of 2014

RP Vishwakarma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey on behalf of the respondents be taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case has been received on transfer from the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur and renumbered as T.A. No. 18 of 2014.</p> <p>Shri Pandey states that after transfer of this case he has not received the brief from the Standing Counsel at Jabalpur and he could not even reconstruct the same as yet. Shri Pandey, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks' time to reconstruct his file.</p> <p>List on 9.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A.(A). No. 97 of 2014

Vikram Singh Tomar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. PritiTyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, O.A.(A) No. 97 of 2014 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 752 of 2010

Samrath Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Dileep Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Col. G.J. Manhas & Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>From perusal of the file it comes out that the applicant has been convicted in GCM proceedings.</p> <p>The submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that earlier an F.I.R. was lodged being case Crime No. 360 of 1994 under Section 411 I.P.C. and Sections 3/7 of the Essential Commodities Act, P.S. Civil Lines, Hissar (Haryana) against one Chiman Lal and one Dal Singh. They were thereafter tried with respect to recovery of 8 barrel of Mobil Oil and 4 barrel of Grease, said to have been stolen from the stock of the Army at Hissar Cantt. After the charge-sheet both the aforesaid accused were tried in the court of Additional Sessions Judge/Special Judge (E.C. Act), Hissar in Sessions Case No. 37 of 1995 and the learned Special Judge acquitted both the aforesaid accused of the charge, vide judgment and order dated 20.3.1997.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the while acquitting both the aforesaid accused the learned Special Judge observed specifically that since the prosecution failed to prove that the Mobil Oil and Grease recovered from the possession of the aforesaid two accused are stolen</p>

property, the charge under Section 411 I.P.C. cannot be made out. He further submits that without there being any FIR against the applicant by the Army that certain quantity of Mobil Oil and Grease had been stolen from the Depot of the Army, the applicant has been roped in and convicted by GCM without there being any evidence to that effect. On being asked, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was engaged in maintaining the account of Fuel Oil & Lubricant (FOL) but since both the aforesaid accused, viz. Chiman Lal and Dal Singh, were acquitted by the learned Special Judge, the applicant has been roped in falsely without there being any existence of material so as to indicate that Mobil Oil and Grease, found in possession of Chiman Lal and Dal Singh, was ever taken out from FOL Depot, Hissar. Apart from the above, it has been submitted that right from July, 1994 till the trial proceeded, i.e. upto the date of acquittal, viz. 20.3.1997, inspite of sufficient opportunity having been afforded to the Army, at no point of time the Army at Hissar Cantt. had ever taken the plea or put forward any evidence that Mobil Oil and Grease were taken out from the Stores/Stock of the Army from Hissar Cantt. Thus, initiation of GCM proceedings itself, on the face of it, shown ulterior motive and mala fide intention on the part of the respondents.

There seems to be some substance in the argument of learned counsel for the applicant.

Since original record is not available with learned counsel for the respondents today pertaining to GCM proceedings, the respondents are directed to produce the original record pertaining to GCM proceedings before the Court on the next date.

List on 22.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 01 of 2014

Sarbeswar Pattanaik
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case has come on transfer from the High Court of Uttaranchal at Nainital and has been registered before this Tribunal as T.A. No. 01 of 2014. The matter relates to DCM proceedings. Counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged in this case.</p> <p>However, Shri Rajesh Kumar submits that after transfer of this case from the High Court at Nainital he has not received the brief from the Standing Counsel at Nainital though he wrote twice to sending the file, but of no avail. Shri Rajesh Kumar, therefore, prays and is granted four weeks' time and no more to reconstruct the file.</p> <p>List this case on 6.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster. On the said date it is expected that the original record of DCM proceedings be made available by the respondents for perusal of the Court.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

DY-No. 2115 of 2014

Nb Sub Om Prakash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Mukund Tewari on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 5 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On the request of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 8.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1591 of 2014

Prakash Rai

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 5 are taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Major R.D. Singh (Retd.), learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This Misc. Application No. 1591 of 2014 has been filed by the applicant for condoning the delay in filing the Original Application, which has been preferred by the applicant against the punishment awarded to him in SCM proceedings.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 8 years, 5 months and 22 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection on the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 16.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 44 of 2014
(Inre:O.A. (A). No. 07 of 2013)

Mukesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Mukund Tewari on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case in the revised list, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate, appeared and stated that some mis-happening has occurred in the family of Shri Ashish Asthana, learned counsel for the applicant, as such he could not come to this Court today. Shri Singh, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 19.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1655 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 138 of 2011)

VK Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, on this Misc. Application No. 1655 of 2014, moved by the applicant for recall of the order dated 3.11.2014, dismissing O.A. No. 138 of 2011 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reasons shown in the affidavit accompanying M.A. No. 1655 of 2014 seems to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A. No. 1655 of 2014 is allowed, the order dated 3.11.2014 is hereby recalled and the O.A. is restored to its original number, provided the applicant deposits Rs. 500/- as cost in the A.F.T. Bar Association within ten days.</p> <p>List on 3.2.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 885 of 2014 with M.A. No 886 of 2014

(Inre: O.A. No. 19 of 2010)

Anil Kumar (POEL)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, M.A. No. 885 of 2014 with M.A. No. 886 of 2014 and O.A. No. 19 of 2010 are dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A.(A). No. 216 of 2013

Awadesh Kumar Chaubey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, O.A.(A) No. 216 of 2013 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 163 of 2009 with M.A. No. 1340 of 2014

RV Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, T.A. No. 163 of 2009 along with M.A. No. 1340 of 2014 are dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 09 of 2010

Surya Mani Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Sgt. Subhendu Dutta, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, prays for adjournment of the case since he is not prepared with the brief. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 9.2.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>The respondents are directed that the original record, which has been brought to day, be again made available for perusal of this Court on the next date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 298 of 2013

Ram Das

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Prakhar Kankan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 12.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 211 of 2009

Liladhar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri P.K. Shukla, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, could not come to this Court today since he is busy with some other case filed by him before the Lucknow Bench of the High Court. Shri Shukla, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Learned Standing Counsel has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 10.2.2015 before the appropriate Court, having jurisdiction as per roster, for admission, if not already admitted.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1036 of 2010

Jasawant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Mrs. Veera Bahadur Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case in the revised list Shri Srikant Mishra, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri Amar Nath Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant, has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 16.1.2015 before the appropriate Court, having jurisdiction as per roster, for admission since after going through the order-sheets, we find that this Transferred Application has not yet been admitted, but in the Cause List this case has been listed for hearing. Office is directed to be careful in future and list the cases accordingly.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 06 of 2010

CM Bhatt

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. PritiTyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case is listed in the Supplementary Cause List. Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>We have also gone through the order sheets of the case and we find that this case has not yet been admitted.</p> <p>List for admission on 09.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>