

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1514 of 2014

Mohd Rasool

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Smt. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This Misc. Application moved by the applicant for condoning the delay in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 07 months and 04 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file objection on the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 10.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 70 of 2010

Jagadisha H.G.

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri KC Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Arguments concluded.</p> <p>Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 129 of 2013

Rajendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Smt. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant requested some more time to incorporate the amendment. As requested, two days time is granted to incorporate the necessary amendment.</p> <p>List on 11.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 202 of 2012

Vijay Kant Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of the Army & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey, advocate appeared and stated that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, counsel for the applicant has gone out of station and has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 30.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 435 of 2012

Islam Khan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, and Smt. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri P.K. Shukla appeared and stated that he has not prepared the brief of the case and requested for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 19.01.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 520 of 2012

Narendra Pal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of the Army & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey, advocate appeared and stated that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, counsel for the applicant has gone out of station and has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 02.02.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 89 of 2009

Rajendra Prasad Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey, advocate appeared and stated that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, counsel for the applicant has gone out of station and has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 04.02.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1290 of 2010

Arvind Kumar Katiyar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri MS Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Sgt SK Pandey, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri MS Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant as well as Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents. Applicant counsel is directed to file Synopsis in Registry today to argue the case further.</p> <p>List on 20.01.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster. It shall not be treated as tied up to this Court.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 63 of 2012

Sanjay Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey, advocate appeared and stated that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, counsel for the applicant has gone out of station and has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 29.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>RP.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 309 of 2012

Jaivind Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Dismissed.</p> <p>For judgment and order see our judgment and order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 369 of 2012

Gurwinder Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Allowed.</p> <p>For judgment and order see our judgment and order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1512 of 2014

SS Sandhu

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Rajveer Singh, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri P.S. Sisodia, learned counsel for the applicant, has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 8.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1511 of 2014

(Inre: M.A. No. 1404 of 2014 & O.A. No. 47 of 2014)

Hardev Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicant on this Misc. Application No. 1511 of 2014 for recall of the order dated 27.10.2014 dismissing M.A. No. 1404 of 2014 and O.A. No. 47 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reasons shown in the affidavit accompanying the recall application appears to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 27.10.2014 dismissing M.A. No. 1404 of 2014 and O.A. No. 47 of 2013 is recalled and they are restored to its original number on the condition that the applicant shall deposit Rs. 500/- as cost in the A.F.T. Bar Association within a week.</p> <p>If the amount is deposited within time, list this case on 13.11.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1513 of 2014
(Inre: T.A. No. 58 of 2013)

P Raghavan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rajeev Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on this Misc. Application No. 1513 of 2014 for recall of the order dated 10.10.2014 dismissing M.A. No. 1028 of 2014 and T.A. No. 58 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reasons shown in the affidavit accompanying the recall application appears to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 10.10.2014 is hereby recalled. Misc. Application No. 1028 of 2014 and T.A. No. 58 of 2013 are restored to its original number on the condition that the applicant shall deposit Rs. 500/- as cost in the A.F.T. Bar Association within a week.</p> <p>If the amount is deposited within time, list this case on 27.11.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

Dy. No. 1639 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1346 of 2014

Manoj Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicant on the application for condoning the delay in moving the Original Application.</p> <p>Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file objection.</p> <p>List on 1.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 1 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1508 of 2014
(Inre: T.A. No. 858 of 2010)

Madhukar Kalu Jadhav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>In this Execution Application the respondents have filed compliance report, being Misc. Application No. 1508 of 2014, supported with an affidavit of Major Sachin Nimbalkar and from Annexure '1' of the affidavit it comes out that although P.P.O. has been issued to the applicant in pursuance of the judgment and order of this Tribunal dated 17.7.2013 passed in T.A. no. 858 of 2010 but it is not clear as to whether all the payments have been made to the applicant in pursuance of the aforesaid judgment and order. The respondents are directed to file a detailed affidavit as to whether P.P.O. has been issued to the applicant and all the payments have been made to the applicant or not.</p> <p>List on 25.11.2014. before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 10 of 2014

(Inre: T.A. No. 1002 of 2010 with M.A. No. 799 of 2014)

Shri Nath Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Col. R.N. Singh, Advocate, appeared and informed this Court that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Although in this Execution Application Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, states that the papers sent to the applicant has returned back with the endorsement of the Post Office that the applicant is not available at the address and he has gone out of station for treatment.</p> <p>The respondents are directed to send the papers again to the applicant within two weeks and the applicant shall return the papers to</p>

the respondents, after duly complying the directions given therein, at the earliest for early implementation of the judgment and order of this Tribunal dated 23.8.2013 passed in T.A. No. 1002 of 2010.

List on 3.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 35 of 2014
(Inre: O.A. No. 226 of 2013 & EX.A. No 115 of 2013)

Ram Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri S.K. Singh stated that the judgment and order dated 8.10.2012, passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No. 1484 of 2010 has been complied with</p> <p>On the basis of statement made by learned counsel for the applicant, this Execution Application No. 35 of 2014 is rendered infructuous. It is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 37 of 2012 with M.A. No. 1040 of 2014 & M.A. No. 1361 of 2014

Salil Chandra Jagdev

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, stated that though the amendment has been incorporated but he could not file the amended copies of the O.A. He states that the amended copies of the O.A. will be filed today in the office. He may do so during the course of the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 13.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1337 of 2010

Shailendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Prakhar Kankan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinit Sharma, Advocate, appeared and stated that his senior Shri S.P. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, has not come to this Court today due to sad demise in his family. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 14.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 107 of 2012

Sukhbir Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Prakhar Kankan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks and no more time to deposit the cost of Rs. 5,000/-, imposed on the respondents and to be paid to the applicant, as ordered by this Court on 21.7.2014 and 28.10.2014.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 8.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 159 of 2011

Dev Pal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri K.K. Mishra appeared but failed to inform the Court as to whether the Original Application has been admitted or not, though this case has been listed for hearing. Shri Mishra prays for time to go through the record of the Court and to inform the Court whether or not this O.A. has been admitted.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 19.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 72 of 2013

Kalu Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri Nishant Verma, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 21.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 150 of 2010

Devi Sharan Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case a person claiming himself to be Devi Saran Mishra, applicant, appeared and stated that his counsel Shri P.N. Chaturvedi has gone out of station and has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 6.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 159 of 2010

Pratap Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri K.K.Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 27.1.2015 along with T.A. No. 94 of 2009 and T.A. No. 63 of 2010 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster. On the next date the respondents shall produce original GCM record, as ordered by this Court on 2.4.2013.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 351 of 2010

H.B. Singh
In person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case one Pavneet Yadav claiming himself to be the son of the applicant, appeared and stated that the case may kindly be adjourned. Accordingly the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 27.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 31 of 2012

Shatrughan Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List this case on 7.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster. On the next date, the respondents shall produce the record pertaining to SGCM proceedings with statement of witnesses produced against the applicant and also the statements of defence witnesses produced by the applicant. The applicant is also directed to bring on record copies of the Summary of Evidence as well as other statements of defence and prosecution witnesses by the next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 06 of 2014

Sepoy Ramesh Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 26.11.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

DY. No. 1559 of 2014

Keshar Bahadur Tamang
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 26.11.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 06 of 2014

Sepoy Ramesh Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant states that he has not yet been able to move an amendment application. He, therefore, prays that some more time be granted to him to file amendment application. As prayed, three weeks' further time is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to move amendment application.</p> <p>Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, states that she is also not in possession of the file since this matter has come on transfer to this Regional Bench of the Tribunal at Lucknow from Jharkhand High Court to Kolkata Bench of this Tribunal and thereafter transferred to this Regional Bench by the order of the Principal Bench of this Tribunal. Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai prays for certified copies of the file.</p>

Office is directed to provide certified copies of the file to Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, within four weeks.

List on 15.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

DY. No. 1559 of 2014

Keshar Bahadur Tamang
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, S/Shri Mukund Tewari & Prakhar Kankan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant states that he has not yet been handed over the photo-copies of the SCM proceedings.</p> <p>On the last date, i.e. on 30.9.2014, Shri Mukund Tewari, learned Standing Counsel, appeared for the respondents and on that date we had directed Shri Tewari to provide photo-copies of the entire SCM proceedings to Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, who has been appointed as Amicus Curiae by the order of this Court dated 10.9.2014, to prepare the case.</p> <p>Shri Mukund Tewari, learned Standing Counsel, prays for and is granted further three weeks' time and no more to supply photo-copies of the entire SCM proceedings to Shri Yash Pal Singh, relating to the applicant No. 9420878M, Rifleman Keshar Bahadur Tamang.</p>

List on 9.12.2014 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 06 of 2014
(Inre: T.A. No. 94 of 2009)

Sayed Shahid Ali
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case no one appears for the applicant. On the contrary Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents, states that the judgment and order under execution has been complied with in toto and he intends to file an affidavit in this regard. He, therefore, prays for and is granted two days' time to file affidavit indicating therein that the judgment and order dated 13.8.2013, passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No.94 of 2009 has been complied with in toto.</p> <p>List on 5.11.2014.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1064 of 2013

Nil Kant Gain

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, Misc. Application No. 1064 of 2013 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 497 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1186 of 2014

Vijay Kumar Mandal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Lt. Col. A.K. Saxena along with Shri Rakesh Johri, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on this Misc. Application No. 497 of 2014.</p> <p>This is an application for condoning the delay in filing the Original Application relating to SCM.</p> <p>As per office report this O.A. has been filed delayed by 4 yers, 9 months and 15 days.</p> <p>The grounds taken in the affidavit filed along with the delay condonation application appears to be general in nature and have been made in a very capricious manner without there being any evidence in support of it. Objection has been field by the respondents on the delay condonation application.</p> <p>In the aforesaid circumstances, we are unable to condone the</p>

delay, after having found the grounds taken by the applicant for condoning the delay as insufficient and seem to be not genuine. Accordingly, Misc. Application No. 497 of 2014, for condoning the delay in filing the O.A., is hereby rejected.

Since the application for condoning the delay has been rejected, the Original Application is accordingly dismissed.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 16 of 2010

Brij Kishor

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Mohd. T. Khan, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri M.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, has not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 5.1.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 138 of 2011

V.K. Rai
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Dileep Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, this Original Application No. 138 of 2011 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 302 of 2011

Brijendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Prakhar Kankan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri Chaturvedi prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file amendment application to amend the Original Application since the point taken in the supplementary affidavit cannot be treated as amendment in the Original Application.</p> <p>Meanwhile, on going through the order-sheets of the file, we find that this case has not yet been admitted, though it has been listed for hearing.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 12.1.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 603 of 2010

Rajendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, could not come to this Court today. Shri Pandey, therefore, prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>Meanwhile, on going through the order-sheets of the file, we find that this case has not yet been admitted, though it has been listed for hearing.</p> <p>As prayed, list on 13.1.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1204 of 2010

T Kishan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.11.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>A request has been made Shri Ashwani Kumar, Advocate, for adjournment of the case since Shri Pradeep Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, could not come to this Court today. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>However, after going through the order-sheets we find that this case has not yet been admitted, though it has been listed for hearing.</p> <p>List this case on 13.1.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

