

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 125 of 2012 with M.A. No. 607 of 2015

Pabitra Maity

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>This O.A. has been filed by the applicant after having been convicted in Administrative proceedings.</p> <p>The case of the applicant is that he was tried by SCM and was convicted for a charge levelled against him under Section 63 of the Army Act and was awarded punishment for dismissal from service and reduction in rank. However, on the opinion of Dy. JAG the proceeding of SCM was found to be technically wrong and as such opined to set aside the punishment awarded in SCM. Competent authority accordingly set aside the SCM proceeding being illegal. Subsequently, administrative action was taken against the applicant after issuing a show-cause notice to the applicant and in Administrative action the applicant was dismissed from service.</p> <p>The submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant was tried under Section 63 of the Army Act and after setting aside of the SCM proceedings, in administrative proceeding he was punished on the same charges which, according to learned counsel for the applicant, comes under the parameter of double jeopardy. He further argued that once conviction of the applicant has been set aside even on technical grounds, the applicant cannot be tried with respect to the same charges by administrative action.</p> <p>There seems to be some substance in the argument of learned</p>

counsel for the applicant. Accordingly, we **admit** this O.A.

Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have already been exchanged in this case , the office is directed to list this case for hearing on 18.8.2015 before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 278 of 2012

Hamendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant list this case again on 13.5.2015 before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 181 of 2014

Kitabuddin Ansari

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>This O.A. has been filed by the applicant praying therein to set aside the order dated 17.6.2014, passed by the respondents, whereby the applicant was awarded severe reprimand in Summary Trial.</p> <p>On a query made to learned counsel for the applicant to the effect that whether severe reprimand after Summary Trial falls within the parameter of Section 3(o) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007, learned counsel for the applicant failed to satisfy this Court.</p> <p>We are of the view that this T.A. is not maintainable since it does not fall within the parameter of Section 3(o) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007.</p> <p>Accordingly, this Original Application No. 181 of 2014 is dismissed at the admission stage. The applicant may, if so advised, avail the appropriate remedy, available to him, before the appropriate forum.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 486 of 2010

Lalit Singh Chawda

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col. Y.R. Sharma (Retd.), learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on the ground of admission of this T.A.</p> <p>Aggrieved against the punishment awarded in GCM on the charge levelled against him under Section 52(f) of the Army Act for severe reprimand and reduction in rank, the applicant filed Writ Petition No. 8295 of 2004 before the Hon'ble Jabalpur High Court, which has come on transfer before this Tribunal and registered as T.A. No. 486 of 2010.</p> <p>The argument advanced by learned counsel for the applicant is that the allegation levelled against the applicant to the effect that he sold 190 bottles of liquor, drawn from the Army Canteen, to civilians has neither been proved nor there is any evidence to rope in the applicant and to try him in GCM.</p> <p>There seems to be some substance in the argument of learned counsel for the applicant. Accordingly, we admit this T.A.</p> <p>Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have already been exchanged and the matter is of the year 2010, the office is directed to list this case on 19.5.2015 before the Court concerned having</p>

jurisdiction as per roster of that date. The respondents are directed to produce the original record of GCM proceedings on the next date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 838 of 2010

Raji Hasan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on the question of admission of this T.A.</p> <p>Aggrieved against the award of punishment in GCM proceedings for 7 years' R.I. and dismissal from service the applicant filed Writ Petition No.30806 of 2008 before the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court, which, on being transferred to this Tribunal, has been registered as T.A. No. 838 of 2010.</p> <p>As argued by learned counsel for the applicant, there is no evidence against the accused applicant to prove the charge levelled against him under Section 63 of the Army Act, except the confessional statement given by the applicant, while remained in custody of the respondents. The further argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that the confessional statement, while remained in custody, is inadmissible under the Evidence Act.</p> <p>There seems to be some substance in the argument of learned counsel for the applicant. Accordingly, we admit this T.A.</p> <p>Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have already been exchanged and the matter is of the year 2010, the office is directed to</p>

list this case for hearing on 13.5.2015 before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1204 of 2010

T. Kishan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Pradeep Chandola, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant states that he intends to file an amendment application. He, therefore, prays for and is granted ten days' time to file the same.</p> <p>List on 21.4.2015 for admission before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 48 of 2011

Taj Mohd. Sheikh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ram Sakal Misra on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Yashovardhan Swaroop, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Ram Sakal Misra, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on the question of admission of this T.A.</p> <p>It has been submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that the conviction of the applicant in pursuance of GCM proceedings after being charged under Section 64(e) of the Army Act and the punishment of 6 months' R.I. and cashiered awarded is not justified for the reason that the charge of accepting illegal gratification to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- from a person, viz. V. Ramudu, assuring him to provide a service has neither been recovered from the applicant nor been proved. The concerned person, viz. V. Ramudu, from which the allegation for accepting illegal gratification was also not produced and, therefore, the charge levelled against the applicant, as argued by learned counsel for the applicant, is illegal and arbitrary.</p> <p>There seems to be some substance in the argument of learned counsel for the applicant. Accordingly, this T.A. is admitted.</p>

Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have already been exchanged in this case and the matter is of the year 2011 the office is directed to list this case for hearing on 19.8.2015 before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 325 of 2013

Surender Singh Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri Vinay Pandey states that rejoinder affidavit has been filed, but the same is not record. Further office report dated 25.3.2015 indicates that rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Shri Pandey states that since he is not in possession of the file he is unable to state when the rejoinder affidavit has been filed. He, therefore, prays for and is granted two weeks' time to ascertain whether the rejoinder affidavit has already been filed or not. He further states that if, by mistake, the rejoinder affidavit could not be filed, it will be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 22.4.2015 for orders before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 82 of 2014

Yogesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri T.N. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 220 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application for amendment in the O.A., copy of which has been served on learned counsel for the respondents on 9.2.2015. No objection has been filed by the respondents as yet.</p> <p>We have gone through the amendment application. Since the amendment sought for by applicant will not change the nature of the O.A., we allow this application. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment within a period of ten days.</p> <p>List on 25.5.2015 for orders before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A.(A) No. 336 of 2013 with M.A. No. 418 of 2015

Dalvi Satyawan Ramji

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 48 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application for taking rejoinder affidavit on record. The rejoinder affidavit is taken on record. The application is disposed of accordingly. However, it comes out from the rejoinder affidavit that copy of the same has not been served on learned counsel for the respondents. Since Shri Vinay Pandey is not equipped with the file, he prays for and is granted a week's time to serve copy of this rejoinder affidavit on learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Since this case has already been admitted and counter and rejoinder affidavits have already been exchanged, list this case for hearing on 28.8.2015 before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 901 of 2010

Sanjay Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Respondent Counsel is not present.</p> <p>Heard Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, departmental representative at some length and also gone through the records as well as its file.</p> <p>Arguments concluded.</p> <p>Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1337 of 2010

Shailendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri P.K. Shukla, advocate appears and states that Shri S.P. Singh, counsel for the applicant is not come to the court today and, therefore, prays for adjournment. As such matter is adjourned.</p> <p>Since the case is of 2010, list this case on 29.04.2015 for hearing before the court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX.-A. No. 48 of 2014 with M.A. No. 609 of 2015
(Inre: O.A. No. 232 of 2012)

Diwan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
-----------------------	------------------------

26.03.2015

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)

Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)

Present: Shri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents.

Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is present.

On call of the case Shri Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, states that the judgment and order dated 17.7.2014, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 232 of 2012 has already been complied with, but since learned counsel for the applicant is not present today, we direct that the matter of listed again on 9.4.2015.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 199 of 2015 with M.A. No. 200 of 2015

(Inre: O.A.(A) No. 210 of 2010)

AK Chatterjee

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is present.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 200 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application supported with an affidavit for recall of the order dated 8.1.2015, passed by this Court, dismissing O.A.(A) No. 210 of 2010 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit, filed along with this application, seems to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A. No. 200 of 2015 is allowed and the order dated 8.1.2015 is hereby recalled provided the applicant deposits a cost of Rs. 300/- in the A.F.T. Bar Association within a period of three days. If the amount of Rs. 300/- is deposited in the AFT Bar Association, the office is directed to restore O.A.(A) No. 210 of 2010 to its original number.</p> <p>List M.A. No. 199 of 2015 for orders on 8.4.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 265 of 2014 with M.A. No. 599 of 2015

Abhishek Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Wg. Cdr. S.K. Pandey, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case a person appeared and stated that Shri T.N. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant, has not come to this Court today due to sad demise of a member of his family. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 10.4.2015 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 531 of 2010

Sultan Ram

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Secy GOI & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey states that due to some personal work learned counsel for the applicant has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays for adjournment. Accordingly, as a matter of last opportunity, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 9.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1279 of 2010

Naresh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey states that due to some personal work learned counsel for the applicant has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 9.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 18 of 2012 with T.A. No. 531 of 2010

Rishi Pal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey states that due to some personal work learned counsel for the applicant has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 9.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

DY-No. 980 of 2014 with M.A. No. 420 of 2015

Asha Ram

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay P Landey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is not present.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 420 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application for condoning the delay in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 3 years and 9 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file objection on the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 21.4.2015 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX-A. No. 26 of 2011 with M.A. No. 708 of 2014

(Inre: T.A. No. 146 of 2010)

Ravindra Singh Kushwah
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents</p> <p>Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey informs this Court that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi has gone out of station due to some personal work. He, therefore, prays for adjournment. Accordingly the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>On the contrary, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the judgment and order dated 18.4.2011, passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No. 146 of 2010 has almost been complied with and an affidavit in this regard will be filed before the Registry during the course of the day after serving a copy of the same on learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List on 14.4.2015 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No 83 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1122 of 2014, M.A. No. 1123 of 2014, M.A. No. 1342 of 2014, M.A. No. 1343 of 2014 M.A. No. 1719 of 2014 & M.A. No. 118 of 2015

Sgt Kesho Dubey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Air Chief Marshal Arup Raha, Chief of Air Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned Standing Counsel is present.</p> <p>On call of the case a person appeared and stated that Shri T.N. Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant, has not come to this Court today due to sad demise of a member of his family. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 13.4.2015 for orders. before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

**M.A. No 885 of 2014 with M.A. No. 886 of 2014,
(Inre: O.A. No. 19 of 2010)**

Anil Kumar (POEL)

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S.S.L. Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 885 of 2014.</u></p> <p>This application has been filed by the applicant on 25.7.2014 for correction in the Original Application, copy of which was also served on the respondents on the same day, but no objection has been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>Accordingly, this application is allowed. Let necessary correction be made in the O.A. within a week. If the correction is made, M.A. No. 886 of 2014 be listed for orders on 14.4.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 319 of 2012

Sooraj Pal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief of Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Vinay Pandey states that due to some personal work learned counsel for the applicant has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 23.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 725 of 2010

Gopal Saran Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of Inda & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Office report dated 16.12.2014 indicates that the service of notices on respondents no. 3, 4 & 5 has been made. We have gone through the record and find that inspite of service of notices having been effected no Vakalatnama has been filed on their behalf. They have also not put in their appearances as yet to contest this case. Therefore, service of notices on these respondents are held sufficient. Now, this case will be proceeded again respondents no. 3, 4 and 5 also.</p> <p>Since this T.A. has not yet been admitted, we direct to list this case on 14.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 3 of 2009

Awadhesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of Inda & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned Standing Counsel is present.</p> <p>On call of the case the Bench Secretary informs that there is already an application on behalf of the applicant for adjournment of the case since learned counsel for the applicant has gone out of station. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>Since this case is of the year 2009, the office is directed to list this case again on 21.4.2015 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 5 of 2010

Udai Narayan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of Inda & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ritwick Rai, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Dileep Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties at some length. However, on the request of learned counsel for the applicant, we direct to list this case on 30.3.2015 for further hearing.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 538 of 2010

Indra Jeet Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yashpal Singh learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment since he is not prepared with the brief. This is a case of the year 2010. However, as prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, we adjourn this case for the day but not for a longer date.</p> <p>Office is, therefore, directed to list this case on 13.4.2015 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

EX.A. No. 33 of 2014 with M.A. No. 474 of 2015
(Inre: T.A. No. 63 of 2010)

Nandan Singh Rawat

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1537 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1538 of 2014 & M.A. No. 590 of 2015

(Inre: O.A. No. 121 of 2012)

Talak Mahmood

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 1537 of 2014, M.A. No. 1538 of 2014 & M.A. No. 590 of 2015.</u></p> <p>These two applications, being M.A. No. 1537 of 2014 and M.A. No. 1538 of 2014, have been filed the applicant. M.A. No. 1537 of 2014 has been filed for condoning the delay in filing M.A. No. 1538 of 2015 for recall of the order dated 20.3.2014 dismissing O.A. No. 121 of 2012 for non-prosecution. M.A. No. 590 of 2015, in the shape of objection, has been filed by the respondents for dismissing the restoration/recall application.</p> <p>We have gone through the aforesaid applications. As it comes out that O.A. No. 121 of 2012 was dismissed on 20.3.2014 since no one appeared on that date for the applicant.</p> <p>It is well settled provision of law laid down by the Hon'ble apex Court that as far as possible the cases are to be decided on merit instead of dismissing for non-prosecution. This case relates to pension.</p> <p>Further the applicant through the delay condonation application as well as restoration application showed sufficient reason for the delay as well as for non-appearance on 20.3.2014. The reason showed seem to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A. No. 1537 of 2014 is allowed. Consequently M.A. No. 1538 of 2014 is also allowed and the order dated 20.3.2014 is hereby</p>

recalled. Subsequently, M.A. No. 590 of 2015 is hereby rejected. O.A. No. 121 of 2012 is directed to be restored to its original number

List this case again on 9.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1885 of 2014

Hav Mahendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>On 6.1.2015 this Court granted six weeks' time to the respondents to file objection to the delay condonation application, but the respondents failed to file the same even today. The Departmental Representative further prays for and is granted two weeks and no more time to file objection to the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List this case again on 14.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 491 of 2015
(Inre: O.A. No. 165 of 2013)

Parikramajit Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 491 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application moved by learned counsel for the applicant supported with an affidavit for recall of the order dated 5.2.2015, passed by this Court, dismissing O.A. No. 165 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>As it comes out from the order dated 5.2.2015 that on earlier occasion too the O.A. was dismissed for non-prosecution on 3.9.2014 and on an application moved for recall of that order this Court recalled the order dated 3.9.2014 on 25.11.2014 provided the applicant deposits a sum of Rs. 500/- as cost to the AFT Bar Association within a period of two weeks from 25.11.2014. But, since the applicant failed to deposit the cost amount of Rs. 500/- and no one appeared on 5.2.2015 for the applicant, again we dismissed O.A. No. 165 of 2013 for non-prosecution and a direction was given to consign the record in the Record Room.</p> <p>Now, since it comes out from M.A. No. 491 of 2015 that the cost amount of Rs. 500/- has been deposited on 19.2.2015, vide receipt issued by the Secretary, AFT Bar Association and annexed with this application, and since the reason shown in the affidavit for non-appearance on 5.2.2015 seems to be genuine as also this is a pension matter, this application is allowed and the order dated 5.2.2015 is hereby recalled.</p>

Office is directed to restore O.A. No. 165 of 2013 to its original number.

List this case again on 14.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 492 of 2015
(Inre: M.A. No. 1064 of 2013)

Nil Kant Gain

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 492 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by learned counsel for the applicant supported with an affidavit, for recall of the order dated 26.2.2015 dismissing M.A. No. 1680 of 2014 and M.A. No. 1064 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit, filed along with the recall application, seems to be genuine. Accordingly the application is allowed and the order dated 26.2.2015, passed by this Court, is hereby recalled, provided the applicant deposits a sum of Rs. 500/- in the AFT Bar Association within a period of ten days.</p> <p>If the cost amount is deposited within the foresaid period, the office will restore M.A. No. 1680 of 2014 and M.A. No. 1064 of 2013 to its original numbers and be listed on 13.4.2015 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A.(A) No. 149 of 2010

Raj Kumar Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Wg. Cdr. S.K. Pandey, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case again on 7.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A.(A) No. 192 of 2014

Brijesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case again on 15.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 167 of 2009

Avadhesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case again on 16.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 69 of 2013 with M.A. No. 66 of 2015

Col Dayanand

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case again on 13.4.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 31 of 2014

Jeetendra Bahadur Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case again on 20.4.2015 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 48 of 2013

Digvijay Singh Bhadauria

In person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: The applicant in person and Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>It has been informed by the Bench Secretary that the Advocates are abstaining themselves from judicial work on a call given by the A.F.T. Bar Association.</p> <p>However, Departmental Representative, Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, states that a cheque of Rs. 5,000/- in the name of the Registrar of this Tribunal towards the cost imposed on 5.2.2015 by this Court and to be paid to the applicant will be deposited before the Registrar of this Tribunal during the course of the day. The Departmental Representative further submits that in compliance of the order of this Court dated 8.10.2014 an affidavit will also be filed before the Registry of this Tribunal during the course of the day annexing therewith the letter dated 15.4.2003, as indicated in paragraph 7 of the counter affidavit, issued to Lt. Col. R. Johri to assist the applicant as friend of the accused.</p> <p>A copy of the aforesaid affidavit has also been handed over to the applicant in Court, who is appearing in person, by the Departmental Representative. The applicant prays for and is granted two days' time to file reply to the affidavit.</p> <p>As prayed by the applicant, list this case again on 30.3.2015 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date. The Departmental Representative is directed to inform</p>

having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

M.A. No. 1291 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1292 of 2014
(Inre: M.A. 329 of 2013 & O.A. No. 43 of 2011)

Kuldeep Chander Raina
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 329 of 2013.</u></p> <p>This is an application for condoning the delay in filing the Review Application.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this R.A. with an application for condoning the delay in filing the present R.A., aggrieved against the judgment and order dated 8.2.2013, passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 43 of 2011. However, on call of the case learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment to enable him to prepare the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List on 10.4.2014 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 279 of 2014

Col AP Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dileep Singh on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 3 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Dileep Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri R. Chandra, Advocate, appeared and prayed for adjournment of the case since Shri K.C. Ghildyal, learned counsel for the applicant could not come to this Court today. Shri Chandra, on instruction of learned counsel for the applicant, further prayed that this case be listed before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.</p> <p>Accordingly, office is directed to list this case on 12.5.2015 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 109 of 2014 with M.A. No 207 of 2015

Mukesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 207 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicant, for taking rejoinder affidavit on record.</p> <p>The rejoinder affidavit is taken on record. The application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>While going through the order-sheets we find that this case has already been admitted on 8.5.2014 and counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged between the parties.</p> <p>Office is, therefore, directed to list this case for hearing on 28.5.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 780 of 2010

Mangal Saran

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.D. Verma, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Dileep Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Originally the petitioner, aggrieved against the punishment awarded in SCM for dismissal from service filed Writ Petition No. 20144 of 1993 before the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court, which, on being transferred before this Tribunal has been registered as T.A. No. 780 of 2010.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on the ground of admission of this T.A. and have gone through the record.</p> <p>The charge against the applicant in SCM was that the applicant went on sanctioned leave but after completion of the leave period he did not join his duties and absented himself for a period of 65 days, i.e. from 14.12.1992 till he voluntarily joined on 16.2.1993.</p> <p>The submission of learned counsel for the applicant is to the effect that due to illness of his wife the applicant could not join his duties after completion of his leave period. He, however, joined on 16.2.1993 and submitted documents with respect to the ailment of his wife. Learned counsel further submits that in SCM the documents produced by the applicant regarding ailment of his wife were not properly examined and the award of punishment for dismissal from service is too excessive.</p> <p>The points raised by learned counsel for the applicant appears</p>

to be arguable in nature. Accordingly, we **admit** this T.A.

Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have already been exchanged in this case, the office is directed to list this case for hearing on 28.4.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1459 of 2010

Ram Naresh Ram
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mukund Tewari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Aggrieved against the adverse entry in the ACR of the year 1989 and subsequent promotion to the post of Subedar, the applicant filed Writ Petition No. 28587 of 1993 before the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court which has been transferred to this Tribunal and registered as T.A. No. 1459 of 2010.</p> <p>As per roster dated 29.9.2014 the matters pertaining to ACR and promotion have been classified separately and different Courts have been nominated to deal with the aforesaid matters separately. But, the promotions generally made on the basis of ACR entries.</p> <p>Office is, therefore, directed to place the matter before the Hon'ble H.O.D. for his perusal and necessary orders and list thereafter before the appropriate Court.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 66 of 2015

Lt Col Anil Kumar Singh Rathore
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri D.S. Tiwari on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Shirma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant states that since the matter relates to issuance of show-cause notice, this Court has no jurisdiction, as per roster, to adjudicate this case. He, therefore, prays that this case be directed to be listed before the appropriate Court.</p> <p>Since the matter relates to issuance of show-cause notice, office is directed to list this case on 24.3.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

O.A. No. 202 of 2012

Vijay Kant Shukla

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicant on the admission of this O.A.</p> <p>It comes out from the record that the applicant had filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow, being Writ Petition No. 8164 (S/S) of 1993 which was dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court, vide order dated 5.4.2012, for want of jurisdiction specifically indicating therein that <i>"unless some cause of action wholly or partly arises within the State of U.P., this Court has no territorial jurisdiction in view of specific provision contained under Article 226(2) of the Constitution."</i></p> <p>In the aforesaid writ petition the petitioner had disclosed his residential address as <i>"Vijai Kant Shukla, C/o. Sri Srikant Shukla, posted at Police Station Fatehpur, district Barabanki."</i></p> <p>Now, it seems that after dismissal of the aforesaid writ petition by the Hon'ble High Court the applicant has approached this Tribunal by filing the present O.A. challenging the GCM proceedings. In this O.A. the applicant has mentioned his residential address as <i>"Village Jagdishpur, Post Office Walterganj, District Basti (U.P.)."</i></p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to satisfy this</p>

Court by filing cogent documentary evidence to show that the applicant is resident of Post Office Walterganj, District Basti (U.P.).

List for admission on 7.4.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG.

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 1244 of 2010

Virendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Devendra Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment since he could trace his file. As prayed, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List for admission on 8.4.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 126 of 2009

Surya Bahadur Limbu

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla holding brief of Shri R. Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri P.K. Shukla states that Shri R. Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant, is bed ridden and is going to Delhi for his treatment. He, therefore, prays for adjournment. Shri Shukla further states that he will seek instruction from Shri Chaubey about the outcome of the SLP pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and will inform this Court accordingly on the next date.</p> <p>Since the matter is an old one and as prayed by Shri Shukla, list this case for orders on 8.4.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 452 of 2010

Rajendra Prasad Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The order-sheet indicates that the notice issued to respondent no. 5 has not yet received back as served or unserved.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that service upon respondent no. 5 be awaited.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 5.5.2015 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date with the office report about service of notice on respondent no. 5. In the meantime, if service of notice on respondent no. 5 is not effected, it will be served on respondent no. 5 through publication.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 18 of 2014

RP Vishwakarma

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, states that he has received a copy of the counter affidavit, filed by the respondents. He, therefore, prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 1.5.2015 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 3

T.A. No. 347 of 2010

Bishwambhar Dayal

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.03.2015</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt. Ridhishri Shama, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Neither learned counsel for the applicant nor learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>On call of the case a person claiming himself to be Bishwambhar Dayal, the applicant, appeared and prayed that, since his counsel Shri K.K. Mishra is out of station today, the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>The case is pending before this Tribunal since 2010. Office is, therefore, directed to list this case on 6.4.2015 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG.</p>