C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 240 of 2010

Kushal Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>17.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Allowed.
	For judgment and order see our judgment and order of date
	passed on separate sheets.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)(Justice Abdul Mateen)Member (A)Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 944 of 2010

Atmaram Dwivedi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

COAS & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>17.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Allowed.
	For judgment and order see our judgment and order of date
	passed on separate sheets.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

M.A. No. 1407 of 2014

Jagat Narayan Tiwari

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>17.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey on behalf
	of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his
	name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.
	Present : Shri S.P. Tripathi, learned counsel for applicant, and Shri
	Dinesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	This Misc. Application No. 1407 of 2014 has been filed by the
	applicant for condoning the delay in filing the Original Applicationh.
	As per office report, there is delay of 6 years, 4 months and 10 days
	in filing the Original Application.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six
	weeks' time to file objection on the delay condonation application.
	List on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)(Justice Abdul Mateen)Member (A)Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 117 of 2014

NJK Dahya Lal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>17.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 15.12.2014 befor ethe Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 118 of 2014 (Inre: M.A. No 1389 of 2014)

Sudershan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>17.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 16.12.2014 befor ethe Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 119 of 2014

Smt Bhagwati Thakur

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	17 10 2014
	<u>17.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for respondents,
	along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither there
	is any request to adjourn/pass over the case.
	However, as it comes out that the applicant has moved Misc.
	Application No. 1389 of 2014 with the prayer for amending the Original
	Application, but since learned counsel for the applicant is not present, list
	this application no. 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

M.A. No. 633 of 2014

Suresh Kumar

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>17.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 442 of 2012

Shailesh Kumar Tripathi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>17.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He, therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is adjourned for the day. List on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 464 of 2012

Nb Sub Krishnan G.

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	17.10.2014 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateon, Member (1)
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 478 of 2012

Yogesh Chandra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	17 10 2014
	<u>17.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 281 of 2010

Smt Santi Bakshi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	17 10 2014
	<u>17.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for
	respondents.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 22 of 2011

Gopal Bhakt

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	17.10.2014
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan on
	behalf of respondents no. 1 to 7 is taken on record. When the case is next
	listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for applicant, and Shri
	Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	The matter relates to disability pension. The applicant has been
	diagnosed 100% disability, but inspite of it, the allegation is that he has not
	yet been provided family pension. The reasons indicated by the respondents
	in their counter affidavit is to the effect that in the Medical Board
	proceedings it had not been indicated as to whether the injury received by
	the applicant, on his being remained on annual leave, is attributable to
	Military service or not.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties on admission of this
	Transferred Application.
	The argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that still the
	applicant is entitled to 100% disability pension as opined by the Medical

Board at least for two years.

The question appears to be arguable in nature and needs to be decided.

Admit.

List on 19.12.2014.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 129 of 2011

Sujit Roy

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	<u>17.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Pradeep Dwivedi holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal,
	learned counsel for applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Pradeep Dwivedi stated that Shri K.C.
	Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, has gone out of station. He,
	therefore, prays that this case be adjourned. Accordingly, this case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

Applicant

Respondents

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 262 of 2013

Urmila Bai

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>16.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey on behalf of
	respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his
	name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.
	Present : Shri Ashish Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant, and
	Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	In this Original Application the applicant has prayed for grant of
	ordinary family pension. The applicant is the mother of deceased Cfn.
	Sachin Pal, who died in harness while serving the Army. The claim of the
	aplplicant for grant of special family pension was forwarded to the
	PCDA(P), Allahabad, who has rejected the claim of the applicant, vide its
	order dated 27.6.2011. Even the claim of ordinary family pension of the
	applicant has also been rejected on the ground that she is having sufficient
	income. However, this order of the PCDA(P) has not been challenged.
	Learned counsel for the applicant states that he intends to amend the
	Original Application to the above effect by challenging the order of the
	PCDA(P). He, therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file

amendment application to amend the prayer clause.

As prayed, list on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A.(N). No. 41 of 2011 with M.A. No 928 of 2014

Navi Mohd.

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>16.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with Sgt. S.K. Pandey, Departmental Representative.
	In this case, we find that there is an application for condonation of delay, but, it is unfortunate that no number has been registered on this application an no order has been passed on this application, resulting that the Original Application has not yet been registered, but the proceedings are
	going on. Counter and rejoinder affidavits have also been exchanged in this case. In this case the applicant claims that since he served the Air Force
	for 15 years, as stated by learned counsel for the applicant, he is entitled tor service pension. Since the matter pertains to family pension, and the reasons shown in the affidavit filed along with the delay condonation application seems to be genuine, we hereby condone the delay in filing the Original
	Application. Office is directed to register the Original Application. With respect to admission of this O.A., which has not yet been admitted, we made a query from learned counsel for the applicant that

whether the applicant be treated to be an Air Force personnel or not and also what remedies the applicant has availed so far before filing this O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant is to satisfy this Court on these points on the next date.

List this case for admission on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 432 of 2010

Bijendra Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	16 10 2014
	<u>16.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and
	Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	It comes out from the record that this Transferred Application
	has come before this Tribunal on transfer from the M.P. High Court
	and has been registered before this Tribunal as T.A. No. 432 of 2010.
	On going through the record we find that this petition has not
	yet been admitted.
	In the instant case, as submitted by learned counsel for the
	applicant, the applicant after serving the Army has been discharged on
	medical ground but the applicant has not been provided disability
	pension, which he claims would have been given to him.
	In this case counter and rejoinder affidavits have been
	exchanged. The points raised by learned counsel for the applicant
I	

seems to be arguable in nature. Accordingly, we admit this petition.

List this case for hearing on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 18 of 2011

Thorat Jai Singh Mahaalu

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>16.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. List has been revised. No one appears to press this Transferred Application, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over this case. However, in the interest of justice list this case again on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 75 of 2012

Ram Sunder Pandey

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	<u>16.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. List has been revised. No one appears to press this Transferred Application, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over this case. However, in the interest of justice list this case again on 16.12.2014 before
	the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur. (Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 852 of 2010

Parmanand Prasad Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>16.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant and
	Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On the request made by Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the
	applicant, this case is adjourned for the day.
	List this case on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	When the case is listed next, the name of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal be
	shown as counsel for the applicant and the name of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh
	Chauhan be shown as counsel for the respondents.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 1017 of 2010

Ram Prasad Tiwari

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	<u>16.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case a person claiming himself to be the son of the
	applicant, viz. Nar Bahadur Tiwari, appeared and stated that his counsel has
	not come to this Court today. He, therefore, prays that the case be adjourned.
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.
	List on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)(Justice Abdul Mateen)Member (A)Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 91 of 2012

K.L. Balkrishna Shetty

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>16.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal,,learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. List has been revised. No one appears to press this Transferred Application, neither there is any request to adjourn/pass over this case. However, in the interest of justice list this case again on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

M.A. No. 1393 of 2014

Sunil Gupta

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others

6.10.2014 Ion'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Ion'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan on ehalf of respondents no. 1 to 3 is taken on record. When the case is listed ext, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.
ehalf of respondents no. 1 to 3 is taken on record. When the case is listed
-
Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, and
hri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along
ith Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
This Misc. Application No. 1393 of 2014 has been filed by the
oplicant for condoning the delay in filing the Original Application.
As per office report, there is delay of 9 months and 20 days in filing
e Original Application.
The reasons shown in the affidavit filed along with the delay
ondonation application seems to be genuine. We, accordingly, condone the
elay in filing the Original Application. Office is directed to register the
ase.
Original Application No. 211 of 2014.
In this Original Application the applicant has challenged the order
ated 24.6.2013, issued by respondent no. 1, order dated 7.4.2014, issued by

respondent no. 3 whereby a sum of Rs. 1,77,880/- has been recovered from the salary of the applicant, and the office memorandum dated 16.9.2010, issued by respondent no. 2 imposing condition of buying air tickets through M/s. Balmer Lawrie & Company, M/s. Ashok Travels & Tours and IRCTC on LTC and prayed for quashing of these orders and office memorandum. The applicant has also prayed for refund of the aforesaid amount of Rs. 1,77,880/-, which has been realised from the applicant's salary being absolutely illegal since the memorandum issued by the respondent for not producing air tickets purchased from the authorised travel agents. Learned counsel for the applicant, therefore, argued that the recovery of the aforesaid amount is wholly unjustified, illegal, against the principle of natural of justice and liable to be quashed.

Let notice be issued to respondents no. 2 and 3 to show cause within six weeks as to why this Original Application be not admitted and the prayers so made be not granted.

List for admission on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 56 of 2012

Yogeshwar Prasad Mishra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Govt of India, MOD & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>15.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Allowed.
	For judgment and order see our judgment and order of date
	For judgment and order see our judgment and order of date
	passed on separate sheets.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 207 of 2014

Smt. Atul Devi Tripathi & Others

Applicants

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>15.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents. Present : Shri Manoj Chansoriya, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. The applicants, by means of the present Original Application, are seeking appointment on compassionate ground under the Dying in Harness
	Rules. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur for orders/admission.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)(Justice Abdul Mateen)Member (A)Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

M.A. No. 1100 of 2014 with M.A. No. 1101 of 2014 (Inre: T.A. No 21 of 2011 & M.A. No 795 of 2014)

Om Prakash Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	15 10 2014
	<u>15.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri RB Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri
	D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer
	Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Misc. pplication No. 1100 of 2014.
	This is an application for restoration of T.A. No. 21 of 2011 with
	M.A. No. 795 of 2014, dismissed for non-prosecution, vide order dated
	21.7.2014. The reasons shown in the affidavit in support of this application
	seem to be genuine.
	Accordingly, the order dated 21.7.2014, passed by this Court, is
	hereby recalled and T.A. No. 21 of 2011 with M.A. No. 795 of 2014 are
	restored to it original number.
	List again on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur, for
	necessary orders.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 494 of 2010

Smt. Laxmina Devi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	15.10.2014
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and
	Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	Inspite of various orders passed by this Court for production of
	certain documents by the respondents, the respondents have failed to
	produce them before this Court. As a matter of last opportunity, four
	weeks and no more time is granted to the respondents to produce those
	documents, already ordered by this Court. It is, however, made clear
	that if the orders of this Court are not complied with again, the
	respondents shall be prepared to bear heavy cost.
	List on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 875 of 2010

Jagdish Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	15.10.2014 Han'hla Mr. Justica Abdul Matean, Mambar (I)
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	The bit Lt. Gen. M.W. Verma, Member (M)
	Present : Shri S.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents,
	along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant.
	In this case notice was ordered to be issued to respondents no. 2
	and 4 of which learned counsel for the applicant had taken steps, but
	there is no office report with respect to service of notices on
	respondents no. 2 and 4. Office is directed to report regarding service
	of notices on respondents no. 2 and 4.
	List on 15.12.2014 for orders before the Circuit Bench at
	Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 99 of 2010

A Mishra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	<u>15.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri SK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and
	Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant prays for
	and is granted four weeks' further time to implement the order dated
	28.8.2014, passed by this Court.
	List on 17.12.2014 for admission.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 240 of 2010

Kushal Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>15.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant
	and Shri BPS Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	Arguments concluded.
	Judgment reserved.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 405 of 2011

JK Roy Chaudhary

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	15.10.2014 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Kanak Gaharwar, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 278 of 2010

KS Rao

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>15.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri KC Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

Applicant

Respondents

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 843 of 2010

Suresh Kumar

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>15.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant
	and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case, learned counsel for the respondents states that
	the original record relating to the present dispute in question has been
	destroyed. Let an affidavit to that effect, sworn by a responsible
	officer, be filed within three weeks.
	As prayed, list on 15.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at
	Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 944 of 2010

Atma Ram Dwivedi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>15.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant,
	and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard leraned counsel for the parties.
	Arguments concluded.
	Judgment reserved.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J) PG.

Applicant

Respondents

Form No. 4

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 1489 of 2010

Kamla Kant Yadav

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>15.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri
	B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case Shri R.B. Singh states that he intends to file
	copy of DCM proceedings before the Registry during the course of the
	day. He is permitted to do so.
	As prayed, list on 18.12.2014.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

EX-A. No. 44 of 2012 (Inre: T.A. No 937 of 2010)

Gambhir Singh Chahar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the
	applicant, and Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	This Execution Application No. 44 of 2012 has been filed
	by the applicant aggrieved against non-implementation of the
	judgment and order dated 10.2.2011, passed by this Tribunal in T.A.
	No. 937 of 2010.
	The respondents have filed their counter affidavit in this
	Execution Application informing the Court to the effect that requisite
	P.P.O. has already been issued and the aforesaid judgment and order
	dated 10.2.2011 has been implemented. A copy of the P.P.O. has been
	served by Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for the respondents,

upon Shri Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, in Court.

Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, states that this Execution Application has now rendered infructuous and be dismissed.

Accordingly, Execution Application No. 44 of 2012 (Inre: T.A. No. 937 of 2010) stands dismissed without any order as to costs.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 144 of 2013 with M.A. No. 1133 of 2014

CHM Kunjumon Varghese

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh
	Chauhan on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record.
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the
	applicant, Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along
	with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	The Original Application is allowed without any order as to
	costs. Reasons to follow.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 286 of 2013

Nand Lal Shukla

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri S.K. Pandey, Advocate, holding brief of Shri
	V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri S.S. Chauhan,
	learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer
	Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Shri S.K. Pandey states that Shri V.P. Pandey, learned
	counsel for the applicant, could not come to this Court today. He,
	therefore, prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is
	adjourned for the day.
	List this case on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at
	Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 883 of 2010

Amit Kumar Mishra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the
	applicant, Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for respondents,
	along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case
	on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 56 of 2012

Yogeshwar Prasad Mishra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Govt of India MOD & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>14.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri S.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant,
	Shri S.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	The matter pertains to disability pension.
	Judgment reserved.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 136 of 2012

Dhan Raj

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant.
	However, in the interest of justice, list this case again on
	19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 402 of 2012

Kashmir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
<u>Registry</u>	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant. In the interest of justice, list this case again on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A.(A). No. 434 of 2012

Pradeep Kumar Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>14.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Vakalatnama filed by Shri Sheetal Tiwari, Advocate, on
	behalf of the applicant, is taken on record.
	Present : Shri Sheetal Tiwari, learned counsel for the
	applicant, Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for respondents, along
	with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	List this case on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at
	Jabalpur, showing the name of Shri Sheetal Tiwari as counsel for the
	applicant.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 305 of 2010

Dilip Kumar Shukla

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>14.10.2014</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	non ble Lt. Gen. A.W. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents,
	along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Anand Naik, Advocate, appeared
	and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant.
	Shri Naik prays for and is granted six weeks' time to
	prepare the brief.
	List this case on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at
	Jabalpur, showing the name of Shri Anand Naik as counsel for the
	applicant.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 308 of 2010

Mahendra Singh Baghel

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>14.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case Shri S.P. Tewari, Advocate, appeared
	and stated that he intends to file his Vakalatnama on behalf of the
	applicant. He, therefore, prays that this case be adjourned.
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.
	List on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 482 of 2010

Prem Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case no one appeared for the applicant. In the interest of justice, list this case again on 19.12.2014
	before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 931 of 2010

Sita Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
<u>Registry</u>	<u>14.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur. The records brought by the respondents shall again be produced before the Court on the said date.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 128 of 2011

Shende Sahadev Rao Dilip

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>14.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present: Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case, a person claiming himself to be Shende
	Sahadev Rao Dilip, the applicant, appeared and stated that his counsel
	Shri P.N. Chaturvedi could not come to this Court today. He,
	therefore, prays for adjournment of the case for the day with the
	request that since his counsel is unable to attend the Court at Jabalpur,
	this case be listed before Lucknow Bench of this Tribunal.
	As prayed by the applicant himself, list this case on
	29.10.2014 before the concerned Court at Lucknow having
	jurisdiction as per roster of that date.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

Applicant

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 188 of 2014

Anil Kumar Baghel By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan
	on behalf of respondents is taken on record. When the case is listed
	next, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.
	Present : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for
	the respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant, neither
	there is any request to adjourn/pass over the case. However, in the
	interest of justice we direct that the matter be listed again on
	17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)(Justice Abdul Mateen)Member (A)Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

R.A. No. 21 of 2014 (Inre: O.A. No. 40 of 2014)

Lt. Col. Rsjrdh Kumar Shukla

In person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Jabalpur
Registry	
	<u>14.10.2014</u> Hanible Mr. Justice Abdul Mateon, Mambar (I)
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	non ble Et. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	This Review Application No. 21 of 2014 has come before
	this Court by way of circulation under Rule 18(3) of the Armed Forces
	Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 for reviewing the judgment and
	order dated 8.9.2014, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 40 of 2014.
	We have gone through the review application and direct Capt.
	Priti Tyagi, Departmental Representative, to produce the dossier of the
	applicant, Lt. Col. Rajesh Kumar Shukla, SM before this Court on
	17.11.2014.
	Let this order be communicated by the Registry of this
	Court to the Departmental Representative accordingly.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

EX-A. No. 25 of 2011 with Dy. No. 9 of 2014 (Inre : T.A. No 429 of 2010)

A.K. Bhardwaj In person Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Orders of the C.B. Nainital
$\frac{13.10.2014}{10.000}$
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble I t. Con. A. M. Vorme, Member (A)
Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
Present : The applicant in person and Shri B.P.S. Chauhan,
learned counsel for the respondents along with Major Sameer
Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
On call of the case a person claiming himself to be A.K.
Bhardwaj, the applicant, appeared and stated that the judgment and
order dated 3.5.2011, passed by this Tribunal in T.A. No. 429 of 2010,
has been implemented on 17.9.2014, as such this Execution
Application No. 25 of 2011 is rendered infructuous.
However, the applicant insists that since the judgment of this
Tribunal has been implemented quite late, i.e. on 17.9.2014, he is,
therefore, entitled 12% interest from 3.5.2011 to 17.9.2014 as held by
the Principal Bench of this Tribunal on 30.7.2012 in M.A. No. 490 of
2011 (Inre: T.A. No. 543 of 2009).
Accordingly, while disposing of the Execution Application No.
25 of 2011 in the light of the order passed by the Principal Bench of

this Tribunal in the above referred M.A. No. 490 of 2011 to the effect that the incumbent is entitled for 12% interest per annum since the respondents took more than two years for implementation of order, we direct the respondents to consider the matter with respect to grant of 12% interest per annum to the applicant from 3.11.2011 upto 17.9.2014 on the pensionary benefits, which has been given by the respondents after a gap of about three years, i.e. till the date the applicant received the P.P.O. within a period of three months.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

M.A. No. 622 of 2014

Vindhyeshwari Prasad By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Orders of the C.B. Nainital
<u>13.10.2014</u>
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
Present : Shri M.P. Singh, Counsel for applicant and Mrs. Kanak
Gaharwar, Counsel for the respondents.
Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted
four weeks' and no more to file objection to the delay condonation
application.
List on 15.12.20 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (A) Member (J)
PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

M.A. No. 646 of 2014

Harinam Patel By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant, and Mrs. Kanak Gaharwar, learned counsel for the respondents.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks' time and no more to file objection to the delay
	condonation application. List again on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 43 of 2010

Ganga Dutt By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and
	Mrs. Kanak Gaharwar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	On call of the case Shri Ghildiyal states that he could not take
	steps for getting the service effected on respondents no. 6 and 7. He,
	therefore, prays for and is granted four weeks' time to take steps.
	Learned counsel for the respondents states that respondent no. 8
	has already been served.
	If steps are taken, list this case on 17.12.2014, with the office
	report, before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No.179 of 2010

Mahabeer Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant, and
	Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	In this O.A. the applicant has prayed for quashing of the discharge order dated 15.9.2009 as well as appellate order dated
	15.6.2010.
	The facts, which emerges out, are that the applicant was employed in the department of the respondents as Clerk and
	subsequently he failed twice in Proficiency Aptitude Tests. He then
	applied that remustering be done and he be absorbed as Tradesman. The respondents rejected his request on the ground of his being
	overage for the post of Tradesman.
	Learned counsel for the applicant argues that since the applicant was already in service and was drawing his pay, he cannot

be treated as overage. The question seems to be arguable in nature.

Accordingly we admit this Original Application.

Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged in this case, list this case for hearing on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 82 of 2011

Smt. Sheela Chaturvedi By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	12 10 2014
	<u>13.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Counsel for applicant,
	Shri Satish Awasthi holding brief of Shri Dilip Awasthi, leraned
	counsel for respondent no. 4, and Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, Counsel for
	the respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh.
	The applicant, who happens to be the mother of late Sep.
	Anurag Kumar Chaturvedi, has claimed, by means of this Original
	Application, that ordinary family pension, which is being given to the
	widow of the deceased Sepoy, viz. Smt. Sneh Lata Chaturvedi, may be
	converted into special family pension and accordingly be divided
	between the applicant and widow of late Sepoy. The submission of
	learned counsel for the applicant is that since the applicant happens to
	be mother of late Sepoy, who died in a fatal road accident with the
	Army vehicle while in service, as such his death be declared as
	attributable to service.
	While going through the prayer clause we find and has also

accepted by learned counsel for the applicant that the widow of late Sepoy is being awarded ordinary family pension but the prayer with respect to converting it into special family pension has not yet been made, as such there is no question of ordering division of family pension between the applicant and widow of the deceased Sepoy.

Learned counsel for the applicant prays that he be granted time to amend the prayer clause. He may do so, as prayed, within two weeks.

List this case on 10.11.2014 before the Lucknow Bench before the Court concerned having jurisdiction as per roster.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 54 of 2012

Mahendra Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	
	13.10.2014 Hon'hlo Mr. Justico Abdul Motoon, Mombor (D
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and
	Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	The question in issue is that the applicant has been ordered to
	be discharged from service on the ground of overstaying for 74 days
	after the sanctioned leave period. Thereafter, after joining the service,
	the applicant was awarded 28 days' R.I. for overstaying after the leave
	period.
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant
	cannot be discharged from service after awarding punishment of 28
	days' R.I. which the applicant has undergone so as to bring the matter
	within the parameter of the proposion of double jeopardy. The point
	seems to be arguable in nature.
	Accordingly, this Original Application is admitted.
I	

Shri Ghildiyal further submits that a similar matter, being O.A. No. 53 of 2012, is pending before the Lucknow Bench of this Tribunal. He, therefore, prays that O.A. No. 53 of 2012 be connected with this O.A. and be listed together.

Accordingly, as prayed, connect O.A. No. 53 of 2012 with this O.A. and list on 17.12.2014 for orders before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG. (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)

C.B. Jabalpur

Applicant

Respondents

O.A. No. 116 of 2014 with M.A. No. 647 of 2014

Versus

Arjun Singh Parihar By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri S.P. Tiwari, learned counsel for applicant, and Major Sameer Deshmuck, Departmental Representative.
	The counter affidavit, which is on record, is said to have been filed
	by one V. Madkam, OOC(A), Personal Officer, COD, Jabalpur. has only signed it at the place of Deponent. It has not been notarised, as such, cannot
	be said to be an affidavit in the eyes of law. Although copy of the same has
	been served on learned counsel for the applicant on 25.8.2014. Major Deshmukh states that he wants to file counter affidavit within
	a week duly sworn by the officer concerned. Let the same be filed along
	with annexures after serving a copy of the same on learned counsel for the
	applicant. List this case on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 166 of 2014

Puran Lal Tiwari By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	
	<u>13.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri S.P. Tiwari, learned counsel for applicant and Shri
	B.P.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	This Original Application has been filed by the applicant with
	the prayer that the discharge order dated 20.8.2013 be quashed.
	Time was granted to the respondents to file reply, but no reply
	could be filed and the O.A. has been admitted on 28.8.2014.
	The case of the applicant is that he retired from Army service
	and then enrolled into DSC. After 10 years of service in DSC his
	discharge order was issued on account of the fact that he was in low
	medical category P2(P). His date of discharge is 31.10.2014.
	However, on 27.06.2014 he was upgraded to medical category
	SHAPE-1. As such, the argument raised by learned counsel for the
	applicant is that the discharge order, contained in Annexure 'A-1' to
	the O.A. stands automatically cancelled since it is based upon the

Applicant

Respondents

opinion of the Medical Board that he falls within P2(P) of Medical Category. Learned counsel for the applicant has annexed as Annexure 'A-5', a letter issued by Col. Dinesh Kumar, OC Coy DSC asking (vide letter dated 14.7.2014), DSC Records as to whether in view of the up gradation of the applicant his discharge order be treated as cancelled or not.

Since no reply has been filed by the respondents, and the applicant has been declared in SHAPE- I medical category, there was no question of again re-examining the applicant medically.

In view of above, we admit the petition and grant six weeks time to the respondents to file reply and two weeks thereafter to the applicant to file rejoinder, and we hereby stay the order dated 20.8.2013, Annexure 'A-1' to the O.A.i.e. the discharge order till further orders. Major Sameer Deshmukh is directed to inform the authorities concerned that the applicant be allowed to continue in service after treating the applicant in SHAPE-I Medical Category.

List on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 420 of 2010

Inder Bhan Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	12 10 2014
	<u>13.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and
	Mrs. Kanak Gaharwar, learned counsel for the respondents along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Depatmental Representative.
	This case has been admitted on 12.4.2012 but steps have not
	been taken by the applicant.
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to take steps with respect to service of notices upon
	respondents no. 5 and 6.
	If steps are taken, office is directed to issue notices to
	respondents no. 5 and 6 and list this case after service of notice with
	office report on 17.12.2014 for orders before the Circuit Bench at
	Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 431 of 2010 with O.A. No 258 of 2012

A.K. Bhardwaj In person Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : The applicant in person and Shri B.P.S. Chauhan,
	learned counsel for the respondents.
	On call of the case a person claiming himself to be A.K.
	Bhardwaj, the applicant, appeared and stated that he has received the
	address of respondent no. 4. He further stated that he will correct the
	address of respondent no. 4 and will take steps within two days. He
	may do so within two days
	If the address of respondent no. 4 is corrected by the applicant
	and steps are taken within two days, office is directed to issue notice
	to respondent no. 4 to the correct address.
	List this case after service of notice with office report on
	18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 163 of 2010

IK Khurana By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildyal, learned counsel for applicant, and
	Mrs. Kanak Gaharwar, learned counsel for the respondents.
	On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant prays for
	and is granted three days' time to take steps for service on respondent
	no. 4.
	If steps are taken, office is directed to issue notice to
	respondent no. 4 and list this case after service of notice with the
	office report on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 187 of 2010

Harish Kumar Tiwari By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri S.K. Pandey, Counsel for applicant and Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard Shri S.K. Pandey on the prayer for quashing the discharge order dated 7.4.2010. His argument is that as per rules since
	the applicant was working in the Army as Sepoy he cannot be discharged suo motu before issuing show-cause notice, which
	admittedly has not been issued. Counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged in this
	case. Admit.
	List for hearing on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 170 of 2011

Hafizullah Khan Janbaj By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.P. Singh, learned counsel for applicant, and
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. Shri K.P. Singh states that he is not prepared with the brief
	since he has recently been engaged. He, therefore, prays for and is
	granted a month's time to prepare the brief.
	List on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 01 of 2012

Om Prakash By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	12 10 2014
	<u>13.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the applicant.
	In this Original Application the applicant has prayed for the
	benefit of Modified Assured Career Progressive (MACP). On earlier
	occasion, for this benefit, the applicant had refused to undergo
	requisite course, but, later on, he changed his mind and requested that
	the earlier refusal for undergoing MACP be treated to be withdrawn
	and his fresh submission of willingness to undergo MACP be
	accepted. This fresh request of the applicant was forwarded by the
	Army Education Corps but was declined by the Army Headquarters.
	Learned counsel for the respondents argues that once unwillingness
	has been shown by the applicant for MACP, that cannot be revoked.
	The question seems to be arguable. Accordingly, this Original
	Application is admitted.
I	I

Since counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged in this case, list this case for hearing on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

Further, as stated by learned counsel for the applicant, the point in issue involved in O.A. Nos. 02 of 2012, 03 of 2012 and 04 of 2012 are similar to that of this present O.A., i.e. O.A. No. 01 of 2012, therefore, all these Original Applications are directed to be connected with O.A. No. 01 of 2012 and be listed together on 18.12.2014.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 02 of 2012

Rajeeve Kumar KK By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	For orders see our order of date passed in O.A. No. 01 of 2012
	(Om Prakash v. Union of India & Others).
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 03 of 2012

Kamal Jeet Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and Major Sameer Deshmukh , Departmental Representative. For orders see our order of date passed in O.A. No. 01 of 2012
	(Om Prakash v. Union of India & Others).
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 04 of 2012

Sukhjit Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant and
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	For orders see our order of date passed in O.A. No. 01 of 2012
	(Om Prakash v. Union of India & Others).
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 300 of 2012

RN Tripathi By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey on behalf of
	respondents no. 1 to 6 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.
	Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
	applicant, and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties at length.
	After hearing at length, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh states that he intends to amend the prayer clause. He, therefore, prays for and is
	granted two weeks' time to file amendment application.
	List on 10.11.2014 before the Court concerned at Lucknow having jurisdiction as per roster of that date.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

O.A. No. 74 of 2014

Suresh Kumar Patel By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
<u>Registi y</u>	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildyal, learned counsel for applicant, Mrs.
	Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for the respondents, and Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	By means of this O.A. the applicant, who happens to be
	Ambulance Attendant, has prayed for quashing of the orders dated
	12.2.2013 and 27.12.2013 by means of which his application for
	premature retirement after completing 17 years of coloured service has
	been rejected taking the plea of para 146 of the Regulations for the
	Army.
	Para 146 of the Regulations for the Army reads thus :-
	"146. Compulsory Retention in Service. – A man enrolled
	for contractual period of engagement who has completed
	prescribed service or age limit applicable for his rank, and does

not wish to extent it, may be retained in service compulsorily for so long as a war is imminent or existing or the establishment to which he belongs is 10 per cent below strength or in other cases if the exigencies of service so require. A formal extension of colour service is not necessary in such cases."

Learned counsel for the respondents laid emphasis on the ground that since Ambulance Assistant category was below 10% of the strength, as such the application, which has been moved by the applicant for his continuance after serving 17 years of coloured service, has been rejected and he had been ordered to continue in service upto 31.10.2016, vide Annexure 'A-1'.

Learned counsel for the applicant has placed before this Court a communication received under RTI Act, i.e. the letter issued by one Maj. Satheesan A, PRO of AMC Records dated 18.9.2014. The said communication is taken on record. It indicates that 30 personnel were sanctioned <u>premature</u> retirement of Ambulance Assistant category with effect from 1.1.2011 to 30.8.2014. As such the argument advanced by learned counsel for the applicant that in the case of other Ambulance Assistants their applications for premature retirements have been accepted but the applicant's unwillingness to continue in service after completing 17 years of coloured service has been refused. It has, therefore, been argued that in the aforesaid circumstances it cannot be said that the application of the applicant cannot be refused on the ground that there was deficiencies of 10% below strength as provided under para 146 of the Regulations for the Army.

While hearing and in the aforesaid circumstance, it seems very surprising that on one hand the respondents are accepting the request for premature retirement from other Ambulance Assistants with effect from 1.1.2011 to 30.8.2014 but the applicant who has fulfilled his terms and conditions after completing 17 years of coloured service has been refused and has been directed to continue upto 31.10.2016.

Under the aforesaid circumstances, we deem it just, proper, reasonable and lawful to quash the order dated 12.2.2013 (Annexure 'A-1') and order dated 27.12.2013 (Annexure 'A-2'), relating to the applicant, and direct that the applicant be allowed to be discharged from service of the respondents as Ambulance Assistant with effect from 31.10.2014.

In view of above, this Original Application No.74 of 2014 stands allowed to the aforesaid extent without an order of cost.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 267 of 2010

Mahak Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	13.10.2014
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for applicant, and
	Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for the respondents, along
	with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on
	18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur. The original record
	which has been brought today by the respondents shall be kept for the
	next date.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J) PG.
I	10.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 270 of 2010

Shiv Lal Yadav By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

than Brigadier, but the order passed by GOC-in-C has not been placed before this Court. The order dated 1.12.1998 disclosed that the approval of termination of services of the applicant was granted by GOC-in-C.

However, learned Standing Counsel is directed to place the order of GOC-in-C before this Court on the next date.

List on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 322 of 2010

Natthu Singh By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u>
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Mrs. Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for the
	respondents, along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental
	Representative.
	On call of the case a person claiming himself to be Shri Natthu
	Singh, the applicant, appeared and stated that his counsel has not come
	to this Court today. He, therefore, prays that the case be adjourned.
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.
	List on 16.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 427 of 2010

Manoj Kumar Yadav By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	
	<u>13.10.2014</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for applicant, and Mrs.
	Kanak Gahairwal, learned counsel for the respondents along with
	Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Learned counsel for the respondents states that she intends to
	file counter affidavit to the amended petition. She, therefore, prays for
	and is granted four weeks' time and no more to file counter affidavit.
	List on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 435 of 2010

Smt. Neelam Sethi By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	13.10.2014Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan
	on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 3 is taken on record. When the case
	is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.
	Present : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for
	respondents no. 1 to 3, and Shri Ashish Bargale, learned counsel for
	respondent no. 4.
	List has been revised. No one appears for the applicant. On the
	contrary learned counsel for respondents no. 1 to 3 and learned
	counsel for respondent no. 4 are present.
	However, in the interest of justice we direct that the matter be
	listed again on 19.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 489 of 2010

Anil Chaudhary By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	<u>13.10.2014</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u>
	Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents,
	along with Major Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative. On call of the case a request has been made by Shri Shailendra
	Kumar Singh, Advocate, on behalf of learned counsel for the
	applicant that this case be taken up at Lucknow Bench of this Tribunal. Shri Singh also informed the Court that an application has
	already been moved in this regard before the Lucknow Bench of this
	Tribunal. However, that application is not on record. Shri Singh
	supplies a copy of that application. It is being taken on record. Accordingly, list this case on 16.1.2015 before the Court
	concerned having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 891 of 2010 with M.A. No 197 of 2014 and M.A. No 990 of 2014

Nashib Kaur By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
	13.10.2014 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J) Hon'ble L4 Con. A.M. Norma, Member (A)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri P.N. Dubey, learned counsel for applicant and Shri
	S.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	This Transferred Application has been filed by the widow of
	late Capt. Jaswant Singh praying for pensionary benefits of her late
	husband, who had died in the year 2004.
	It has been impressed upon this Court by learned counsel for
	the applicant while taking us to Annexure 'A-1' that the husband of
	the applicant has served the Army for 15 years 6 months and 15 days
	and was discharged on compassionate ground as far back as in the
	year 1953. Learned Standing Counsel opposes this Transferred
	Application and the prayer clause on the ground, firstly, that the
	applicant's husband was dismissed from service, as such he is not

entitled for pension, and, secondly, the husband of the applicant has not completed pensionable service period right upt0 the year 1953 i.e. the year of discharge/dismissal, therefore, the question of entitlement of pension by the applicant do not arise.

Learned counsel for the applicant opposes the argument of learned counsel for the respondent saying that the applicant was not dismissed from service, rather he had retired from service after rendering 15 years, 6 months and 15 days' service on compassionate ground.

The respondents are, therefore, directed to place on record such document, by means of an affidavit, wherein it be indicated that the applicant was dismissed from service within three weeks from today.

List on 17.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) PG.

C.B. Jabalpur

T.A. No. 918 of 2010

Smt. Viaijanti Pradhan By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the C.B. Nainital
Registry	12 10 2014
	<u>13.10.2014</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)
	Present : Shri R.B. Singh, learned counsel for applicant, and Mrs.
	Kanak Gahairwal, learned cunsel for the respondents along with Major
	Sameer Deshmukh, Departmental Representative.
	Learned counsel for the applicant has filed an amendment
	application today in Court after serving a copy of the same on learned
	counsel for the respondents. It is taken on record.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted
	four weeks' time to file objection.
	List on 18.12.2014 before the Circuit Bench at Jabalpur.
	(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	PG.

Applicant

Respondents