Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 53 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 553 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 569 of 2022 with M.A. No. 570 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 553 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jagdish Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 553 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 569 of 2022 with M.A. No. 570 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 553 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 53 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 553 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Jagdish Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 54 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 550 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 567 of 2022 with M.A. No. 568 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 550 of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Diwan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 550 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 567 of 2022 with M.A. No. 568 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 550 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 54 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 550 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nb. Sub. Diwan Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 55 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 563 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 571 of 2022 with M.A. No. 572 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 563 of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 553 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 571 of 2022 with M.A. No. 572 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 563 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 55 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 563 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 56 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2021
Along with
M.A. No. 559 of 2022 with M.A. No. 560 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Mohan Chandra Joshi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 185 of 2021. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 559 of 2022 with M.A. No. 560 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2021
Along with
Ex. A. No. 56 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nk. Mohan Chandra Joshi Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 57 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 561 of 2022 with M.A. No. 562 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	**Orders of the Tribunal**
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 546 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 561 of 2022 with M.A. No. 562 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 57 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 58 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 564 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 563 of 2022 with M.A. No. 564 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 564 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 564 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 563 of 2022 with M.A. No. 564 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 564 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 58 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 59 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 548 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 565 of 2022 with M.A. No. 566 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 548 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 548 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 565 of 2022 with M.A. No. 566 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 548 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 59 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 548 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 60 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 549 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 557 of 2022 with M.A. No. 558 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 549 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 549 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 557 of 2022 with M.A. No. 558 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 549 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 60 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 549 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Jeet Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 61 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 562 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 573 of 2022 with M.A. No. 574 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 562 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Daya Kishan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 562 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 573 of 2022 with M.A. No. 574 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 562 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 61 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 562 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nk. Daya Kishan Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 62 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 555 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 555 of 2022 with M.A. No. 556 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 555 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Dori Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 555 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 555 of 2022 with M.A. No. 556 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 555 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 62 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 555 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Dori Lal Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 63 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 531 of 2022 with M.A. No. 532 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 552 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 531 of 2022 with M.A. No. 532 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 63 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Ram Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 64 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 547 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 575 of 2022 with M.A. No. 576 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 547 of 2020

Es. Sep. Anand Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	**Orders of the Tribunal**
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 547 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 575 of 2022 with M.A. No. 576 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 547 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 64 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 547 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Anand Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 65 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 547 of 2022 with M.A. No. 548 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Mohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 558 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 547 of 2022 with M.A. No. 548 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 65 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Mohan Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 67 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 553 of 2022 with M.A. No. 554 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Dinesh Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 565 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 553 of 2022 with M.A. No. 554 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 67 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Dinesh Chandra Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Ex. A. No. 66 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2020
Along with
M.A. No. 529 of 2022 with M.A. No. 530 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Anand Ballabh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 559 of 2020. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that despite there being direction to implement the order within four months from the date of order the same has not been complied with. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents have filed a Leave to Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal which has been dismissed today, therefore, a reasonable time be granted to seek instructions, which is allowed. Instructions be sought within four weeks. List on **06.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 529 of 2022 with M.A. No. 530 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 66 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2020

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Anand Ballabh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the respondent. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

M.A. No. 545 of 2022 with M.A. No. 546 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 426 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. Tula Ram Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others a. For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condoantion application, delay of 62 days in filing of Leave to Appeal is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 150 of 2022

Jasoda Devi W/o Late Sep. Murari Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Counter Affidavit has been filed by the respondents, which is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit. List on **05.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 151 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Mohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit. List on **07.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 157 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Kushal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Counter Affidavit has been filed by the respondents in reply to Original Application, which is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit. List on **07.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 198 of 2022

Smt. Kausha Devi W/o Late Spr. Prem Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit. List on **07.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 199 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Kushal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit. List on **07.12.2022.** **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 704 of 2022 with M.A. No. 875 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Hayat Singh Kalakoti Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of service pension after condoning the shortfall of 06 months and 17 days in minimum qualifying service in view of Government of India, Ministry of Defence, letter No. 14(02)/2011-D (Pen/Pol) dated 20.06.2017 and Principal Controller of Defence Account (Pension) Circular No. 589. There being a delay of 19 years, 08 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application an application for condonation of delay has been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed the prayer on the premise that there is delay of more than 19 years and it has not been properly and satisfactorily explained. Being a pensionary matter, delay in filing of Original Application is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly. **O.A. No. 704 of 2022** Matter needs adjudication. Admit. Issue notice to the respondents. Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, therefore, service of notice is waived. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List on **05.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 705 of 2022 with M.A. No. 876 of 2022

Ex. L/Nk. Mohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of service pension after condoning the shortfall of 06 months and 17 days in minimum qualifying service in view of Government of India, Ministry of Defence, letter No. 14(02)/2011-D (Pen/Pol) dated 20.06.2017 and Principal Controller of Defence Account (Pension) Circular No. 589. There being a delay of 22 years, 01 month and 18days in filing of Original Application an application for condonation of delay has been filed. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed the prayer on the premise that there is delay of more than 22 years and it has not been properly and satisfactorily explained. Being a pensionary matter, delay in filing of Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly. **O.A. No. 705 of 2022** Matter needs adjudication. Admit. Issue notice to the respondents. Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, therefore, service of notice is waived. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List on **05.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 706 of 2022 with M.A. No. 877 of 2022

Sep. Gusain Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. Heard Shri Bipin Bihari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. This is an Original Application for the grant of disability pension to the applicant on the premise that it has been wrongly denied by the respondents. Applicant has been discharged in low medical category which was attributable to military service. In regard to delay of 30 years, 10 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application an application for condonation of delay has been filed wherein applicant has stated that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer on the premise that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis. Considering that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter, delay in filing of Original Application is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly. **O.A. No. 706 of 2022** Case needs adjudication. Admit. Issue notice to the respondents. Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, therefore, service of notice is waived. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List on **05.12.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 709 of 2021

Col. P.R. Kathuria (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	**Orders of the Tribunal**
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is **allowed**. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 711 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Balbir Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is **dismissed**. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

O.A. No. 127 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Surendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	06.09.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri M.S. Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is **allowed**. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** AKD/SB/-