

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX- A. No. 53 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 553 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Jagdish Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX- A. No. 54 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 550 of 2020**

**Ex Nb Sub Diwan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX- A. No. 55 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 563 of 2020**

**Ex Nb Sub Shyam Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 4)**

**EX- A. No. 56 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 185 of 2021**

**Ex Nk Mohan Chandra Joshi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX- A. No. 57 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 546 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Khim Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 6)**

**EX- A. No. 58 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 564 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 7)**

**EX- A. No. 59 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 548 of 2020**

**Ex Nk Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX- A. No. 60 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 549 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Jeet Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX- A. No. 61 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 562 of 2020**

**Ex Nk Daya Kishan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 10)**

**EX- A. No. 62 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 555 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Dori Lal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 11)**

**EX- A. No. 63 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 552 of 2020**

**Ex Nb Sub Shyam Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 12)**

**EX- A. No. 64 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 547 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Anand Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 13)**

**EX- A. No. 65 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 558 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Mohan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 14)**

**EX- A. No. 66 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 559 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Anand Ballabh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 15)**

**EX- A. No. 67 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 565 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Dinesh Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to make compliance and file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 709 of 2022**

**Smt Deoki Devi W/o Ex Spr Dhan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 710 of 2022**

**Santosh Singh S/o Late Hav Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 711 of 2022**

**Lt Col Sagar Shahi (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 712 of 2022**

**Lt Col Pankaj Ahuja (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 713 of 2022 with M.A. No. 886 of 2022**

**Kundal Singh S/o Late Sep Bhur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of two weeks to file objection, if any on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 714 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Mahendar Singh Rawat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 1009 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1221 of 2022**

**Col Mahipal Singh Deopa (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 20)**  
**Supplementary**

**Ex.A. No. 214 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 243 of 2014**

**Ex Sep Rajendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Ms. Vandana Singh, Advocate

Shri SP Sherawat, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate holding brief of Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the respondents No. 5 &amp; 6.</p> <p>No Supplementary Affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents No. 5 &amp; 6 nor anyone is present today to clarify the position vide this Bench order dated 30.05.2022.</p> <p>It is directed that on the next date fixed, Manager, State Bank of India, Kotdwar(Uttarakhand) or some responsible officer from the Bank shall remain physically present before the Bench to clarify the position/status with regard to implementation of order passed by this Bench.</p> <p>In the meantime, learned counsel for the applicant shall produce updated Passbook of the applicant/statement of account of the relevant years of 2007 &amp; 2008 within a period of four weeks to verify payment of invaliding gratuity in applicant's bank account.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 1)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**O.A. No. 272 of 2023 with M.A. No. 302 of 2023**

**L/Nk Naresh Naudiyal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 302 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 01 year, 09 months and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable</p>



and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.

Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.

**O.A. No 272 of 2023**

Matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **22.05.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

rathore

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 2)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**O.A. No. 273 of 2023 with M.A. No. 303 of 2023**

**Mrs Basanti Dhami W/o Late Hav Inder Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Anil Kumar Bisht**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 303 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Kumar Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 01 year, 05 months and 11 days in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p>

Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.

Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.

**O.A. No 273 of 2023**

Matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **22.05.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

rathore

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 3)**  
(Supplementary)

**O.A. No. 274 of 2023 with M.A. No. 304 of 2023**

**Ex Nb/Sub Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Anil Kumar Bisht**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Neeraj Upreti**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 304 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Kumar Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 06 months and 11 days in filing original application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p>

Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.

Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.

**O.A. No 274 of 2023**

Matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **22.05.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

rathore

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 4)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**M.A. No. 286 of 2023 with M.A. No. 287 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 427 of 2021**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Nk Devendra Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 286 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 08 months and 10 days as reported by the registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 287 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 5)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**M.A. No. 288 of 2023 with M.A. No. 289 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 710 of 2021**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Hav Lachhma Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 288 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 08 months and 12 days as reported by the registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 289 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 6)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**M.A. No. 290 of 2023 with M.A. No. 291 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 417 of 2019**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Sep Daan Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 290 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 11 months and 11 days as reported by the registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 291 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) rathore</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No.7)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**M.A. No. 292 of 2023 with M.A. No. 293 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 135 of 2022**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sub Rajendra Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 292 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 08 months and 10 days as reported by the registry. This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 293 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 8)**  
(Supplementary)

**M.A. No. 294 of 2023 with M.A. No. 295 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 179 of 2022**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**L/Nk Ravi Bhatt** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 294 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 10 months and 08 days as reported by the registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 295 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 9)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**M.A. No. 296 of 2023 with M.A. No. 297 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 178 of 2022**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**L/Nk Naresh Naudiyal** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 296 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 05 months and 06 days as reported by the registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 297 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) rathore</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 10)**  
(Supplementary)

**M.A. No. 298 of 2023 with M.A. No. 299 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 133 of 2022**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Nk Harish Chandra Singh Rautela** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 298 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 05 months and 06 days as reported by the registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 299 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 11)**  
(Supplementary)

**M.A. No. 300 of 2023 with M.A. No. 301 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2022**

**Union of India & Ors** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sub Krishan Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 300 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is delay of 02 months and 07 days as reported by the registry. This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 301 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since application for condonation of delay has been <b>rejected</b>, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 12)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/46 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 137 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Prem Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Neeraj Upreti**, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 31.05.2022 passed in O.A. No. 137 of 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 13)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/47 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 710 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Lachham Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 30.05.2022 passed in O.A. No. 710 of 2021.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 14)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/48 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 179 of 2022**

**L/Nk Ravi Bhatt** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 07.09.2022 passed in O.A. No. 179 of 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 15)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/49 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 153 of 2022**

**L/Nk Tej Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Neeraj Upreti**, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 07.09.2022 passed in O.A. No. 153 of 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 16)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/50 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 130 of 2022**

**Ex L/Nk Rajendra Singh Bisht** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 31.05.2022 passed in O.A. No. 130 of 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 17)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/51 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 432 of 2021**

**Hav Rajan Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 22.02.2022 passed in O.A. No. 432 of 2021.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 18)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/53 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 178 of 2022**

**L/Nk Naresh Naudiyal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 07.09.2022 passed in O.A. No. 178 of 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) rathore</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 19)**  
(Supplementary)

**Ex-A/54 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 154 of 2022**

**Ex L/Nk Navdeep Gaur** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Neeraj Upreti**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for execution of order dated 07.09.2022 passed in O.A. No. 154 of 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) rathore</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 20)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/214 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 243 of 2014**

**Ex Sep Rajendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri CS Rawat**, Advocate  
**Ms Vandana Singh**, Advocate  
**Shri SP Sherawat**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri CS Rawat, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Order in chamber.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**C B Nainital (Sl. No. 21)**  
**(Supplementary)**

**Ex-A/100 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 185 of 2014**

**Rfn Lal Bahadur Gurung** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Kishore Rai**, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Shri Rajesh Sharma**, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shri Rajesh Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant four weeks time is granted to file updated copy of bank pass book.</p> <p>List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>