

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 68 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 561 of 2020

Ex Sep Pooran Singh Dasila Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 2)

EX- A. No. 69 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 566 of 2020

Ex Sep Harish Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriTarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriTarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 70 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 554 of 2020

Ex NkKhushi Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriTarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriTarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 71 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 551 of 2020

Ex NkKishan Singh alias Krishan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriTarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriTarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 73 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 545 of 2020

Ex Sep Pratap Singh Karmiyal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriTarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriTarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 6)

EX- A. No. 74 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 557 of 2020

Ex Sep Mahesh Chandra Joshi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriTarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriTarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 7)

EX- A. No. 75 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 556 of 2020

Ex Sep Khim Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriTarun PS Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriTarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with Law Ministry.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, additional cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 8)

EX- A. No. 294 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 408 of 2019

Ex Hav (HonyNb Sub) Jaspal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri MS Bhandari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri MS Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance failing which Rs. 5000/-, cost shall be paid by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 125 of 2022

RfnKuldeep Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriMS Bhandari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriMS Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit alongwith original Court of Inquiry and veracity of leave certificate which is annexed as Annexure 1 of Original Application.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 198 of 2022

SmtKausha Devi W/o Late SprPrem Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 199 of 2022

Ex NkKhushal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant four weeks further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to file copy of Release Medical Board of the applicant alongwith Court of Inquiry and Injury Report, if available with them on the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 478 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1239 of 2022

Ex NkTribhuwan Kumar Dalakoti Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriNK Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection to amendment application has not yet been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file objection if any against amendment application.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 717 of 2022

Lt Col Iqbal Miyan Ansari (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 722 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Bhim Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri NK Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 726 of 2022

Ex Nk Rajeev Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SS Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SS Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 727 of 2022

Col Jagjeet Singh Rautela Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 728 of 2022

Ex Sep Pancham Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate
ShriAbhishekDutt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing ShriSuresh Chandra Bhatt and ShriAbhishekDutt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1029 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1240 of 2022

Ex CfnRaunak Ali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1030 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1241 of 2022

Ex Hav Surendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1031 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1242 of 2022

Ex HavMangal Singh Samant Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1033 of 2022

Ex HavUttam Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1034 of 2022

Sub MajNavin Chandra Mathpal (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleVice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Rathore/SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 1)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 275 of 2023 with M.A. No. 305 of 2023

SmtShakambari Devi W/oNk (TS) Balbir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 20 years, 07 months and 05 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 2)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 276 of 2023 with M.A. No. 306 of 2023

NkPushkar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 21 years, 11 months and 27 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 3)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 277 of 2023 with M.A. No. 307 of 2023

Ex Sep Ganga Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 35 years, 06 months and 09 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (SI. No. 4)
(supplementary)
O.A. No. 278 of 2023 with M.A. No. 308 of 2023

Ex Nk Shakti Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
ShriVivek Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 308 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Anthwal and ShriVivek Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 04 years, 04 months and 27 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p>

O.A. No 278 of 2023

Matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **26.05.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 5)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 279 of 2023 with M.A. No. 314 of 2023

Ex Nk (TS) Surendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri CS Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri CS Rawat and Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 11 years and 27 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

C B Nainital (SI. No. 6)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 280 of 2023 with M.A. No. 309 of 2023

NkHari Prasad Uniyal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
ShriVivek Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 309 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Anthwal and ShriVivek Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 06 months and 11 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p>

O.A. No 280 of 2023

Matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **26.05.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 7)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 281 of 2023 with M.A. No. 310 of 2023

Ex HavPrakash Chandra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri CS Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri CS Rawat and Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 11 years, 02 months and 27 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

C B Nainital (Sl. No. 8)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 282 of 2023 with M.A. No. 311 of 2023

Sep Bikram Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 311 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 03 months and 11 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p>

O.A. No 282 of 2023

Matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **26.05.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 9)
(supplementary)

O.A. No. 283 of 2023 with M.A. No. 312 of 2023

Ex SPR Suresh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri PS Bora, Advocate
ShriPrabhat Bora, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri PS Bohra and ShriPrabhatBohra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>There is delay of 15 years and 10 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
C B Nainital (Sl. No. 10)
(supplementary)
O.A. No. 284 of 2023 with M.A. No. 313 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Mahipal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 313 of 2023</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay of 06 years, 10 months and 26 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No 284 of 2023</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p>

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.

List on **26.05.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore