

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 01**

**O.A. No. 1116 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1527 of 2023**

**Km. Ashana Negi D/o Ex Spr Satey Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri M.S Bhandari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Shri M.S Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 06 years 09 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1116 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 02**

**O.A. No. 1117 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1536 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk Durga Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 01 month and 05 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1117 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 03**

**O.A. No. 1118 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1537 of 2023**

**Rect Mohit Rana**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 19 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1118 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 04**

**O.A. No. 1119 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1538 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Devendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 19 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1119 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 05**

**O.A. No. 1120 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1539 of 2023**

**Rfn Ganesh Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 24 years and 27 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he may be permitted to file objection on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay.</li><li>7. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 06**

**O.A. No. 1121 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1540 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Chintamani Palariya**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 09 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1121 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 07**

**O.A. No. 1122 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1541 of 2023**

**Ex L/Nk Prakash Chandra**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 01 month and 05 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1122 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 08**

**O.A. No. 1123 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1547 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Jaypal Singh Bhandari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 18 years 04 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 09**

**O.A. No. 1124 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1548 of 2023**

**Sep/PO Ganesh Prasad Rana**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 years 04 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1124 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 10**

**O.A. No. 1126 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1549 of 2023**

**Smt. Geeta Thapa D/o Late Rfn Dev Bahadur** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 03 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1126 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 11**

**O.A. No. 1127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1550 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Raghuvveer Singh Mahar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 02 years 07 months and 03 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1127 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 12**

**O.A. No. 1128 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1551 of 2023**

**Ex Rfn Manoj Kumar Thapa**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 18 years and 03 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objections on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 13**

**O.A. No. 1129 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1552 of 2023**

**Janki Devi W/o Late Sep Devender Prasad Arya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate  
Shri D.K. Joshi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 22 years 09 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objections on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 14**

**O.A. No. 1130 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1553 of 2023**

**Ex Rfn Laxman Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 years 07 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1130 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 15**

**O.A. No. 1134 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1554 of 2023**

**Ex L/Nk Bhupendra Singh Sisola**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 05 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1134 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 16**

**Ex-A 335/2023 inre O.A. No. 1035 of 2022**

**Smt. Basanti Devi W/o Late Hav Diwan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 08.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 1035/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 17**

**Ex-A 336/2023 inre O.A. No. 1039 of 2022**

**Ex Nb Sub M.C Joshi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 08.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 1039/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 18**

**Ex-A 337/2023 inre O.A. No. 704 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Hayat Singh Kalakoti**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 16.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 704/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 19**

**Ex. A. No. 338 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 705 of 2022**

**Ex L/Nk Mohan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 16.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 705/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 20**

**Ex. A. No. 339 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 180 of 2022**

**Sub Rampal Singh Rawat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 180/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 21**

**Ex A No. 340 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 716 of 2022**

**Nb Sub/MT Amrendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 06.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 716/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 22**

**Ex A No. 341 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 502 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Kishan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 08.03.2021 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 502/2020.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 23**

**Ex A No. 342 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 181 of 2022**

**Basanti Devi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 05.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 181/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 24**

**Ex A No. 343 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 713 of 2021**

**Visha Devi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 30.05.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 713/2021.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

Suppl. Serial No. 25

Ex A No. 344 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 156 of 2022

**Ex Hav Chakradhar Prasad Painuly** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 156/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 26**

**Ex A No. 345 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1032 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Surendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 08.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 1032/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 27**

**Ex A No. 346 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1398 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Khushal Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 1398/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 28**

**M.A. No 1528 of 2023 with M.A. No 1529 of 2023 Inre Dy No 845 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 153 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex L/Nk Tej Singh**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that defects raised by the Registry have been removed.</li><li>3. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 153 of 2022.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>5. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>6. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 29**

**M.A. No 1530 of 2023 with M.A. No 1531 of 2023 Inre Dy No 846 of 2023 Inre O.A.  
No 154 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex L/Nk Navdeep Gaur**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that defects raised by the Registry have been removed.</li><li>3. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 154 of 2022.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>5. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>6. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 30**

**M.A. No 1532 of 2023 with M.A. No 1533 of 2023 Inre Dy No 938 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 156 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Hav Chakradhar Prasad Painuly**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that defects raised by the Registry have been removed.</li><li>3. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 156 of 2022.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>5. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>6. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p>
	UKT/RK

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

Suppl. Serial No. 31

**M.A. No 1534 of 2023 with M.A. No 1535 of 2023 Inre Dy No 1840 of 2023 Inre O.A.**  
**No 528 of 2020**

**Union of Indian & Others** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex L/Nk Ranjeet Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b> <b><u>M.A No. 1534/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that defects raised by the Registry have been removed.</li><li>3. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.11.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 528 of 2020.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 02 months and 02 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>5. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 01 year and 02 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1535 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 01**

**Ex. A. No. 262 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 709 of 2021**

**Col. PR Kathuria (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 06.09.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 709/2021.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 02**

**Ex.A. No. 53 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 553 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Jagdish Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</li><li>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 03**

**Ex A No. 54 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 550 of 2020**

**Ex Nb Sub Diwan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>6. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 04**

**Ex A No. 55 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 563 of 2020**

**Ex Nb Sub Shyam Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 05**

**Ex A No. 56 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 185 of 2021**

**Ex NK Mohan Chandra Joshi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>6. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Serial No. 06

Ex A No. 57 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 546 of 2020

**Ex Sep Khim Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 07**

**Ex A No. 58 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 564 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Kishan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 08**

**Ex A No. 59 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 548 of 2020**

**Ex NK Kishan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</li><li>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 09**

**Ex A No. 60 of 2022 Inre O.A. No.549 of2020**

**Ex Sep Jeet Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>6. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 10**

**Ex A No. 61 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 562 of 2020**

**Ex Nk Daya Kishan**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>8. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Serial No. 11

Ex A No. 62 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 555 of 2020

**Ex Sep Dori Lal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 12**

**Ex A No. 63 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 552 of 2020**

**Ex Nb Shyam Ram**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 13**

**Ex. A No. 64 of 2022 Inrre O.A. No. 547 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Anand Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 14**

**Ex.A. No. 65 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 558 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Mohan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 15**

**Ex.A. No. 66 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 559 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Anand Ballabh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 16**

**Ex.A. No. 67 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 565 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Dinesh Chandra**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</li><li>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 17**

**O.A. No. 709 of 2022**

**Smt. Deoki Devi W/o Ex Spr Dhan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 18**

**O.A. No. 710 of 2022**

**Santosh Singh S/o Late Hav. Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 19**

**O.A. No. 711 of 2022**

**Lt. Col Sagar Shahi (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to file counter affidavit. As a last chance, four weeks time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 20**

**O.A. No. 712 of 2022**

**Lt Col Pankaj Ahuja (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to file counter affidavit. As a last chance, four weeks time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 21**

**O.A. No. 713 of 2022 with M.A. No. 886 of 2022**

**Kundal Singh S/o Late Sep Bhur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply to objection of delay.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 22**

**O.A. No. 714 of 2022**

**Ex.Sub Mahendar Singh Rawat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 23**

**O.A. No. 1009 of 2022**

**Col Mahipal Singh Deopa (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava , Advocate  
Shri Neeraj Upareti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Neeraj Upareti Ld. Counsel for the respondent is present.</p> <p>2. In this case, applicant has requested to transfer this case to Regional Bench, Lucknow.</p> <p>3. List this matter on <b>03.10.2023</b> before Regional Bench, Lucknow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 24**

**O.A. No. 285 of 2023 with M.A. No. 384 of 2023**

**Ex.Rect Prem Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N K Papnoi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N K Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply to objection of delay.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 25**

**O.A. No. 286 of 2023 with M.A. No. 315 of 2023**

**Ex NK (TS) Sunder Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Dutt, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt and Shri Abhishek Dutt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents filed objection with regard to delay, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply/say over the objections raised by the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 26**

**O.A. No. 287 of 2023**

**Ex. LAC Lalit Mohan Pant**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C S Rawat, Advocate  
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri C S Rawat and Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that case was admitted on 16.03.2023 and time was granted to the respondents to file counter reply. Same has not yet been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 27**

**O.A. No. 288 of 2023**

**Hav Yuvaraju Nimmana**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that case was admitted on 16.03.2023 and time was granted to the respondents to file counter reply. Same has not yet been filed.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.09.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 28**

**O.A. No. 310 with M.A. No. 346 of 2023**

**Rajendra Singh Rawat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate  
Shri Harish Adhikari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant stated that his name has wrongly been printed in the cause list as counsel for the applicant. Shri Kishore Kumar is the arguing counsel in the matter. Registry is directed to print the name of Shri Kishore Kumar in place of Shri Kishore Rai as counsel for the applicant in the future cause list.</li><li>3. Perusal of the file reveals that objection on maintainability has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that O.A is not maintainable as the deceased was civil defence employee posted at MES and hence, was not subject to Army Act. He seeks further time to file objections in this regard. Two weeks time is allowed to file the same.</li><li>5. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**R.A. 25 of 2023 with M.A. No 383 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. 729 of 2022**

**L/Nk Ritesh Pant**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>19.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. The applicant has filed this Review Application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008. By means of this Review Application, the applicant has made prayer to review the judgment and order dated 07.12.2022 passed in O.A. No 729 of 2022 and pass a fresh and final judgment.</p> <p>2. There is delay of 02 month and 10 days in filing of Review Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>3. As per judgment of Larger Bench AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi, dated 16.11.2021 passed in M.A. No 321 of 2018 in R.A. (Diary No 10920 of 2018 in O.A. No 64 of 2016, in the case of <i>Union of India &amp; Ors Versus Ex Sep M Anthony Victor</i>, the delay in filing Review Application is condonable. In the said judgment, Hon'ble Principal Bench has held that:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The tribunal is conferred with power under the Act and the Rules framed thereunder to condone delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing the Review Application despite</i></p>

*rule 18 of the Rules”.*

4. In view of decision of larger Bench of AFT, New Delhi, application for condonation of delay in moving Review application is allowed and delay in filing the Review Application is condoned.

5. The matter came up before us by way of Circulation as per provisions of Rule 18 (3) of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008, whereby the applicant has prayed for review of the order dated 07.12.2022 passed in O.A No. 729 of 2022 and pass a fresh order. The aforesaid O.A. was dismissed on the ground that at the time of discharge from service Release Medical Board assessed applicant's disability @ 40% for life. Applicant was granted War Injury Pension @ 40% rounded of to 50% for life. It was held that Release Medical Board is a duly constituted body and findings of the board should be given due credence.

6. We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application and have also gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed. The judgment and order sought to be reviewed was passed in proper prospective after considering all the facts and circumstances. No illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record has been shown to us so as to review the aforesaid judgment of this Court.

7. It is settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and the applicant has to show that there is error apparent on the face of the record. For ready reference the Order 47 Rule 1 Sub Rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure is reproduced below :-

*"1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved---*

*(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,*

*(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed by this Code, or*

*(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment of the Court which passed the decree or made the order."*

8. In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of Parsion Devi and Others vs. Sumitri Devi and others reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as under :-

*"9. Under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power review under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47 Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."*

9. In the instant case, the details mentioned in the review application had already been taken into consideration and discussed in detail and thereafter the order was passed. In view of the principle of law laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of Parsion Devi and Others (supra), we are of the considered view that there is no error apparent on the face of record in the impugned order dated 07.12.2022, which may be corrected in exercise of review jurisdiction.

10. Accordingly, R.A. No 25 of 2023 is **rejected**. There shall be no order as to costs. The applicant may be informed accordingly.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)  
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)  
Member (J)

UKT/RK