

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 01**

**O.A. No. 1135 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1555 of 2023**

**Ex Hav (H/Nb Sub) Dhan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate  
Shri Vishal S. Mahara, Advocate  
Shri Rajesh Nagarkoti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pensionary benefits to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 years, 03 months and 22 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he may be permitted to file objection on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay.</li><li>7. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 02**

**O.A. No. 1125 of 2023**

**Hav/Clk Dalchand Pal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application pertains to premature retirement from service.</li><li>4. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>5. Admit.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>7. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Suppl. Serial No. 03

O.A. No. 1136 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1578 of 2023

**Geeta Devi Widow of Late Swr Subham Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahavir Kohli, Advocate  
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Mahavir Kohli assisted by Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 02 years 08 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1136 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 04**

**M.A. No 1556 of 2023 with M.A. No 1557 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 518 of 2020**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Hav Pravindra Singh**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.03.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 518 of 2020.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 19 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p>
	UKT/RK

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

Suppl. Serial No. 05

**M.A. No 1558 of 2023 with M.A. No 1559 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 181 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Basanti Devi**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1558/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 05.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 181 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 08 months and 12 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 08 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1559 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 06**

**M.A. No 1560 of 2023 with M.A. No 1561 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 180 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sub Rampal Singh Rawat**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1560/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 180 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 11 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 11 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1561 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

Suppl. Serial No. 07

**M.A. No 1564 of 2023 with M.A. No 1565 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 778 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ganesh Singh S/o Late Gopal Singh**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 778 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 03 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 08**

**M.A. No 1566 of 2023 with M.A. No 1567 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 422 of 2021**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Prem Ballabh Bhatt**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Uol &amp; Others (respondents in the O.A) is present.</li><li>2. Through M.A No. 1566/2023 applicants (respondents in the O.A) have sought correction in judgement and order dated 17.11.2021 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 422 of 2021 (Prem Ballabh Bhatt Vs Uol).</li><li>3. It has been submitted that in Para 2 of the judgement, it has been mentioned that the applicant was retired from Territorial Army on 31.08.2018 whereas as per record the applicant was discharged from service of Territorial Army on 31.08.2020.</li><li>4. Perusal of the file and judgement reveals that it is a typographical error, hence, correction application is allowed. Necessary correction has been incorporated in the judgement dated 17.11.2021.</li><li>5. Registry is directed to provide the corrected copy of the judgement to the Id. Counsel for the parties.</li><li>6. M.A No. 1566/2023 stands disposed of accordingly.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 09**

**M.A. No 1568 of 2023 with M.A. No 1569 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 138 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Nk Kushal Singh**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1568/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 138 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 11 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 11 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1569 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 10**

**M.A. No 1572 of 2023 with M.A. No 1573 of 2023**  
**Inre T.A. No 08 of 2012**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Prakash Singh**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1572/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 05.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Transfer Application No. 08 of 2012.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 08 months and 12 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 08 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1573 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 11**

**M.A. No 1574 of 2023 with M.A. No 1575 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 136 of 2022**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sep Dhan Singh**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1574/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 136 of 2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 08 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 08 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1575 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 12**

**M.A. No 1576 of 2023 with M.A. No 1577 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 433 of 2021**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Rect Susheel Tamta**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 22.02.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 433 of 2021.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 19 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 16**

**O.A. No. 274 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Bahadur Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Kumar Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Anil Kumar Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that the applicant was found suffering from disability 'Bilateral Sensorineural hearing Loss' by RMB held at the time of discharge, which was assessed @1-5% and his claim for disability pension has been denied for the reason that the disability has been assessed less than 20%.</li><li>3. Similar matter is subjudice before the Larger Bench of this Tribunal, hence, matter is adjourned.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 17**

**O.A. No. 567 of 2020**

**Ex LAC Rajendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Bhatt, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vinay Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Supplementary affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply/ say over the supplementary affidavit filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 68 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 561 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Pooran Singh Dasila**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 69 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 566 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Harish Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 70 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 554 of 2020**

**Ex Nk Khushi Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 71 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 551 of 2020**

**Ex Nk Kishan Songh alias Krishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> UKT/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 73 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 545 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Pratap Singh Karmiyal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 74 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 557 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Mahesh Chandra Joshi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 75 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 556 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Khim Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file Leave to Appeal in this case, hence order could not be implemented.</p> <p>3. As a matter of last opportunity, four weeks time is granted to comply the order and file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 294 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 408 of 2019**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Jaspal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri MS Bhandari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri MS Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one day time to go through the compliance report and file objection if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 55 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 128 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Than Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri NK Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition that if the compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 56 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 423 of 2021**

**Ex L/NK Keshar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Lalit Samant, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri MS Bhandari, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Lalit Samant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents states that order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order has been complied with and amount due to the applicant has been credited in applicant's account.</p> <p>4. Since order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with, this Execution Application stands <b>disposed of</b> with full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> UKT/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 61 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 518 of 2020**

**Ex Hav Parvindra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition that if the compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**EX- A. No. 62 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 172 of 2021**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Kishna Nand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for further time to comply the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. As a last chance, four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition that if the compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be deposited in Registry and shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

EX- A. No. 63 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 532 of 2020

**Tulsi Devi w/o Ex Hony Nb Sub  
Keshar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents states that order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order has been complied with and amount due to the applicant has been credited in applicant's account.</p> <p>4. Since order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with, this Execution Application stands <b>disposed of</b> with full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 125 of 2022**

**Rfn Kuldeep Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri MS Bhandari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri MS Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. Arguments concluded. 3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 198 of 2022**

**Smt Kausha Devi w/o Late**  
**Spr Prem Chand**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Copy of the same has been served upon learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that in counter affidavit, respondents have mentioned letter dated 17.10.2018 but copy of the same has not been annexed which is necessary for adjudication of the matter.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file copy of letter dated 17.10.2018.</p> <p>5. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 199 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Khushal Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that petition filed by the applicant in Principal Bench, New Delhi has been withdrawn and no case on this matter is pending before any Court.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant was enrolled in Army on 18.06.1987 and discharged from service on 30.06.2004 after rendering 17 years of service under clause 13 (3) III (i) of Rule 1954 on completion of terms of engagement. On 25.02.1999, applicant sustained injury in operation Rakshak (J&amp;K) due to gunshot wound during encounter with militants and Part II Order to this effect was published declaring the injury as Battle Casualty. Applicant approached the respondents through various representations for grant of battle casualty pension. One of his representation was replied by Defence Minister vide letter dated 22.11.2021. Office of Governor vide letter dated 07.02.2022 requested respondents for taking necessary action for conducting the resurvey medical board and to take necessary action in the matter. In reply to his representation, 18 Garhwal Rifles</li></ol>

vide letter dated 18.01.2022 and letter dated 25.01.2022 informed that applicant was admitted in 99 Base Hospital as a case of Battle Casualty on 26.02.1999 and discharge from hospital on 11.03.1999. Applicant still suffers from pain in upper side of right hip for which applicant approached AIIMS, Rishkesh and as per the opinion of Doctors, the applicant has to replace his knee due to Gunshot injury sustained by him. Learned counsel for the applicant pleaded that applicant sustained gunshot injury in Jammu and Kashmir and he is unable to walk properly and his injury was considered as Battle Casualty but to a great surprise he has been discharged from service in Medical Category SHAPE-1, and denied for grant of disability element/ Battle Casualty. He prayed that directions be given to respondents to conduct a Resurvey Medical Board of the applicant in order to assess the degree of disablement and if he is found disable, disability element be granted to him.

5. On the other hand learned counsel for the respondents submitted that applicant sustained injury due to Gunshot injury in Operation RAKSHAK in his right thigh during encounter with militants. After treatment by medical authorities, he was fit for further service and upgraded to Medical Category SHAPE-1. He has been granted service pension vide PPO dated 28.04.2004. Applicant is not entitled for grant of disability element in terms of para 173 and 179 of Pension Regulations for the Army 1961 (Part-1) which stipulates that disability element is admissible to an individual who is invalided out/ deemed invalided out/ on completion of terms of engagement from service on account of disability, which is attributable to or aggravated to military service and is assessed @ 20% or more. The applicant was discharged from service in Medical Category SHAPE-1, hence he is not entitled for disability element and instant O.A. has no substance and is liable to be dismissed.

6. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.

7. In the instant case, applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 18.06.1987 and discharged from service on 30.06.2004. He sustained Gunshot injury on 25.02.1999 in Operation RAKSHAK in his right thigh during encounter with militants. He was admitted in hospital on 26.02.1999 and discharged from hospital on 11.03.1999 after 13 days. The applicant sustained Gunshot injury in upper side of right thigh which resulted in destruction of right knee of the applicant and taking into consideration critical physical condition of the applicant, Doctors at AIIMS have advised him to replace his right knee. Applicant is fighting for his right since the date of discharge and he has made several representations but of no avail.

8. The service profile of the applicant displays performing extremely strenuous duties in highly active field/sensitive areas where applicant has performed his duties successfully to the entire satisfaction of superiors. The applicant has actively participated in Operation Prakram, Operation Falcon, Operation Rakshak and Operation Vijay (Kargil). The gunshot injury suffered by the applicant was declared battle casualty on 11.03.1999 and Part II Order to this effect was published and the same has been recorded in service record of the applicant. Fighting with militants in operational area is greatest sacrifice. The fact is that the applicant is still facing consequences of the injury sustained by him in counter insurgency operation with militants. A soldier face the enemy in insurgency areas in defending the nation without caring for his life with the belief that if something happens bad respondents/Govt of India is there to look after his family. If such type of attitude is adopted by the respondents, nobody will dare to sacrifice his life willingly. In such a condition, contention of the respondents that he was discharged in Medical Category SHAPE-1, hence not entitled for disability element is not agreed.

9. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents are directed to consider the case of the applicant sympathetically and inform a suitable date to the applicant within two months for conduct of a fresh



Resurvey Medical Board in order to assess his degree of disablement. On receipt of date, applicant shall appear before the respondents on the date and place fixed by the respondents for conduct of Resurvey Medical Board. On completion of Resurvey Medical Board a copy of the Resurvey Medical Board shall be provided to the applicant.

10. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for necessary action.

11. With the aforesaid directions, O.A. stands **disposed of**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (J)**

UKT/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 478 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1239 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Tribhuwan Kumar Dalakoti**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri NK Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not want to press this applicant. He prays that permission be granted to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh.</p> <p>3. As prayed, this application is <b>dismissed</b> as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh, if he so desires.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 717 of 2022**

**Lt Col Iqbal Miyan Ansari (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 726 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Rajeev Tomar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SS Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SS Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 727 of 2022**

**Col Jagjeet Singh Rautela**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 728 of 2022**

**Ex Sep Pancham Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Dutt, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 1029 of 2022**

**Ex Cfn Raunak Ali**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 1030 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Surendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 1031 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Mangal Singh Samant**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 1033 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Uttam Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**O.A. No. 1034 of 2022**

**Sub Maj Navin Chandra Mathpal (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>20.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one day time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

