

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 01**

**O.A. No. 1137 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1598 of 2023**

**Smt. Bhuneshwari Devi W/o Late Ex Nb Sub Lachhi Chand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 years and 21 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1137 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 02**

**O.A. No. 1144 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1599 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Ravi G**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 12 years 11 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he may be permitted to file objection on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay.</li><li>7. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 03**

**O.A. No. 1145 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1600 of 2023**

**Basanti Bhatt w/o Late Mohan Chandra Bhatt** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 years 05 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1145 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 04**

**O.A. No. 1146 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1601 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Ranjeet singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 years and 21 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1146 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 05**

**O.A. No. 1147 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Madan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 06**

**O.A. No. 1148 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1602 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Heman Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate  
Shri D.S Mehta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pensionary benefits to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 years 08 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he may be permitted to file objection on the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay.</li><li>7. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 07**

**O.A. No. 1149 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Hira Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate  
Shri B.M Pingal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 08**

**O.A. No. 1156 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1603 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Ravi Rawat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K Papnoi, Advocate  
Shri D.S Mehta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 years 09 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1156 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 09**

**O.A. No. 1157 of 2023**

**Ex Nb/Sub Umesh Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate  
Shri D.S Mehta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 10**

**M.A. No 1570 of 2023 with M.A. No 1571 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 159 of 2021**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Smt. Devki Devi w/o Ex Sep Jagdish Chand**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1570/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 03.08.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 159 of 2021.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 05 months and 19 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than one year and 05 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1571 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 11**

**Ex-A 349/2023 Inre O.A. No 136 of 2022**

**Ex Sep Dhan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K Papnoi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 07.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 136/2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/RK</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Suppl. Serial No. 12**

**M.A. No 1604 of 2023 with M.A. No 1605 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No 715 of 2021**

**Union of Indian & Others**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Nk Arjun Chand**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1604/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 30.05.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 715 of 2021.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 02 months and 19 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than one year and 02 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1605 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p>
	UKT/RK

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

Suppl. Serial No. 13

O.A. No. 774 of 2022

**Ex Nk Shyam Datt Bhatt**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt. Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Lt. Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

Suppl. Serial No. 14

O.A. No. 709 of 2022

**Smt. Deoki Devi w/o Ex Spr Dhan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

Suppl. Serial No. 15

O.A. No. 710 of 2022

**Santosh Singh S/o Late Hav Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that rejoinder affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

Suppl. Serial No. 16

O.A. No. 714 of 2022

**Ex Sub Mahendar Singh Rawat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

Suppl. Serial No. 18

O.A. No. 726 of 2022

**Ex Nk Rajeev Tomar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SS Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SS Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**Suppl. Serial No. 20**

**O.A. No. 728 of 2022**

**Ex Sep Pancham Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Dutt, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</p> <p>4. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**Suppl. Serial No. 22**

**O.A. No. 1030 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Surendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. <b>Order reserved.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Circuit Bench Nainital

Suppl. Serial No. 25

O.A. No. 1034 of 2022

**Sub Maj Navin Chandra Mathpal (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</li><li>4. Accordingly adjourned.</li><li>5. List on <b>22.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Circuit Bench Nainital**

**Suppl. Serial No. 26**

**EX- A. No. 294 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 408 of 2019**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Jaspal Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri MS Bhandari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri MS Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the outset, Id. Counsel for the respondents stated that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Id. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of having been complied with in full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rk/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 01**

**Ex. A No. 46 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 264 of 2019**

**Ex Nk Raghuraj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the parties. 2. List this case on <b>03.10.2023 before the Regional Bench at Lucknow.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 2**

**Ex.A. No. 72 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 289 of 2018**

**Ex Hav Shyam Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mohit Maulekhi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Shri Mohit Maulekhi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Applicant may go through the compliance affidavit filed by the respondents and file objection, if any, by tomorrow.</li><li>4. List on 22.09.2023.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 03**

**Ex.A. No. 197 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 418 of 2019**

**Smt Indu Manodi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and is pending with PS-4. He prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which the responsible officer shall file an personal affidavit in this regard.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 4**

**Ex.A. No. 200 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 143 of 2020**

**Ex Hav Anand Singh Ram Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which the responsible officer shall file an personal affidavit in this regard.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 5**

**Ex.A. No. 201 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 496 of 2020**

**Ex NK Kashi Chand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that the applicant has been died on 01.08.2023 and substitution application is required to be moved in this case. He seeks two weeks time to file substitution application. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 06**

**Ex.A. No.203 of 2022 Inre O.A. No 159 of 2021**

**Smt Devki Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which the responsible officer shall file an personal affidavit in this regard.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 07**

**Ex. A.No. 204 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 161 of 2021**

**Ex NK Prem Bahadur**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the respondents states that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with.</li><li>3. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>5. In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of having been complied with in full satisfaction.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 08**

**Ex.A.No. 242 of 2022 Inre O.A. NO. 56 of 2019 with M.A. No. 1441 of 2023**

**Ex Spr Bharat Chandra Biswas** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the parties. 2. List this case on <b>03.10.2023 before the Regional Bench at Lucknow.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 09**

**O.A. No. 256 of 2021**

**Jai Bahadur Chand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that notice was issued to Respondent No. 4 which has not been received back served/unserved. Registry is directed to issue fresh notice to the Respondent No. 4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to take steps for issuing the notice.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 11**

**O.A. No. 770 of 2022**

**Ex NK Ganesh Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 12**

**O.A. No. 771 of 2022**

**Ex Sep Mahesh Chandra Kapri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 13**

**O.A. No. 772 of 2022**

**Ex NK Rajendra Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 14**

**O.A. No. 773 of 2022**

**Ex NK Narayan Datt Punetha**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 16**

**O.A. No. 530 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Devendar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the parties. 2. List this case on <b>03.10.2023 before the Regional Bench at Lucknow.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 17**

**Ex.A. No. 198 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 181 of 2021**

**Ex L NK Subodh Kandwal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which the responsible officer shall file an personal affidavit in this regard.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 18**

**Dairy No. 1839 of 2023 Inre O.A. No.181 of 2021**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex L/NK Subodh Kandwal**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 05.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 181 of 2021.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months and 18 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>