

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary Serial No. 1**

**O.A No. 1158 of 2023 with M.A No. 1606/2023**

**Ex Pnr (Reservist) Ranjit Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Shri NK Papnoi/  
Vijay Laxmi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri NK Papnoi , Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri N.K Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 years and 22 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No. 1158 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary Serial No. 2**

**O.A No. 1159 of 2023 with M.A No. 1607/2023**

**Ex Sep Madan Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant  
Shri Rajendra Arya, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents  
Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Rajendra Arya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 25 years 03 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he may be permitted to file objection to the maintainability of the O.A with regard to delay.</li><li>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file objection on delay.</li><li>7. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary Serial No. 3**  
**O.A No. 1160 of 2023 with M.A No. 1608/2023**

**Ex Rect Sandeep Bahadur Rana**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant  
Shri HS Rawal, Advocate  
Shri Rajendra Arya, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents  
Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri HS Rawal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge of the applicant. There is a delay of 01 years and 22 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Delay is condoned for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No. 1160 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary Serial No. 4**  
**O.A No. 1161 of 2023 with M.A No. 1617/2023**

**Ex Sep Bhuwan Chand**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant  
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents  
Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 years 03 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No. 1161 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary Serial No. 5**

**O.A No. 1162 of 2023 with M.A No. 1618/2023**

**Ex Hav Devendra Badola**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 08 years 10 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No. 1162 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**  
**Supplementary Serial No. 6**

**Ex.A. No. 348 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 158 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Raghu Nandan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri CS Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri CS Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 158/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**  
**Supplementary Serial No. 7**

**Ex.A. No. 347 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 475 of 2022**

**Ex Nk (TA) Inder Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri CS Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri CS Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 08.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 475/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**  
**Supplementary Serial No. 8**

**Ex.A. No. 363 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2022**

**Sub Krishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 06.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 482/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**  
**Supplementary Serial No. 9**

**Ex.A. No. 214 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 243 of 2014**

**Ex Sep Rajendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri CS Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma , Advocate  
Ms.Vandana Singh, Advocate  
Shri S.P Sherawat, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri CS Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that the Manager, State Bank of India, Kotdwar Branch was directed to appear before the Tribunal to explain his position.</li><li>3. It is informed that the Manager, State Bank of India, Kotdwar Branch was present before the Court on the next day of case listed previously, due to wrong date communicated to him. Manager, SBI, Kotdwar requested for time to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal.</li><li>4. Accordingly, four weeks time is granted to comply with the order.</li><li>5. List on <b>22.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)

Supplementary Serial No. 10

Ex.A. No. 72 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 289 of 2018

**Ex Hav Shyam Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mohit Maulekhi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai holding brief for Shri Mohit Maulekhi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents, is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that he wants to file objection against the compliance report in respect of payment with regard to interest. Four weeks time is allowed for the same.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**  
**Supplementary Serial No. 11**

**O.A. No. 710 of 2022**

**Santosh Singh S/o Late Hav Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Arguments concluded.</li><li>4. <b>Order reserved.</b></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**  
**Supplementary Serial No. 13**

**O.A. No. 1034 of 2022**

**Sub Maj Navin Chandra Mathpal (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Arguments concluded.</li><li>4. <b>Order reserved.</b></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary-II Serial No. 1**

**O.A No. 1170 of 2023 with M.A 1620/2023**

**Ex Nb/Sub Ramesh Chandra Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

Shri BM Pingal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Shri Rajesh Sharma

**Notes of  
the  
Registry**

**Orders of the Tribunal**

**22.09.2023**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)**

**Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)**

1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
2. Heard Shri NK Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 02 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.
4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.
5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

**O.A. No. 1170 of 2023**

6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
7. Case needs adjudication.
8. Admit.
9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
10. List on **22.02.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

RK/Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Supplementary-II Serial No. 2**

**O.A No. 1163 of 2023 with M.A 1619/2023**

**Smt. Nandi Devi W/o Late Kuwar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Shri NK Papnoi, Advocate

Shri DS Mehta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

**Notes of  
the  
Registry**

**Orders of the Tribunal**

**22.09.2023**

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)**

**Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)**

1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
2. Heard Shri NK Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of family pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 22 days in filing of Original Application.
4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.
5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

**O.A. No. 1163 of 2023**

6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.
7. Case needs adjudication.
8. Admit.
9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
10. List on **22.02.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

RK/Ukt/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 2**

**O.A. No 275 of 2023 with M.A. No 305 of 2023**

**Smt Shakambari Devi, W/o**  
**Nk (TS) Balbir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant  
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents  
Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply to objection on application for condonation of delay. He further submits that applicant has been died. He is permitted to file substitution application by the next date fixed.</li><li>4. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 3**

**O.A. No 276 of 2023 with M.A. No 306 of 2023**

<b>Nk Pushkar Singh</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	<b>Versus</b>	Applicant Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No 306 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 21 years, 11 months and 27 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>6. In the result, delay condonation application is <b>allowed</b>.</p>

7. M.A. No 306 of 2023 is disposed of finally.

**O.A. No. 276 of 2023**

8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **23.02.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (J)**

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 4**

**O.A. No 277 of 2023 with M.A. No 307 of 2023**

<b>Ex Sep Ganga Singh</b>		Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant		Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
<b>Versus</b>		
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>		Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No 307 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 35 years, 06 months and 09 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>6. In the result, delay condonation application is <b>allowed</b>.</p>

7. M.A. No 307 of 2023 is disposed of finally.

**O.A. No. 277 of 2023**

8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **23.02.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (J)**

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 6**

**OA No. 279 of 2023 with M.A. No 314 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (TS) Surendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant Shri C.S Rawat, Advocate  
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.S Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No 314 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years and 27 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p>

6. In the result, delay condonation application is **allowed**.

7. M.A. No 314 of 2023 is disposed of finally.

**O.A. No. 279 of 2023**

8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **23.02.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (A) Member (J)**

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 7**

**O.A No. 280 of 2023**

**Nk Hari Prasad Uniyal**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Shri Vivek Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</li><li>3. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 8**

**OA No. 281 of 2023 with M.A 310/2023**

**Ex Hav Prakash Chandra Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant  
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate  
ShriCS Rawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents  
ShriNeeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri CS Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A 310/2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years, 02 months and 27 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</p>



6. In the result, delay condonation application is **allowed**.

7. M.A. No 310 of 2023 is disposed of finally.

**O.A. No. 281 of 2023**

8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.

9. Admit.

10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **23.02.2024**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (A) Member (J)**

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 9**

**O.A No. 282 of 2023**

**Sep Bikram Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Similar matter is subjudice before Larger Bench at AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi for adjudication.</li><li>4. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**(CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL)**

**Serial No. 10**

**O.A No. 283 of 2023 with M.A No. 312/2023**

**Ex Spr Suresh Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant Shri PS Bohra, Advocate  
Shri Prabhat Bohra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents Shri NeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri PS Bohra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record. There is delay of 15 years and 10 days in filing Original Application.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file reply to objection on delay condonation application.</li><li>4. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



