(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

# O.A. No. 891 of 2024 with M.A. No. 995 of 2024

Versus

Smt. Asha Devi M/o Late Cpl. Ajeet Singh BishtApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

SB/AKD/-

Notes of the Registry	Orders	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>				
	1.	Memo of appearance filed by	Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the		
	respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent				
	when the case is listed next.				
	2.	On the case being taken up	o for hearing Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respo				
	present.				
	3.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the part	ies and perused the record.		
	<u>M.A. N</u>	o. 995 of 2024			
	4.	There is a delay of 03 yea	rs, 10 months and 29 days in filing Original		
	Applica	ation regarding which an applica	ation for condonation of delay has been filed.		
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the responden	ts submits that delay of more than 03 years has		
	not bee	en properly explained by the ap	plicant on day-to-day basis.		
	6.	Ld. Counsel for the applicant	submits that delay is not deliberate but for the		
	reason	s stated in affidavit filed in supp	port of delay condonation application.		
	7.	Considering the facts and c	ircumstances of the case and also that it is		
	pensio	nary matter in which bar of limit	tation does not apply because of recurring cause		
	of action	on. Accordingly, delay condor	nation application is <b>allowed</b> and the delay is		
	condo	ned. Delay condonation applica	ation stands <b>disposed off.</b>		
	<u>O.A. N</u>	o. 891 of 2024			
	8.	This Original Application has b	een for the grant of family pension.		
	9.	Matter needs adjudication.			
	10.	Admit.			
	11.	Ld. Counsel for the responder	nts seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file		
	Counte	er Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, i	f any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.		
	12.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .			
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar)		

(SI. No. 1)

# Ex. A. No. 53 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 553 of 2020

# Ex. Sep. Jagdish Singh

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Civil Appeal was filed by		
	them before Hon'ble Apex Court which has been dismissed vide order dated		
	08.07.2024.		
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two months		
	time from today to comply the order and file affidavit of compliance failing which		
	respondents shall pay additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to		
	the applicant.		
	6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till		
	the next date of listing.		
	7. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .		
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB/AKD/-		

(SI. No. 2)

## Ex. A. No. 54 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 550 of 2020

# Ex. Nb. Sub. Diwan Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Order	rs of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09</u>		
		<u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Couns	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the	order under execution
	has b	een stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.	
	4.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	<i>I-</i>	

(SI. No. 3)

## Ex. A. No. 55 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 563 of 2020

## Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of t	the Tribunal		
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>			
	Counsel for respondent 2. Hea 3. Affic 4. Ld. 0 order unde 5. Ld. 0 objection to 6. Sinc directed to failing whic 7. Resp the next da	the case being taken up or the applicant and Shri is are present. Ind Ld. Counsel for the partic lavit of compliance has not Counsel for the responden r execution. Counsel for applicant prays of the recall application. I the recall application. I there is no stay order of comply with order and file h respondents shall deposit pondents are directed to d te of listing which shall be r on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	Rajesh Sharma, es and perused the been filed. ts has moved an a of for and is granted of Hon'ble Apex C affidavit of complia t additional cost of F eposit all the costs	Ld. Counsel for the record. pplication for recall of four week time to file ourt respondents are nce within four weeks Rs.10,000/ due against them till
	( SB/AKD/-	Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)		(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

(SI. No. 4)

## Ex. A. No. 56 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2021

### Ex. Nk. Mohan Chandra Joshi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3.	Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents has moved an a	pplication for recall of
	order	under execution.	
	5.	Ld. Counsel for applicant prays for and is granted	four week time to file
	object	tion to the recall application.	
	6.	Since there is no stay order of Hon'ble Apex C	ourt respondents are
	directed to comply with order and file affidavit of compliance within four weeks		
	failing	which respondents shall deposit additional cost of	Rs.10,000/
	7.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	due against them till
	the ne	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the appli	cant.
	8.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 5)

# Ex. A. No. 57 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020

#### Ex. Sep. Khim Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>10.09.2024</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri	Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma	a, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.		
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused th	ie record.	
	3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has moved an	application for recall of	
	order under execution.		
	5. Ld. Counsel for applicant prays for and is granted four week time to fil		
	objection to the recall application.		
	6. Since there is no stay order of Hon'ble Apex Court respondents are		
	directed to comply with order and file affidavit of comp	liance within four weeks	
	failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of	of Rs.10,000/	
	7. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cos	sts due against them till	
	the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the ap	plicant.	
	8. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .		
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	SB/AKD/-		

(SI. No. 6)

## Ex. A. No. 58 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 564 of 2020

#### Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>		
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	Farun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	e record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is	s granted eight weeks
	time	to implement the order failing which respondents s	shall deposit additional
	cost	of Rs.10,000/	
	5.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost	s due against them till
	the n	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the app	licant.
	6.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 7)

# Ex. A. No. 59 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 548 of 2020

### Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri	Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	e record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and i	s granted eight weeks
	time	to implement the order failing which respondents	shall deposit additional
	cost o	of Rs.10,000/	
	5.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost	s due against them till
	the n	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the app	licant.
	6.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
		Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB/AKC	)/-	

(SI. No. 8)

# Ex. A. No. 60 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 549 of 2020

#### Ex. Sep. Jeet Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
		.2024	
	-	<u>ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is	granted eight weeks
	time	to implement the order failing which respondents s	hall deposit additional
	cost	of Rs.10,000/	
	5.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	due against them till
	the n	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the appl	icant.
	6.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	00/41/2	Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB/AKD	)/-	

(SI. No. 9)

## Ex. A. No. 61 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 562 of 2020

#### Ex. Nk. Daya Kishan

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	ers of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>		
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri	Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Cour	nsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	resp	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	e record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and i	s granted eight weeks
	time	to implement the order failing which respondents	shall deposit additional
	cost	of Rs.10,000/	
	5.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost	s due against them till
	the n	next date of listing which shall be remitted to the app	licant.
	6.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKE	D/-	

(SI. No. 10)

# Ex. A. No. 62 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 555 of 2020

#### Ex. Sep. Dori Lal

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal          10.09.2024         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has filed an application to recall the		
	order under execution and it is also submitted that Writ Petition has been filed		
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court, but there is no		
	stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.		
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks		
	time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall deposit		
	additional cost of Rs.10,000/		
	6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till		
	the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	7. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .		
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB/AKD/-		

(SI. No. 11)

# Ex. A. No. 63 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2020

# Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Ram

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the Registry				
Registry				
	<u>10.09.2024</u>			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. T	Fakuli, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel	el for the		
	respondents are present.			
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.			
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.			
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has filed an application to	recall the		
	order under execution and it is also submitted that Writ Petition has been filed			
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court, but there is no			
	stay order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.			
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks			
	time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall deposit			
	additional cost of Rs.10,000/			
	6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till			
	the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.			
	7. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .			
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice An	il Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)			
	SB/AKD/-			

(SI. No.12)

## Ex. A. No. 64 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 547 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Anand Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	Hon'l	<u>.2024</u> ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	erecord.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the parties submit that Special Le	eave Petition has been
	dismi	ssed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.	
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and i	s granted two months
	time	to file affidavit of compliance failing which resp	ondents shall deposit
	additi	onal cost of Rs.10,000/	
	6.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	s due against them till
	the ne	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the appl	icant.
	7.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 13)

## Ex. A. No. 65 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Mohan Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Sh Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharr respondents are present.</li> <li>Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has filed ar order under implementation.</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the resp preferred before the Hon'ble High Court against the there is no stay order of Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for ar time to comply the order failing which respondents sh of Rs.10,000/</li> <li>Respondents are directed to deposit all the c the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the ar 7. List on <b>17.02.2025</b>.</li> </ol>	ma, Ld. Counsel for the the record. In application to recall the condents that Writ Petition order of this Tribunal, but and is granted two months hall deposit additional cost osts due against them till
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) SB/AKD/-	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

(SI. No. 14)

# Ex. A. No. 66 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Anand Ballabh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
		<u>.2024</u> ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Couns	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3.	Recall application has been filed by the responde	ents which is taken on
	record	J.	
	4.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submit that stay of	order has been passed
	by the	Hon'ble Apex Court.	
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is gran	ted four weeks time to
	file ob	jection, if any, against the recall application.	
	6.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD/	l_	

(SI. No. 15)

# Ex. A. No. 67 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Dinesh Chandra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
	Hon'l	<u>.2024</u> ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
		On the case being taken up for hearing Shri - sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	
	2.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. recor		
	4. by the	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submit that stay of e Hon'ble Apex Court.	order has been passed
	5. file ol	Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is grar bjection, if any, against the recall application.	nted four weeks time to
	6.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 16)

# Ex. A. No. 68 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 561 of 2020

## Ex. Sep. Pooran Singh Dasila

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	10.09.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.	
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respond	lents that Civil Appeal
	was filed against the order of this Tribunal which has b	een dismissed by the
	Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 08.07.2024.	
	4. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the responden	ts two months time is
	granted to implement the order failing which respo	ndents shall deposit
	additional cost of Rs.10,000/	
	5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost du	ue against them till the
	next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applican	t.
	6. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	Member (A)	
	SB/AKD/-	

(SI. No. 17)

## Ex. A. No. 69 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 566 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Harish Chandra

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	10.09.2024		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ta	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present .		
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the r	ecord.	
	3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the responde	ents that Civil Appeal	
	was filed against the order of this Tribunal which has be	en dismissed by the	
	Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 08.07.2024.		
	4. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents	s two months time is	
	granted to implement the order failing which respor	ndents shall deposit	
	additional cost of Rs.10,000/		
	5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due	e against them till the	
	next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant		
	6. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .		
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	SB/AKD/-		

(SI. No. 18)

# Ex. A. No. 70 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 554 of 2020

### Ex. Nk. Khushi Ram

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Civil Appeal was filed against the order of this Tribunal which has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 08.07.2024.</li> <li>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two months time is granted to implement the order failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs.10,000/</li> <li>Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li> <li>List on 17.02.2025.</li> </ol>
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) SB/AKD/-

(SI. No. 19)

# Ex. A. No. 71 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 551 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh alias Krishan Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

## Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	10.09.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.	
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the resp	ondents.
	4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that W	rit Petition has been
	preferred before the Hon'ble High Court against the order	er of this Tribunal, but
	there is no stay order of Hon'ble High Court.	
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is	s granted two months
	time to comply the order or bring the stay order of th	e Hon'ble High Court
	failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of	Rs.10,000/
	6. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	due against them till
	the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the appl	icant.
	7. List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB/AKD/-	

(SI. No. 20)

# Ex. A. No. 73 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 545 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Pratap Singh Karmiyal

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
Registry			
	<u>10.09</u>		
		<u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	Farun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	e record.
	3.	Ld. Counsel for the parties submit that stay orde	r has been passed by
	the H	on'be Apex Court.	
	4.	Since order under implementation has been staye	d by the Hon'ble Apex
	Court	, the case is adjourned.	
	5.	Recall application filed by the respondents is taken	n on record.
	6.	Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is gran	ted four weeks time to
	file ob	pjection, if any, against the recall application.	
	7.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
		Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 21)

## Ex. A. No. 74 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 557 of 2020

### Ex. Sep. Mahesh Chandra Joshi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
		<u>.2024</u> <u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri T	arun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Couns	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the resp	oondents.
	4.	Respondents have filed an application for reca	alling the order under
	imple	mentation.	
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is gran	ted four weeks time to
	file ob	jection, if any, against recall application.	
	6.	There is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court.	
	7.	Respondents are directed to comply with the ord	der within two months
	failing	which respondents shall pay additional cost of Rs.	10,000/
	8.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	s due against them till
	the ne	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the appl	icant.
	9.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD/	/_	

(SI. No. 22)

# Ex. A. No. 75 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 556 of 2020

#### Ex. Sep. Khim Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
		).2024 Na kustica Aril Kumar Marshar ( I)	
		<u>ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri	Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	e record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the res	pondents.
	4.	Respondents have filed an application for reca	alling the order under
	imple	mentation.	
	5.	Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is grar	nted four weeks time to
	file ob	pjection, if any, against recall application.	
	6.	There is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court.	
	7.	Respondents are directed to comply with the or	der within two months
	failing	y which respondents shall pay additional cost of Rs.	10,000/
	8.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost	s due against them till
	the ne	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the app	licant.
	9.	List on <b>17.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	)/-	

(SI. No. 23)

## Ex. A. No. 262 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 709 of 2021

### Col. P.R. Kathuria (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	10.09.2024
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	3. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order
	dated 06.09.2022.
	4. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.
	5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed
	against the order of this Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court which is
	pending for admission of the case.
	6. Since there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court, respondents are
	granted six weeks further time to comply with the order failing which
	respondents shall deposit cost of Rs.20,000/
	7. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till
	the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.
	8. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	SB/AKD/-

(SI. No. 24)

## Ex. A. No. 335 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1035 of 2022

Smt. Basanti Devi W/o Late Hav. Diwan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

# Versus

Union of India & Others

the	Order	rs of the Tribunal	
Registry			
	<u>10.09</u> Hon't	<u>.2024</u> ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
		ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikra	<b>C</b>
		sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	•	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	
	3.	Affidavit regarding present status of this executio	n case filed on behalf
	of the	respondents is taken on record.	
	4.	Recall application filed on behalf of the respondent	ts is taken on record.
	5.	Objection, if any, may be filed till the next date of li	sting.
	6.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	7.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that re	espondents have filed
	Writ F	Petition against the order of this Tribunal before th	e Hon'ble High Court.
	There	e is no stay order of Hon'ble High Court.	
	8.	Respondents are granted six weeks further	time on payment of
	additi	onal cost of Rs.20,000/- to comply with the order	or bring stay order, if
	any, c	of Hon'ble High Court.	
	9.	Respondents are also directed to deposit all the c	osts due against them
	till the	e next date of listing.	
	10.	List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	11.	Let copy of this order be provided to the	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	SB/AKD/	Member (A)	Member (J)

(SI. No. 25)

# Ex. A. No. 336 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1039 of 2022

#### Ex. Sub. M.C. Joshi

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

#### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
Registry			
	<u>10.09.2024</u>		
		<u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikra	m Singh Dhapola, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Affidavit of responsible officer filed regarding p	present status of the
	execu	ition case is taken on record.	
	5.	Recall application filed on behalf of the respondent	s is taken on record.
	6.	Objection, if any, may be filed till the next date of li	sting.
	7.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ	Petition has been filed
	again	st the order of this Tribunal, but there is no stay	order of Hon'ble High
	Court		
	8.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is g	ranted six weeks time
	to file	e affidavit of compliance or bring stay order of th	e Hon'ble High Court
	failing	which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs.20,000/	/
	9.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	due against them till
	the ne	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the appli	cant.
	10.	List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 26)

### Ex. A. No. 337 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 704 of 2022

# Ex. Nk. Hayat Singh Kalakoti

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

## Versus

Union of India & Others

the	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
Registry			
	<u>10.09.2024</u>		
		<u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikra	am Singh Dhapola, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ondents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	e record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Affidavit of responsible officer filed regarding	present status of the
	exect	ution case is taken on record.	
	5.	Recall application filed on behalf of the responder	nts is taken on record.
	6.	Objection, if any, may be filed till the next date of I	isting.
	7.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ	Petition has been filed
	again	ist the order of this Tribunal, but there is no stay	order of Hon'ble High
	Court	t.	
	8.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is	granted six weeks time
	to file	e affidavit of compliance or bring stay order of th	ne Hon'ble High Court
	failing	g which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs.20,000	)/
	9.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost	s due against them till
	the n	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the app	licant.
	10.	List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	/-	

(SI. No. 27)

# Ex. A. No. 338 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 705 of 2022

# Ex. L. Nk. Mohan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the	Orde	rs of the Tribunal	
Registry			
	10.09	.2024	
		ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
		ole Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikra	am Singh Dhapola, Ld.
	Coun	sel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti,	Ld. Counsel for the
	respo	ndents are present.	
	2.	Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the	record.
	3.	Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	4.	Affidavit of responsible officer filed regarding	present status of the
	execu	ition case is taken on record.	
	5.	Recall application filed on behalf of the respondent	ts is taken on record.
	6.	Objection, if any, may be filed till the next date of li	sting.
	7.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ	Petition has been filed
	again	st the order of this Tribunal, but there is no stay	order of Hon'ble High
	Court		
	8.	Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is g	ranted six weeks time
	to file	e affidavit of compliance or bring stay order of th	e Hon'ble High Court
	failing	which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs.20,000	/
	9.	Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs	s due against them till
	the ne	ext date of listing which shall be remitted to the appli	icant.
	10.	List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
		( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD	-	

(SI. No. 28)

### Ex. A. No. 339 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 180 of 2022

## Ex. Sub. Rampal Singh Rawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
Registry		
	10.09.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits the implementation of	
	order is under process.	
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks	
	further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.	
	20,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	5. Respondents are directed to deposit cost due against them till next date	
	of listing. In case, order of this Tribunal is not complied with, Colonel PS-4, IHQ	
	of MoD (Army), New Delhi shall remain present before this Tribunal to clarify	
	the cause of delay in implementation of the order of this Tribunal.	
	6. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB/AKD/-	

(SI. No. 29)

## Ex. A. No. 340 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 716 of 2022

### Ex. Sub. Amrendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
	10.09.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri k	Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel	for the respondents are
	present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Affidavit of responsible officer filed by the respon	ndents regarding present
	status of this execution case is taken on record.	
	4. Respondents have also filed application to	recall the order under
	implementation which is taken on record.	
	5. Objection, if any, may be filed till the next date of	f listing.
	6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that	respondents have filed
	Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court against the	ne order of this Tribunal,
	but there is no stay order of Hon'ble High Court.	
	7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for an	nd is granted six weeks
	further time to file affidavit of compliance on payme	ent of additional cost of
	Rs.15,000/	
	8. Respondents are directed to deposit all the co	sts due against them till
	the next date of listing.	
	9. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	( Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB/AKD/-	

(SI. No. 30)

### Ex. A. No. 341 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 502 of 2020

# Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf the respondents is taken on	
	record.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution	
	has been complied with and PPO has been generated, copy of which has been	
	annexed alogwith compliance affidavit.	
	4. Since order has been complied with, this execution application is	
	disposed off finally in full and final satisfaction of the applicant.	
	5. It will be open for the applicant to approach this Tribunal if amount is not	
	paid.	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	

(SI. No. 31)

# Ex. A. No. 342 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 181 of 2022

# Smt. Basanti Devi W/o Ex. Sigmn. (Late) Harish Chandra Singh Koranga

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Union of India & Others Resp By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four	
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs	
	10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are directed to	
	deposit the cost till next date of listing.	
	4. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	5. Dati copy to learned counsel for the respondents.	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)       (Justice Anil Kumar)         Member (A)       Member (J)         rathore       Tathore	

(SI. No. 32)

Respondents

## Ex. A. No. 343 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 713 of 2021

 Visha Devi M/O Late Rfn. Nandan Singh
 Applicant

 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :
 Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

 Versus

#### Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	10.09.2024	
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>	
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counse	રો
	for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents ar	е
	present.	
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against th	е
	respondents has also not been deposited	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that execution of order of	of
	this Tribunal is under process. He prays for and is allowed six weeks furthe	۶r
	time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs 20,000/	-,
	failing which Director PS4 shall remain present before this Tribunal to appris	е
	present status of the case and to explain delay in implementation of order of	of
	this Tribunal. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against	st
	them till next date of listing. Cost so deposited shall be released in favour of th	е
	applicant.	
	4. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	

Ex. A. No. 344 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 156 of 2022	(SI. No. 33)
<b>Ex. Hav. Chakradhar Prasad Painuly</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate	Applicant
Versus Union of India & Others	Doopondonto
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate	Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
кедізігу		
	<u>10.09.2024</u>	
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against the	
	respondents has also not been deposited	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that execution of order of	
	this Tribunal is under process. He prays for and is allowed six weeks further	
	time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs 20,000/-,	
	failing which Director PS4 shall remain present before this Tribunal to apprise	
	present status of the case and to explain delay in implementation of order of	
	this Tribunal. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against	
	them till next date of listing. Cost so deposited shall be released in favour of the	
	applicant.	
	4. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J) rathore	

(SI. No. 34)

Applicant

Respondents

### Ex. A. No. 345 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 1032 of 2022

### Ex. Hav. Surendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against the	
	respondents has also not been deposited	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that execution of order of	
	this Tribunal is under process. He prays for and is allowed six weeks further	
	time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs 20,000/-,	
	failing which Director PS4 shall remain present before this Tribunal to apprise	
	present status of the case and to explain delay in implementation of order of	
	this Tribunal. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against	
	them till next date of listing. Cost so deposited shall be released in favour of the	
	applicant.	
	4. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .	
	5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)rathoreMember (J)	

(SI. No. 35)

## Ex. A. No. 346 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 138 of 2022

### Ex. Nk. Kushal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present.		
	2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against the		
	respondents has also not been deposited		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of		
	order of this Tribunal is under process. He prays for and is allowed six weeks		
	further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs		
	20,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also		
	directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.		
	4. List on <b>18.02.2025</b> .		
	5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.		
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)rathoreYear (J)		

(SI. No. 36)

# M.A. No. 1127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1128 of 2023 Inre : Diary No. 331 of 2023

# Ex. Nk. Mahavir Singh

Applicant

In Person

### Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate	
	holding brief of applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	2. Defects raised by the registry have not been removed.	
	3. Further time of one day is allowed to the applicant to remove the	
	defects, failing which the case shall be dismissed in non prosecution.	
	4. List on <b>12.09.2024</b> .	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)rathoreMember (J)	

(SI. No. 37)

Applicant

# O.A. No. 711 of 2022

## Lt. Col. Sagar Shahi (Retd.)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>		
	for the a	C C	n up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel sh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	3. A	eard learned counsel for rguments concluded. A. is partly <b>allowed</b> .	or the parties and perused the record.
	5. Fo	or orders, see our orde	r/judgment passed on separate sheets.
	•	Anil Puri) ber (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

(SI. No. 38)

## O.A. No. 712 of 2022

# Lt. Col. Pankaj Ahuja (Retd.)

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

Union of India & Others Res By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>		
	1.	On the case being taken up	o for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		harma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	prese	ent.	
	2.	Today is the last opportur	nity for filing counter affidavit, but counter
	affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he will file counter		spondents submits that he will file counter
	affidavit within one day, which is allowed.		
	4.	List on <b>12.09.2024</b> .	
		ien. Anil Puri) Iember (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

(SI. No. 39)

# O.A. No. 286 of 2023

Ex. Nk. (TS) Sunder Singh

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate Shri Abhishek Dutt, Advocate

### Versus

### Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal			
	<u>10.09.2024</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shr	i Suresh Chandra Bhatt and		
	Shri Abhishek Dutt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld.			
	Counsel for the respondents are present.			
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.			
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents subm	nits that he will file counter		
	affidavit during the course of the day, which is allowed.			
	4. List on <b>12.09.2024</b> .			
	· · · · ·	ce Anil Kumar) nber (J)		

(SI. No. 40)

# O.A. No. 310 of 2023 with M.A. No. 346 of 2023

# **Rajendra Singh Rawat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Kumar, Advocate

Shri Harish Adhikari, Advocate

### Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel
	for the respondents is resent. None is present on behalf of the applicant.
	2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to objection filed on behalf of the
	respondents, but no reply has been filed.
	3. List on <b>13.09.2024</b> .
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

(SI. No. 41)

Applicant

Respondents

# O.A. No. 1122 of 2023

# Ex. L/Nk. Prakash Chandra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

### Versus

# Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>10.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u>	
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>O.A. is partly <b>allowed</b>.</li> <li>For orders, see our order/judgment passed on separate sheets.</li> </ol>	
	(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	