

(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 893 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1000 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Shakti Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1000 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 14 years and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 893 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"><div style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 894 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1001 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Jagdish Singh Rawat

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1001 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 11 years, 06 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 894 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 896 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1003 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Rup Ram Gurung

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Applicant

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.09.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div> <div>M.A. No. 1003 of 2024</div> <div>4. There is a delay of more than 10 years, 08 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div> <div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div> <div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</div> <div>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> <div>O.A. No. 896 of 2024</div> <div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</div> <div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div> <div>10. Admit.</div> <div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>12. List on 19.02.2025.</div> <div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 897 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1004 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Puran Singh Thapa

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1004 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 11 years and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 897 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 898 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1005 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. **Puran Singh** Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of more than 22 years in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 899 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1006 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Bhupal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1006 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 07 years, 04 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 899 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 900 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1007 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Kuldeep Thapa

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1007 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 09 years, 10 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 900 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 901 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1008 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Mohan Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.09.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div> <div>M.A. No. 1008 of 2024</div> <div>4. There is a delay of more than 02 years and 09 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div> <div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div> <div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</div> <div>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div> <div>O.A. No. 901 of 2024</div> <div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</div> <div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div> <div>10. Admit.</div> <div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div> <div>12. List on 19.02.2025.</div> <div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 902 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1009 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Indra Bahadur Basnet

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1009 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 11 years, 02 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 902 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 903 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1010 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Gour Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1010 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 02 years and 09 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 903 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 904 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1011 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Yashwant Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1011 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 01 year, 02 months and 09 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 904 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 905 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1012 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Bhagwati Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant
Respondents

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1012 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 02 years, 07 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 905 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 906 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1013 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Krishna Bahadur Chetri

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1013 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 09 years, 09 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 906 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>
	SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 907 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1014 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Bijender Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1014 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 02 years, 06 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 907 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 908 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1015 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Bhim Bahadur Rana

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1015 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 07 years, 07 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 908 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>
	SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL
(Suppl. Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 909 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1016 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Madho Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. There is a delay of more than 26 years, 10 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection against delay condonation application.</div><div>5. List on 19.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 910 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1017 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Jang Bahadur Gurung

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1017 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 12 years and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 910 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 911 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1018 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Fatta Bahadur

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1018 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 11 years, 06 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 911 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 912 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1019 of 2024

Smt. Santosh Gurung W/o Nk (Late) Dil Bahadur Gurung
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
-----------------------	------------------------

12.09.2024
Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)

1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.

2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.

M.A. No. 1019 of 2024

4. There is a delay of more than 16 years, 11 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.

5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.

6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.

7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is **allowed** and the delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands **disposed off**.

O.A. No. 912 of 2024

8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.

9. Matter needs adjudication.

10. Admit.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

12. List on **19.02.2025**.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 913 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1020 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Chandan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>M.A. No. 1020 of 2024</div><div>4. There is a delay of more than 12 years, 07 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</div><div>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 913 of 2024</div><div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</div><div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 19.02.2025.</div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 914 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1021 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Gopal Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant
Respondents

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1021 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 09 years, 06 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 914 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 915 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1022 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Tek Bahadur Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant
Respondents

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1022 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 10 years, 03 months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 915 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>
	SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 916 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1023 of 2024

Ex. Rfn. Bhagat Bahadur

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. Nidhi Kant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1023 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 12 years and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 916 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 24)

Ex. A. No. 53 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 178 of 2022

L/Nk. Naresh Naudiyal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance dated 07.09.2024 filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 25)

Ex. A. No. 144 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 706 of 2021
Along with
M.A. No. 993 of 2024 with M.A. No. 994 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 706 of 2021

Smt. Usha Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Niranjana Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs.10,000/-.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL
(Suppl. Sl. No. 25)

M.A. No. 993 of 2024 with M.A. No. 994 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 706 of 2021
Along with
Ex. A. No. 144 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 706 of 2021

Union of India & OthersApplicants
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Usha Devirespondent
By Legal Practitioner for the respondent : Shri Niranjana Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>M.A. No. 993 of 2024 with M.A. No. 994 of 2024</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others and Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the respondent are present.</div><div>2. This Leave to Appeal has been filed by the applicants – Union of India and Others under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No. 706 of 2021.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. There is a delay of 02 years, 02 months and 26 days in filing Leave to Appeal. Applicants have filed application for condonation of delay.</div><div>5. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay of more than two years in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case.</div><div>6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</div><div>7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed.</div><div>8. Leave to Appeal being time barred is also dismissed.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1116 of 2023

Km. Ashana Negi D/o Ex. Spr. Satey Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri M.S. Bhandari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri M.S. Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 277 of 2024 with M.A. No. 334 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 334 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 06 years, 06 months and 14 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay of more than 06 years has not been properly explained by the applicant on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case we find that cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application seems to be sufficient. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 277 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed against the dismissal order and to reinstate the applicant into Defence Security Corps service.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="482 2411 756 2483">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div> <div data-bbox="1162 2411 1464 2483">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div> </div>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 28)

Ex. Nk. Mahavir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list this case on 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 712 of 2022

Lt. Col. Pankaj Ahuja (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 29A)

O.A. No. 286 of 2023

Ex. Nk (TS) Sunder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Dutt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt and Shri Abhishek Dutt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</div><div>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB/AKD/-</div>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 30)

Ex. Hav. Awadh Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL
(Suppl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 1135 of 2023

Ex. Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Dhan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Shri Rajesh Nagarkoti, Advocate
Shri Vishal S. Mahara, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>13. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major M.S. Chauhan, Departmental Representative are present.</div><div>14. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>15. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>16. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that applicant be granted pensionary benefits of the honorary Naib Subedar as per the recommendation of 6th Central Pay Commission (CPC) being pre 01.01.2006 retiree and consequently respondents be directed to extend the benefits of the Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence letter dated 12.06.2009 to the applicant with arrears w.e.f. 01.01.2006. However, during the course of final hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that this Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21st February, 2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi and pay arrears accordingly.</div><div>17. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that in the letter of Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence dated 21.02.2020 and PCDA (P) Prayagraj Circular No. 631 of 05.03.2020, it has been directed that all pension disbursing agencies have been authorized to carry out revision of service pension of all honorary Naib Subedars with effect from 01.01.2006, 01.07.2009 and 24.09.2012 and therefore, respondents have no objection to revise the pension</div></div>

	<p>of the applicant in terms of Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020.</p> <p>18. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>19. With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant of Honorary Naib Subedar in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>20. Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the respondents/Departmental Representative for compliance.</p> <p>21. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 1144 of 2023

Ex., Nk. Ravi G. Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 1146 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Ranjeet Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 34)

Ex. Nk. Madan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 1148 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Heman Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate
Shri D.S. Mehta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 36)

O.A. No. 288 of 2024

Nk. Vinos Raj Rawat (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 37)

O.A. No. 289 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Pareshwar Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL
(Suppl. Sl. No. 38)
O.A. No. 290 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Lal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</div><div>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 39)

O.A. No. 292 of 2024

Smt. Janki Devi @ Sentuli Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri M.S. Bhandari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate holding brief of Shri M.S. Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 40)

O.A. No. 293 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Harish Chandra Singh Rautela Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 41)

O.A. No. 320 of 2024

Nk. Jaman Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 42)

O.A. No. 321 of 2024

Nk. Bikram Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 197 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 418 of 2019

Smt. Indu Manodi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>22. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>23. This is an old Execution case for implementation of order dated 10.03.2021.</p> <p>24. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Affidavit of responsible regarding present status of this execution case has also not been filed. Cost imposed on respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>25. Respondents are not implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>26. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs.20,000/-.</p> <p>27. Respondents are directed to comply with the order positively till the next date of listing failing which Colonel PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence, New Delhi shall be present before this Tribunal to explain the cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>28. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case within four weeks.</p> <p>29. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.</p> <p>30. List on 19.02.2025.</p> <p>31. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 198 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 181 of 2021

Ex. L NK Subodh Kandwal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>13. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>14. This is an old Execution Application pending before this Tribunal to implement the order dated 17.11.2021 passed in Original Application No. 181 of 2021.</p> <p>15. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against the respondents has not been deposited. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case has not been filed.</p> <p>16. Respondents have filed recall application with prayer to recall the order under implementation.</p> <p>17. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Writ Petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand against the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>18. The order dated 17.11.2021 passed in Original Application No. 181 of 2021 was passed on merit after hearing both the parties. Merely filing Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court is not sufficient ground to recall the order under implementation. Recall application is liable to be rejected. Accordingly, recall application is rejected.</p> <p>19. Since there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court, respondents are granted six weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs.20,000/- or bring stay order of Hon'ble High Court failing which responsible officer i.e. Colonel PS-4, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi shall be present before this Tribunal to explain the reason of delay in implementation of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>20. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>21. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>22. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB/AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 200 of 2022 Inre; O.A. No. 143 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Anand Singh Ram Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div><div>13. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>14. This is an old Execution Application pending before this Tribunal to implement the order dated 04.12.2020 passed in Original Application No. 143 of 2020.</div><div>15. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against the respondents has not been deposited. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case has not been filed.</div><div>16. Respondents have filed recall application with prayer to recall the order under implementation.</div><div>17. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Writ Petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand against the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>18. The order dated 04.12.2020 passed in Original Application No. 143 of 2020 was passed on merit after hearing both the parties. Merely filing Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court is not sufficient ground to recall the order under implementation. Recall application is liable to be rejected. Accordingly, recall application is rejected.</div><div>19. Since there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court, respondents are granted six weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs.20,000/- or bring stay order of Hon'ble High Court failing which responsible officer i.e. Colonel PS-4, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi shall be present before this Tribunal to explain the reason of delay in implementation of the order of this Tribunal.</div><div>20. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>21. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div>22. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance.</div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

(Sl. No. 4)

Ex. NK. Kashi Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>13. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>14. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>15. Substitution application was allowed on previous date and applicant was directed to carry out amendment during the course of the day, but amendment has not been carried out.</p> <p>16. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is permitted to carry out the amendment during the course of the day.</p> <p>17. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="376 1774 664 1852" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1058 1774 1373 1852" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">SB/AKD/-</p>

(Sl. No. 5)

Smt. Devki Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection to the compliance affidavit, but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that order has not been complied. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file objection, if any, to the compliance affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Sl. No. 6)

Ex. NK. Inder Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate holding brief of Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Cost imposed upon the respondents has not been deposited by the respondents. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the execution case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Respondents have filed recall application with prayer to recall the order 08.12.2022 passed in Original Application No. 475 of 2022 which is under implementation. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand against the order of this Tribunal which is fixed for admission/hearing. The order dated 08.12.2022 passed in Original Application No. 475 of 2022 was passed on merit after hearing both the parties. Merely filing Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court is not sufficient ground to recall the order under implementation. Recall application is liable to be rejected. Accordingly, recall application is rejected. Since there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court, respondents are granted six weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- or bring stay order of Hon'ble High Court. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant. List on 20.02.2025. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="396 2373 664 2438" style="text-align: center;"> (Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="1084 2373 1367 2438" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </div> </div>

(Sl. No. 7)

Ex. NK. Raghunandan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate holding brief of Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Cost imposed on the respondents has not been deposited by the respondents. 3. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the execution case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 4. Respondents have filed recall application with prayer to recall the order 07.09.2022 passed in Original Application No. 158 of 2022 which is under implementation. 5. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record. 6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand against the order of this Tribunal which is fixed for admission/hearing. 7. The order dated 07.09.2022 passed in Original Application No. 158 of 2022 was passed on merit after hearing both the parties. Merely filing Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court is not sufficient ground to recall the order under implementation. Recall application is liable to be rejected. Accordingly, recall application is rejected. 8. Since there is no stay order of the Hon'ble High Court, respondents are granted six weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- or bring stay order of Hon'ble High Court. 9. Respondents are directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant. 10. List on 20.02.2025. 11. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents for compliance. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 256 of 2021

Jai Bahadur Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-<div><div>i. A direction to retrain the respondent no. 3 not to make any payment to the daughter in law of the applicant pertaining to the funds and other admissible dues of the son of the applicant; till her acquittal by a competent court of law; and/or to pass any such other order or direction which the Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case, otherwise the applicant shall suffer irreparable loss and injury.</div><div>ii. Any other relief to which the applicant is found entitled may also very kindly be granted to the applicant.</div></div></div><div>4. During the course of arguments Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn in view of submission made by the respondents at Para 7 and 14 of the Counter Affidavit. He further submits that applicant be granted liberty to file afresh, if he so desires.</div><div>5. In Para 7 of the Counter Affidavit the respondents have stated that “As per Para 75(a) of Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008, if an eligible member who in the event of death of service personnel while in service, is eligible to receive ordinary family pension under these Regulations, is charged with the offence of murdering the service personnel of for abetting in the</div></div>

	<p><i>commission of such an offence, the claim of such a person including other eligible member or members of the family to receive ordinary pension shall remain suspended till the conclusion of the criminal proceedings instituted against him.”</i></p> <p>6. Further, in Para 14 of the Counter Affidavit respondents have stated that <i>“Family pension and terminal benefits entitled to the NOK of deceased are held up till the conclusion of the criminal proceedings instituted against the NOK.”</i></p> <p>7. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh, if applicant so desires.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB/AKD/-</div>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 713 of 2022

Kundal Singh S/o Late Sep. Bhur Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he will file Counter Affidavit during the course of the day.</div><div>4. Applicant may file Rejoinder Affidavit thereafter within four weeks.</div><div>5. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 714 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Mahendar Singh Rawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</div><div>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB/AKD/-</div>

(Sl. No. 11)

Ex.Hav. Surendra Singh

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Similar matter is pending before the Larger Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="402 1564 670 1628" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1091 1564 1380 1628" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 275 of 2023 with M.A. No. 305 of 2023

Smt. Shakambari Devi W/o NK.(TS) Balbir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>4. The applicant is permitted to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 277 of 2023

Ex.Sep. Ganga Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</div><div>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 279 of 2023

Ex. NK. (TS) Surendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C S Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Rejoinder Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>4. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB/AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 281 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Prakash Chandra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C S Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</div><div>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div><div>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>SB/AKD/-</div>

(Sl. No. 16)

Sep. Bikram Singh

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list this case on 20.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1163 of 2023

Smt. Nandi Devi widow of Late Kuwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate
Shri D.S. Mehta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N.K.Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 20.02.2025 for final hearing.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1170 of 2023

Ex. Nb./Sub. **Ramesh Chandra Pandey** Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K.Papnoi, Advocate
Shri B.M. Pingal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Pending Misc. Application (s), if any, shall stand disposed off.</p> <div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 19)

T.A. No. 07 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Dhirendra Prasad Dwivedi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</div><div>3. Applicant was granted time to move amendment application which has not been moved.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to move amendment application.</div><div>5. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 726 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Rajeev Tomar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.S. Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri S.S. Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 20.02.2025 for final hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 770 of 2022

Ex. NK. Ganesh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

(Sl. No. 22)

Ex. Sep. Mahesh Chandra Kapri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd) , Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd) , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="402 1634 690 1706" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1084 1634 1386 1706" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 772 of 2022

Ex. NK. Rajendra Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 773 of 2022

Ex. NK. Narayan Datt Punetha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>5. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>6. On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</div><div>7. List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB/AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 774 of 2022

Ex. NK. Shyam Datt Bhatt

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</div></div><div><div>8.</div><div>List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	SB/AKD/-