

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

Ex. Sub. Manver Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriSandeepTiwari, Advocate
ShriUttam Singh, Advocate
ShriPiyushTiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShriPiyushTiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsare present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 999 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 11 years and 25 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay of more than 11 years in filing Original Application on day to day basis.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, reasons narrated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application andfact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 892 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 917 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1024 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Dalbir Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1024 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 01 year, 06 Months and 09 daysin filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 917 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 918 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1025 of 2024

Smt. Jayanti Devi W/oRfn. (Late) Ramesh Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.09.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>M.A. No. 1025 of 2024</div><div>4. There is a delay of more than 09 years, 06 Months and 24 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</div><div>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 918 of 2024</div><div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</div><div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 919 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1026 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Chandra Mani
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1026 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 11 years, 02 Months and 24 daysin filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 919 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of retiring gratuity with interest to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 920 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1027 of 2024

Ex. Rect. Suresh Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriSandeepTiwari, Advocate
ShriPiyushTiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>13.09.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing ShriPiyushTiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present..</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>There is a delay of more than 41 years in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instructions and to file objection against delay condonation application.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>List on 20.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 921 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1028 of 2024

Ex. Sep/PO Ganesh Prasad Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Applicant
Versus
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.09.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>M.A. No. 1028 of 2024</div><div>4. There is a delay of more than 04 years, 04 months and 08 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application.</div><div>7. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 921 of 2024</div><div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of Constant Attendant Allowance.</div><div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

O.A. No. 922 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1029 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record. <p><u>M.A. No. 1029 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. There is a delay of more than 10 years, 03 Months and 25 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed. 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application. 6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable. 7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 922 of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. This Original Application has been for the grant of family pension to the applicant. 9. Matter needs adjudication. 10. Admit. 11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 12. List on 20.02.2025. <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 923 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1030 of 2024

Ex. L/Nk. Laxman Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>13.09.2024</div><div>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearingShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsare present.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>M.A. No. 1030 of 2024</div><div>4. There is a delay of more than 04 years, 01 month and 27 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application.</div><div>7. Considering thefacts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 923 of 2024</div><div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of MACP-II.</div><div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 924 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1031 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Ram Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1031 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 13 years, 05 months and 25 daysin filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 924 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 925 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1032 of 2024

Hav. (DORA) Kuldeep Singh (Serving) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Shamra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Shamra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1032 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is no delay in filing Original Application, however, delay condonation application has been filed which being not required is rejected. Misc. Application No. 1032 of 2024 stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 925 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of pre-mature retirement from service.</p> <p>5. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 926 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1033 of 2024

Ex. L/Nk. Rajender Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1033 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 03 years, 01 month and 25 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 926 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of MACP-II.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 927 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1034 of 2024

Ex. L/Nk. Sanjay Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.09.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>M.A. No. 1034 of 2024</div><div>4. There is a delay of more than 03 years, 01 month and 25 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application.</div><div>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 927 of 2024</div><div>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of MACP-II.</div><div>9. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 20.02.2025.</div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div></div>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 928 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1035 of 2024

Hav. (Clerk) Gursevak Singh (Serving) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1035 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is no delay in filing Original Application, however, delay condonation application has been filed which being not required is rejected. Misc. Application No. 1035 of 2024 stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 928 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of pre-mature retirement from service.</p> <p>5. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 929 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1036 of 2024

Ex. Sub. Tika Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1036 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 02 months and 02 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 929 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 930 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1037 of 2024

Nk. (TS) Devendra Kumar Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1037 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 01 year, 10 months and 25 daysin filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 930 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of MACP-II.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 931 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1038 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Gyan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate Respondents

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1038 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 02 months and 08 daysin filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 931 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for issuing direction to the respondents to reconsider the applicant for re-enrolment in Defence Security Corps.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 932 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1039 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Naresh Singh Bohra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1039 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 01 month and 09 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation for the delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 932 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 933 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1040 of 2024
Smt. Savitri Devi W/o L/Nk. (Late) Balwendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1040 of 2024</u></p> <p>4. There is a delay of more than 16 years, 06 months and 03 daysin filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and contended that there is no proper explanation of the long delay of more than 16 years in filing Original Application on day to day basis.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit annexed in support of delay condonation application. It is also submitted that matter is regarding pensionary benefits in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>7. Considering the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant and fact that the matter is regarding pensionary benefits which is recurring cause of action, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 933 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This Original Application has been for the grant of family pension to the applicant.</p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB/AKD/-</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 934 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1041 of 2024

Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 10 years, 01 month and 25 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted fourweeks time to file Objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 20)
M.A. No. 997 of 2024 with M.A. No. 998 of 2024
Inre : Diary No. 1 of 2022 Inre : O.A. (Nil) of 2022

Ex. Nk. KhyaliCharan Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriSandeepTiwari, Advocate
ShriPiyushTiwari, Advocate

Applicant

Versus
Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 997 of 2024 with M.A. No. 998 of 2024</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriPiyushTiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of more than one year in filing Restoration Application. The applicant has filed application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted fourweeks time to file objection against application for condonation.</p> <p>5. List on 18.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 21)

Ex. A. No. 363 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 1127 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Raghuveer Singh Mahar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.03.2024 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1127 of 2023.</p> <p>4. It is fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>6. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 22)

Ex.A. No. 364 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 1124 of 2023

Ex. Sep./PO Ganesh Prasad Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 12.03.2024 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1124 of 2023.</p> <p>4. It is fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>6. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 23)

Ex.A. No. 365 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 1161 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Bhuwan Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 15.03.2024 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1161 of 2023.</p> <p>4. It is fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>6. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 24)

Ex. A. No. 366 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 709 of 2022

Smt. Deoki Devi W/o Late Ex. Spr. Dhan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriRajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 15.03.2024 passed by this Tribunal in Original Application No. 709 of 2022.</p> <p>4. It is fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>6. List on 20.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 25)

M.A. No. 1042 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1043 of 2024 Inre : T.A. No. 45 of 2012

Laxman Singh Athani
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1042 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1043 of 2024</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 11 years, 02 months and 27 days in filing Restoration Application. The applicant has filed an application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 21.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Suppl. Sl. No. 26)

M.A. No. 1127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1128 of 2023 Inre : Diary No. 331 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Mahavir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1128 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. This application has been filed on behalf of the applicant for recalling the order dated 10.04.2023 passed in Diary No. 331 of 2023 and to restore it to its original number. Application has moved by the applicant under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 in which certain defects were pointed out by the registry and application was registered as Diary Number. Applicant was granted time by the registry to remove the defects but the defects have not been rectified and case has been listed before the Tribunal on 10.04.2023 for hearing. On that day i.e. 10.04.2023 nobody was appeared before the Tribunal and defects have not been rectified. Application registered on Diary Number was dismissed for want of prosecution on 10.04.2023. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that application registered as Diary Number was submitted by the applicant in person and due to lack of knowledge defects could not be rectified. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case we find that cause shown is sufficient to allow the restoration application. Accordingly, Recall Application is allowed and the order dated 10.04.2023 passed in Diary No. 331 of 2023 is recalled. Diary No. 331 of 2023 is restored to its original number. Both the Misc. Applications are disposed off accordingly.

	<p><u>Diary No. 331 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that defects pointed out by the registry have been rectified.</p> <p>8. Office has also reported that defects have been rectified.</p> <p>9. Office is directed to register this case as Original Application.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 936 of 2024</u></p> <p>10. There is a delay of 18 years and 10 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.,</p> <p>12. List on 21.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 310 of 2023 with M.A. No. 346 of 2023

Rajendra Singh Rawat Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriKishore Kumar, Advocate
Shri Harish Adhikari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’bleLt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate holding brief of ShriKishore Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection has already been filed by the respondents on maintainability of this Original Application.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file reply to the objection which has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file reply to the objection.</p> <p>5. List on 21.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 283 of 2023

Ex. Spr. Suresh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri P.S. Bohra, Advocate
ShriPrabhatBohra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>13.09.2024</div><div>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri P.S. Bohra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 17.02.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1136 of 2023

Geeta Devi widow of Late Swr. Shabnam Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
ShriMahavirKohli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal and ShriMahavirKohli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 18.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

O.A. No. 1158 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate
Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 21.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Sl. No. 4)

Ex. Sep. Madan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriRajendraArya, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriNeerajUpreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rajendra Arya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted reply to objection filed by the respondents which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file reply to the objection.</p> <p>4. List on 21.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Sl. No. 5)

Ex. Rect. SandeepBahadurRana

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing ShriKishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of ShriRajendraArya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriNeerajUpreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time as last opportunity to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 18.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>

(Sl. No. 6)

Ex. Hav. DevendraBadola

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, but Rejoinder Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit as last opportunity</p> <p>4. List on 18.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/AKD/-</p>