

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 53 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 553 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jagdish Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024.9. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondent/Legal Representative for the respondents for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 54 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 550 of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Diwan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 55 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 563 of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 56 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 185 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Mohan Chandra Joshi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 57 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 546 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 58 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 564 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 59 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 548 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 60 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 549 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 61 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 562 of 2020

Ex. Nk. DayaKishan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 62 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 555 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Dori Lal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 63 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 64 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 547 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Anand singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 65 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Mohan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 66 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Anand Ballabh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 67 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 565 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Dinesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 68 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 561 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Pooran Singh Dasila

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 17)

Ex. A. No. 69 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 566 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Harish Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 18)

Ex. A. No. 70 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 554 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Khushi Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 19)

Ex. A. No. 71 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 551 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh alias Krishan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 20)

Ex. A. No. 73 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 545 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Pratap Singh Karmiyal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 21)

Ex. A. No. 74 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 557 of2020

Ex. Sep. Mahesh Chandra Joshi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 22)

Ex. A. No. 75 of 2022Inre : O.A. No. 556 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikaram Singh Dhapola, Advocate holding brief of Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021.3. Several opportunities have been granted to the respondents but affidavit of compliance has not been filed.4. Cost has also not been deposited.5. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why in exercise of powers provided in Order 21 of Code of Civil Procedure movable and immovable properties of the respondents and salary account be not attached for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal.6. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance and to take effective steps towards the implementation of the order on payment of additional cost of Rs.10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the costs due against them till the next date of listing.8. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 23)

Ex. A. No. 262 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 709 of 2021

Col. P.R. Kathuria (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 24)

Ex. A. No. 335 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 1035 of 2022

Smt. Basanti Devi W/o Late Hav. Diwan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.5. List on 09.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 25)

Ex. A. No. 336 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 1039 of 2022

Ex. Sub. M.C. Joshi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.5. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 26)

Ex. A. No. 337 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 704 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Hayat Singh Kalakoti Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 27)

Ex. A. No. 338 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 705 of 2022

Ex. L Nk. Mohan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikram Singh Dhapola, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.</p> <p>5. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 28)

Ex. A. No. 339 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 180 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Rampal Singh Rawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 29)

Ex. A. No. 340 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 716 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Amrendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of this execution case and cause of delay in implementation of the order.5. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 30)

Ex. A. No. 341 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 502 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. This Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 08.03.2021.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and PPO has been issued on 30.10.2023.4. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record through Affidavit within four weeks failing which respondents shall pay cost of Rs.5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 31)

Ex. A. No. 342 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 181 of 2022

Smt. Basanti Devi W/o Ex. Sigm. (Late) Harish Chandra Singh Koranga Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.4. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 32)

Ex. A. No. 343 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 713 of 2021

Visha Devi M/O Late Rfn. Nandan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 33)

Ex. A. No. 344 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 156 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Chakradhar Prasad Painuly

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. List on 09.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 34)

Ex. A. No. 345 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 1032 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Surendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 35)

Ex. A. No. 346 of 2023Inre : O.A. No. 138 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Kushal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 36)

M.A. No. 1127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1128 of 2023 Inre : Diary No. 331 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Mahavir Singh
In Person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Ex. Nk. Mahavir Singh, the ap in person and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Defects raised by the registry have not been removed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Several opportunities have already been granted to remove the defects.</p> <p>5. Applicant is directed to rectify the defects within a week failing which this case will be dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>6. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 37)

O.A. No. 711 of 2022

Lt. Col. Sagar Shahi (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 38)

O.A. No. 712 of 2022

Lt. Col. Pankaj Ahuja (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as second last opportunity to file Counter Affidavit failing which opportunity to file Counter Affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 39)

O.A. No. 713 of 2022 with M.A. No. 886 of 2022

Kundal Singh S/o Late Sep. Bhur Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list on 14.03.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 40)

O.A. No. 285 of 2023 with M.A. No. 384 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Prem Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list on 14.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 41)

O.A. No. 286 of 2023 with M.A. No. 315 of 2023

Ex. Nk. (TS) Sunder Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Dutt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Madhavi Devi Saxena, Advocate holding brief of Shri Suresh Chandra Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 315 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 25 years and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>7. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 286 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p>

9. Matter needs adjudication.

10. Admit.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

12. List on **09.07.2024**.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/RATHORE/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 42)

O.A. No. 287 of 2023

Ex. LAC Lalit Mohan Pant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri C.S. Rawat and Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by tomorrow.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on 14.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 43)

O.A. No. 310 of 2023 with M.A. No. 346 of 2023

Rajendra Singh Rawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Kumar, Advocate
Shri Harish Adhikari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents on maintainability of this O.A. is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 271 of 2024 with M.A. No. 325 of 2024

Smt. Deveshawari Rana W/o Late Jay Singh Rana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri ApoorvNautiyal, Advocate
Shri Vinod Nautiyal, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Nautiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri ApoorvNautiyal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 325 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Special Family Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 13 years, 01 month and 16 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

O.A. No. 271 of 2024

9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of Special Family Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **08.07.2024**.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/RATHORE/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 272 of 2024 with M.A. No. 326 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Kuldeep Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri ApoorvNautiyal, Advocate
Shri Vinod Nautiyal, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Nautiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri ApoorvNautiyal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 24 years in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection against delay condonation application.5. List on 08.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 273 of 2024 with M.A. No. 331 of 2024

L/Nk. Jai Kishan Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 42 years, 08 months and 02 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection against delay condonation application.5. List on 08.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 274 of 2024 with M.A. No. 332 of 2024

Col. Jagjeet Singh Rautela (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 332 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 06 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 274 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of notional increment to the applicant.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) AKD/RATHORE/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 275 of 2024

Nk. Jitpal Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of retiral gratuity to the applicant.4. Mater needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 08.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 276 of 2024 with M.A. No. 333 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Manbar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 30 years, 10 months and 07 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection against delay condonation application.5. List on 08.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 277 of 2024 with M.A. No. 334 of 2024

Ex. Sep. (GD) Kamaljeet Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 06 years, 06 months and 14 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection against delay condonation application.5. List on 08.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 278 of 2024

Sub. Maj. Dharendra Singh Aswal (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. Mater needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.7. List on 08.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 279 of 2024 with M.A. No. 335 of 2024

Sapper Sanjay Kumar (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 335 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 02 years, 10 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 279 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 280 of 2024 with M.A. No. 336 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Awadh Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 336 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 09 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 280 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of third MACP.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 281 of 2024 with M.A. No. 337 of 2024

Ex. Hav. Rajendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 337 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 06 months and 09 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 281 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of notional increment.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 282 of 2024 with M.A. No. 338 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Shamsheer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kisore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 332 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 04 years and 24 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 282 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 283 of 2024 with M.A. No. 339 of 2024

Ex. Hav. (MACP-I) Rajendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No 339 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 04 years, 06 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 283 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 284 of 2024 with M.A. No. 340 of 2024

Satish Singh S/o Late Hav. Kishan Singh through
Legal Guardian Santosh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 340 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 09 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation has been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>6. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 284 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 285 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Pratap Singh Bisht

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.4. Matter needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.7. List on 08.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 286 of 2024 with M.A. No. 341 of 2024

Smt. Leela Devi W/o Late L Nk. Dinesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is a delay of 29 years, 06 months and 28 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection, if any, against delay condonation application.5. List on 08.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 287 of 2024

Naik Tara Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate
Lt. Col. NidhikantDhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.4. Matter needs adjudication.5. Admit.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.7. List on 08.07.2024. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 18)

M.A. No. 329 of 2024 and M.A. No. 330 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 723 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Nk. Himmat Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 06.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 723 of 2022.3. There is a delay of 01 year, 02 months and 05 days in filing of leave to application.4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that due to involvement of various authorities in taking decision delay has been occurred. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case.6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed.8. Leave to Appeal being time barred is also dismissed. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 19)

M.A. No. 327 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 558 of 2020

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Sep. Mohan Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 327of 2024</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is allowed.3. In para 2 of the order dated 06.04.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 558 of 2020 the date of enrolment of the applicant be corrected as "17.06.2008" in place of "20.06.2008" and get signed by us within a week.4. With the aforesaid direction Misc. Application No. 327 of 2024 stands disposed off. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 20)

M.A. No. 328 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 556 of 2020

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is allowed.</p> <p>3. In para 2 of the order dated 06.04.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 556 of 2020 the date of enrolment and date of discharge of the applicant be corrected as “09.06.2008” and “31.05.2019” respectively in places of “17.06.2008” and “31.12.2019” respectively.</p> <p>4. With the aforesaid direction, Misc. Application No. 328 of 2024 stands disposed off.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 658 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Vipin Chander Singh Rawat Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Suman Negi (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant.2. Herd Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is dismissed on merit.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1119 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Devendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1121 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Chintamani Palariya

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1122 of 2023

Ex. L/Nk. Prakash Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 09.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 1124 of 2023

Sep./PO Ganesh Prasad Rana

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is allowed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1130 of 2023

Ex. Rfn. Laxman Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list on 14.03.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>