

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1030 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Surendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Matter is pending before the Larger Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 11.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 275 of 2023 with M.A. No. 305 of 2023

Smt. Shakambari Devi W/o Nk. (TS) Balbir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file substitution application but substitution application</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file substitution application.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 276 of 2023

Nk. Pushkar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the parties, heard arguments.5. Original Application is partly allowed.6. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.7. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 277 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Ganga Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within three weeks.4. List on 11.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 279 of 2023

Ex. Nk. (TS) Surendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri C.S. Rawat and Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 280 of 2023

Nk. Hari Prasad Uniyal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
Shri Vivek Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal and Shri Vivek Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the parties, heard arguments.5. Original Application is dismissed.6. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.7. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 281 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Prakash Chandra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri C.S. Rawat and Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 282 of 2023

Sep. Bikram Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Similar matter is sub-judice before Larger Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 11.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 283 of 2023 with M.A. No. 312 of 2023

Ex. Spr. Suresh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri P.S. Bohra, Advocate
Shri Prabhat Bohra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri P.S. Bohra and Shri Prabhat Bohra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on delay filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 312 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for quashing the discharge order dated 17.09.20025, re-instatement into service, and grant of disability pension.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 15 years and 10 months in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 283 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1158 of 2023

Ex. Pnr (Reservist) Ranjit Singh Pundir

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate
Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri N.K. Papnoi and Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1159 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1607 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Madan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajendra Arya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajendra Arya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 1160 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Sandeep bahadur Rana

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri H.S. Rawal, Advocate
Shri Rajendra Arya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri H.S. Rawal and Shri Rajendra Arya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1161 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Bhuwan Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the parties, heard arguments.5. Brief facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 27.12.1995. During the course of service he was placed in Low Medical Category for the disabilities 'Primary Hypertension' and Diabetes Mellitus'. He was awarded punishment to the extent of reduction of rank from Havildar to Sepoy on 30.06.2016 with three months rigorous imprisonment by Summary Court Martial and in that he was discharged from service on 31.12.2016. The records reveals that applicant did not report to Military Hospital for Release Medical Board and instead went to his Home Town without conducting Release Medical Board.6. Admittedly, the applicant was suffering abovementioned two disabilities but his Release Medical Board could not be conducted due to award of punishments to the applicants and applicant's not reporting to the Military Hospital.7. In view of the above, we are of the opinion that it will be appropriate to direct the respondents to conduct the Release Medical Board (RMB) of the applicant to assess the disabilities of the applicant. Accordingly, respondents are directed to conduct the Release Medical Board of the applicant to assess the disabilities of the applicant to ascertain the entitlement of disability element

of disability pension. Respondents are further directed to give effect to the order within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

8. Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/RATHORE/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1162 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Devendra Badola

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within three weeks.4. List on 12.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 293 of 2024 with M.A. No. 352 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Harish Chandra Singh Rautela Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 352 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 06 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further being pensionary matter and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

O.A. No. 293 of 2024

9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability Pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **10.07.2024**.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/RATHORE/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 294 of 2024 with M.A. No. 353 of 2024

Ex. Sub./Clk. Rajendra Singh Kaintura Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
Shri Vivek Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 17 years, 03 months and 27 days in filing Original Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.6. List on 10.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 295 of 2024 with M.A. No. 354 of 2024

Hony. Nb. Sub. Kundan Singh Mehta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
Shri Vivek Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Pensionary benefits to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 15 years, 08 months and 27 days in filing Original Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.6. List on 10.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 319 of 2024 with M.A. No. 357 of 2024

Hony. Nb. Sub. Ranjit Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of Pensionary benefits to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 21 years, 07 months and 28 days in filing Original Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.6. List on 10.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 320 of 2024 with M.A. No. 358 of 2024

Nk. Jaman Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 358 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of terminal gratuity to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 04 years, 06 months and 28 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

O.A. No. 320 of 2024

9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of terminal gratuity has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **10.07.2024**.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/RATHORE/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 321 of 2024 with M.A. No. 359 of 2024

Nk. Bikram Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 359 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of terminal gratuity to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 01 year, 04 months and 13 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the delay in filing of the application is not deliberate but of the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained on day-to-day basis.</p> <p>8. We have considered the submissions of Ld. Counsel for the parties and we find that the cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Further in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex court in the case of <i>Union of India and others Versus Tarsem Singh</i> [2008 (8) SCC 648], delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

O.A. No. 321 of 2024

9. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of terminal gratuity has been wrongly denied by the respondents.

10. Matter needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

13. List on **10.07.2024**.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/RATHORE/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 322 of 2024

Ex. Nk. Tribhuwan Kumar Dalakoti

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to move amendment application to amend the Original Application.4. He is permitted to move amendment application within three weeks.5. List on 10.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 118 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 723 of 2022

Ex. Nk. (TS) Himmat Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri N.K. Papnoi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh Execution Application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.12.2022 passed in Original Application No. 723 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 10.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 9)

R.A. No. 11 of 2024 with M.A. No. 360 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 150 of 2022

Jasoda Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This application has been filed for review of order dated 05.12.2022 passed in Original Application No. 150 of 2022.4. As provided under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 the Review Application may be filed within thirty days.5. This application has been moved after 01 year and 19 days from prescribed period in the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008.6. Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant but delay of more than one year has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is rejected.8. Consequently, Review Application being time barred is also rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Sl. No. 10)

R.A. No. 12 of 2024 with M.A. No. 361 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 155 of 2022

Ex. Rfn. Deepak Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for review of order dated 01.06.2022 passed in Original Application No. 155 of 2022.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 07 months and 01 day in filing Review Application.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection, if any, on delay condonation application as well as on Review Application.</p> <p>6. List on 10.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 11)

R.A. No. 13 of 2024 with M.A. No. 362 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 157 of 2021

Smt. Durga Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This application has been filed for review of order dated 30.05.2022 passed in Original Application No. 157 of 2021.4. As provided under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 the Review Application may be filed within thirty days.5. This application has been moved after 01 year and 08 days from prescribed period in the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008.6. Delay condonation application has been filed but delay of more than one year has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.7. Further, there is no error apparent on the face of record. This Tribunal cannot sit as Appellate Court.8. Accordingly, delay condonation application as well as Review Application are rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 12)

R.A. No. 14 of 2024 with M.A. No. 363 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 437 of 2021

Hony. Sub. Maj. Dinesh Chandra Khantwal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for review of order dated 23.02.2022 passed in Original Application No. 437 of 2021.4. As provided under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 the Review Application may be filed within thirty days.5. This application has been moved after 01 year, 01 month and 09 days from prescribed period in the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008.6. Delay condonation application has been filed but delay of more than one year has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.7. Further, there is no error apparent on the face of record. This Tribunal cannot sit as Appellate Court.8. Accordingly, delay condonation application as well as Review Application are rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 13)

R.A. No. 15 of 2024 with M.A. No. 364 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 508 of 2020

Ex. NC (E) Mohan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for review of order dated 02.08.2021 passed in Original Application No. 508 of 2020.4. As provided under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 the Review Application may be filed within thirty days.5. This application has been moved after 02 years, 06 months and 06 days from prescribed period in the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008.6. Delay condonation application has been filed but delay of more than two years has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.7. Further, there is no error apparent on the face of record. This Tribunal cannot sit as Appellate Court.8. Accordingly, delay condonation application as well as Review Application are rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 14)

R.A. No. 17 of 2024 with M.A. No. 365 of 2024 Inre : O.A. No. 152 of 2022

Smt. Basanti Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for review of order dated 01.06.2022 passed in Original Application No. 152 of 2022.4. As provided under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 the Review Application may be filed within thirty days.5. This application has been moved after 01 year and 08 months from prescribed period in the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008.6. Delay condonation application has been filed but delay of more than one year has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.7. Further, there is no error apparent on the face of record. This Tribunal cannot sit as Appellate Court.8. Accordingly, delay condonation application as well as Review Application are rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 99 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 183 of 2021

Smt. Jasodha Devi W/o Late Ex. Sep. Har Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that order has been complied with.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has also submitted that compliance has been made.</p> <p>5. Since compliance has been made, this Execution Application is disposed off in full satisfaction.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 72 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 289 of 2018

Ex. Hav. Shyam Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mohit Maulekhi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mohit Maulekhi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to the applicant to file objection, if any, but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that order has been complied with.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has also submitted that compliance has been made.</p> <p>6. Since compliance has been made, this Execution Application is disposed off in full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1136 of 2023

Geeta Devi Widow of Late Swr. Shabnam Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate
Shri Mahavir Kohli, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is already on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. List on 12.07.2024 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 709 of 2022

Smt. Deoki Devi W/o Ex. Spr. Dhan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Rejoinder Affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the partis heard arguments.4. Original Application is allowed.5. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
CIRCUIT BENCH AT NAINITAL

(Suppl. Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 287 of 2023

Ex. LAC Lalit Mohan Pant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri C.S. Rawat, Advocate
Shri Anil Anthwal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Anil Anthwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Original Application is dismissed.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/RATHORE/-</p>