

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 703 of 2021 with M.A. No. 856 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Rajesh Prasad Uniyal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anjali Benjwal and Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application for the grant of service pension. He has also filed an application seeking condonation of delay in filing Original Application, however, as per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, the delay condonation being not required is dismissed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 703 of 2021</u></p> <p>The points raised in Original Application needs consideration. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 21.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 704 of 2021 with M.A. No. 857 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Bikram Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to service pension and there is a delay of 28 years and 02 months in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>List on 21.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 705 of 2021 with M.A. No. 858 of 2021

Smt. Hira Devi, W/o Late Lachham Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The matter pertains to family pension and as per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 705 of 2021</u></p> <p>The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>The applicant being second wife and first wife of the applicant has not been made a party in the Original Application.</p> <p>The applicant is directed to implead her in Original Application for which steps be taken within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 21.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 706 of 2021 with M.A. No. 859 of 2021

Smt. Usha Devi, M/o Late Deepak Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to service pension and there is a delay of 04 years and 01 month and 22 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Applicant has filed an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay, wherein it has been stated that delay in filing Original Application is not intentional.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter, delay in filing Original Application is not intentional, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 706 of 2021</u></p> <p>The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 21.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 707 of 2021 with M.A. No. 860 of 2021

T.S. Nk. Mangal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to service pension and there is a delay of 16 years and 10 months and 13 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Applicant has filed an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay, wherein it has been stated that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter, delay in filing Original Application is not intentional, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 707 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 21.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 708 of 2021 with M.A. No. 861 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Narayan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application for setting aside the order dated 21.02.2008 passed in Summary Court Martial by which applicant has been dismissed from service and also for grant of service pension.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 709 of 2021 with M.A. No. 862 of 2021

Col. P.R. Kathuria
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to disability pension and As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 709 of 2021</u></p> <p>The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 710 of 2021 with M.A. No. 863 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Lachham Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Ms. Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to service pension and there is a delay of 18 years and 12 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Applicant has filed an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay, wherein it has been stated that delay in filing Original Application is not intentional.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter, delay in filing Original Application is not intentional, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 710 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 711 of 2021 with M.A. No. 864 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Balbir Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This is an application filed against the order dated 11.11.2002 passed by respondent No. 4 by which applicant has been dismissed from service being deserter.</p> <p>There is a delay of 16 years, 10 months and 03 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 712 of 2021 with M.A. No. 865 of 2021

Ex. Rfn. Chandra Mohan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter pertains to service pension and as per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 712 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">The points raised in Original Application needs adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 713 of 2021 with M.A. No. 866 of 2021

Visha Devi, M/o Late Rfn Nandan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to grant of family pension and there is a delay of 03 years, 01 month and 18 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Applicant has filed an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay, wherein it has been stated that delay in filing Original Application is not intentional.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter, delay in filing Original Application is not intentional, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 713 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 714 of 2021 with M.A. No. 867 of 2021

Ex. Gnr. Diwan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The instant Original Application has been filed against the order dated 23.10.1998 passed by the respondent No. 4 by which applicant has been dismissed from service being deserter. There is a delay of 20 years, 10 months and 21 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 715 of 2021 with M.A. No. 868 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Arjun Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to grant of disability pension and there is a delay of 30 years, 02 months and 19 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Applicant has filed an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay, wherein it has been stated that delay in filing Original Application is not intentional.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter, delay in filing Original Application is not intentional, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 715 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 731 of 2021

Rifleman Kuldeep Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard M.S. Bhandari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant may be permitted to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p>Considering the request of the applicant, he is permitted to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p>The Original Application is, therefore, dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 880 of 2021 with M.A. No. 881 of 2021 Inre : T.A. No. 08 of 2012

Prakash Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate Ms. Shruti Joshi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Defects have been removed.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this application to recall the order dated 29.02.2016 by which Transferred Application has been dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>There is a delay of more than five years in filing of recall application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents wants to file objection against delay condonation application which is allowed.</p> <p>Let objection be filed within four weeks.</p> <p>The applicant is directed to supply the copy of paperbook to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 370 of 2020 with M.A. No. 697 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Khushal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate appears on behalf of the applicant and files Vakalatnama which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>An application for amendment has been moved by the applicant seeking permission to amend the prayer clause.</p> <p>The case is already listed on 25.11.2021 at Lucknow.</p> <p>Let the matter be put up on the date fixed at Lucknow.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

R.A. No. 89 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 531 of 2020

Mukesh Singh Mehta
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri G.D. Joshi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The applicant has filed application to review the order dated 09.03.2021 by which the Original Application has been dismissed on merit.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>