

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 714 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Rect. Guru Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 17 years, 02 months and 16 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 486 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 823 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Bahadur Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The Original Application Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 487 of 2020</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is takne on record.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 825 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (hony. Nb. Sub.) Jagat Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 488 of 2020</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is takne on record.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 826 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Jagat Nath
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 489 of 2020</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 827 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Har Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 490 of 2020</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 828 of 2019 Iner : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Mohan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 491 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 830 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Than Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 493 of 2020</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 831 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Nandan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years, 09 months and 09 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 494 of 2020</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is takne on record.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 833 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Hav. Sovan Singh Gushani
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years, 01 month and 03 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 495 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 2422 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Nk. Chhawan Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove the defenctcs during course of the day.</p> <p>List on 10.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 117 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kashi Chand
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 20 years, 10 months and 13 days.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 496 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List on 08.03.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 119 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sigm. Harish Chandra Singh Koranga
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 27 years, 01 month and 21 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 497 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 120 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Rfn. Deepak Singh
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year 08 months and 28 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 498 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 122 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Girdhar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 10 years, 03 months and 07 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 500 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 124 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 years and 22 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 502 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 125 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Keshar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year 08 months and 20 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 503 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 127 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Gdsm. Mahabeer Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 21 years, 06 months and 14 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 504 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)**

M.A. No. 129 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Ram Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 10 years, 03 months and 07 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 506 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 130 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Har Ram

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is permitted to file objection against delay condonation application during the course of the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 132 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. NC (E) Mohan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 32 years, 05 months and 1 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying delay has not been satisfactorily explained on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides we find that cause shown for the delay is sufficient. Accordingly, we condone the delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 508 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 133 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Dan Singh Bisht

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt , Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Herard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 10 years, 03 months and 07 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 509 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 134 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Harsh Singh Bisht
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 10 years, 03 months and 07 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 510 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Orders is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>AKD/SB/-</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 1824 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Sep. Praveen Kuriyal	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
Versus	
Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai and Shri Rajesh Nagarkoti, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for ande is granted two weeks time to remove the defects.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 165 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Manoj Kumar Ghildiyal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years, 09 months and 18 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 511 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 383 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Trilochan Bhatt By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prem Praksh Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks time to remove the defects.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 08.03.2021 for orders.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 10px;">AKD/SB/-</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 376 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Pratap Singh Karmiyal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 10px;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 377 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 378 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Anand Singh	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
Versus	
Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 379 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 385 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Diwan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 386 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh alias Krishan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 388 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jagdish Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 389 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Khushi Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 396 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Dori Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 398 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Mahesh Chandra Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 399 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Mohan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 401 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Sri Chand
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 10px;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 413 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Daya Kishan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p>List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 414 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed to remove defects by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.11.2020 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 2419 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 292 of 2018

Ex. Rfn. Balbir Bahadur Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted and P.P.O. has been generated on 25.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, list on 11.11.2020 to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 2420 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 296 of 2018

Smt. Laxmi Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>At the outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that application be dismissed as infructuous as relief prayed in Original Application has already been granted.</p> <p>Accordingly case is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Diary No. 2421 of 2019 with Diary No. 944 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 129 of 2018

Mohan Chandra Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is permitted to file affidavit in support of application for condonation of delay during the course of day.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for grant of leave to appeal in O.A. No. 129 of 2018. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved beyond statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is well settled proposition of law that the Tribunal is not empowered to condone delay in moving application for leave to appeal. Accordingly application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p>In consequence thereof application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

R.A. No. 5 of 2020 with M.A. No. 126 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 433 of 2018

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Review Applicants

Versus

Ex. LRO Jagat Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the review applicants and Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate holding brief of Shri Lalit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent are present.</p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application in filing of Review Application. O.A. No. 433 of 2018. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved beyond statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is well settled proposition of law that the Tribunal is not empowered to condone delay in moving review application. Accordingly application for condonation of delay in moving review application is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p>In consequence thereof review application is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 2027 of 2016 Inre : O.A. (A) No. (Nil) of 2016

Kharak Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>List has been revised.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>None appears to present the cause of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, we dismiss delay condonation application for non prosecution.</p> <p>Original Application being time barred is also dismissed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Ex. A. No. 129 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 42 of 2017

Kundan Singh Bhandari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that order in question has been fully complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 316 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Naik Dalip Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajesh Sharma , Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 06 months and 07 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 514 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 08.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 317 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Hav./Hony. Nb. Sub. Shankar Dutt
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 14 years, 04 months and 07days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 515 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 318 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Hemlata Bhandari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Col. Subodh Verma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 months and 23 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying delay has not been satisfactorily explained on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides we find that casue shown is sufficinet. Accordingly, delay is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 516 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 319 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Rect. Vivek Singh Koshiyari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajesh Sharma, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 08 months and 11 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying delay has not been satisfactorily explained on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides, we find that casue shown is sufficinet. Accordingly, delay is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 517 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 323 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Bachi Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 14 years, 07 months and 07 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 521 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 325 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Kala Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 years, 01 month and 07days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 523 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 326 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Jantra Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years, 08 month and 06 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 524 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>