

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 363 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kamal Singh Rautela
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun P.S. Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 08 months and 27 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 540 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 366 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Dan Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri N.K. Papnoi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 28 years, 07 months and 20 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 541 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 367 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Bikram Singh Bhandari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Mangal Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 17 years and 29 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 542 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 368 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ajjudi Devi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Neeraj Upreti, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years, 07 months and 17 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 543 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 369 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. L/Nk Narendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Joshi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 04 years, 04 months and 09 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it is not on day to day basis.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable, as cause of action in such matters accrue every month and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 544 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 09.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 345 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Pratap Singh Karmiyal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 545 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 341 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 546 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 340 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Anand Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u> Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 547 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 344 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 548 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 343 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jeet Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u> Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 549 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 342 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Diwan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u> Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days. The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned. We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly. Let Original Application be registered. <u>O.A. No. 550 of 2020</u> It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks. Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order. List on 10.03.2021. (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>
	AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 359 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Kishan Singh alias Krishan Singh
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 551 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 360 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 552 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 361 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jagdish Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 553 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 349 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Dori Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 555 of 2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 348 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Khim Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 556 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 347 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Mahesh Chandra Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 557 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 346 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Mohan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 558 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 351 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Anand Ballabh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u> and Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 559 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 352 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Sri Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p>11.11.2020 <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 560 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 305 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Pooran Singh Dasila
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 561 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p><small>AKD/SB/-</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 354 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nk. Daya Kishan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 562 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>AKD/SB/-</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 355 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u> Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days. The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned. We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly. Let Original Application be registered. <u>O.A. No. 563 of 2020</u> It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks. Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order. List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 356 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kishan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 564 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 357 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex.Sep. Dinesh Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 565 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

AKD/SB/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

M.A. No. 358 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Harish Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Tarun PS Takuli, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 05 days.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of the application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay by the applicant is not satisfactory, hence delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient. As such, delay caused in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 566 of 2020</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>Applicant is directed to file copy of discharge certificate within eight weeks.</p> <p>Applicant is further directed to clarify how Original Application is maintainable when applicant is not affected by the impugned order.</p> <p>List on 10.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Ex. A. No. 1 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 279 of 2018

Ex. Hav. Kharak Singh Bhandari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that despite P.P.O. being issued nothing is being credited in the applicant’s account. He also prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against compliance report.</p> <p>List on 11.03.2021 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Ex. A. No. 43 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 292 of 2018

Ex. Rfn. Balbir Bahadur Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>At the outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant has admitted that order in question has been fully complied with.</p> <p>In view of above, Execution Application is dismissed in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Ex. A. No. 100 of 2017 with M.A. No. 82 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 7 of 2013

Mukesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant to represent his cause.</p> <p>Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents along with Col. Subodh Verma, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>In this case affidavit of compliance was filed on 31.10.2018 and, since then, despite time being taken by the applicant he has not filed objection.</p> <p>This shows that applicant has no objection against the compliance. As such, Execution Application is dismissed in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

Ex. A. No. 92 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 48 of 2017

Smt. Radhika Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>During the course of hearing Ld. Counsel for the applicant admits that order in question has been complied with in toto. As such, Execution Application is dismissed in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)**

Ex. A. No. 41 of 2020 Inre : T.A. No. 57 of 2013

Daulat Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Neeraj Upreti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that despite P.P.O. being issued nothing is being credited in the applicant's account. He also prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against compliance report.</p> <p>List on 11.03.2021 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
(Circuit Bench at Nainital)

O.A. No. 422 of 2019

Ex. Nb. Sub. Harish Chandra Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2020</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Col. Subodh Verma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

