### Court No. 1

# ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 1360 of 2023**

Wednesday, this the 02<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2025

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)"

Ex. WO Surendra Prasad Singh (Service No. 716540-G), S/o Shaligram Singh, Last Unit 781 SU AF bareilly, UP, R/o House No. A/1002, Maitry Height, Opp. Cosmos Legend Sector 3, Village Dongare Global City Virar Palghar, Maharashtra-401303.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant

: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

- 1. The Union of India, through Defence Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Govt. of India, South Block, New Delhi-110010.
- 2. The Chief of Air Staff, Air HQ, Vayu Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110106.
- 3. Deputy Controller of Defence Account (Air Force), Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
- 4. the Director, Directorate of Air Veterans, Air HQ, Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
- 5. Principal Controller of Defence Account (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh-211014.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents.

: **Shri Jai Narayan Mishra**, Advocate Central Govt. Standing Counsel

O.A. No. 1360 of 2023 Ex. WO Surendra Prasad Singh

## **ORDER**

### "Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)"

- The instant Original Application has been filed under Section
   of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs:
  - i. this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to set aside Part VII (Page 7) of the RMb dated 02.03.2021, to the extent whereby disability has been held to be NANA and disability pension has been denied to the applicant (Annexure A-1 to OA).
  - ii. This Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to hold that the Disability Bicuspid Aortic Valve with Mild AR, Primary Hypertension and Dyslipidemia is attributable to service and aggravated by service.
  - iii. This Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to set aside letter no. Air HQ/99798/1/716540/1/22/DAV (DP/RMB) dated 17.11.2021 whereby claim of disability pension has been denied (Annexure A-2 to OA).
  - iv. This Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to Grant Disability Pension to applicant for disability, @50% to be rounded of @75% wef 01.02.2022 and for life.
  - v. This Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct respondents to issue PPO, granting disability pension to applicant wef 01.02.2022 and for life @50% to be rounded of @75%.
  - vi. This Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct respondents to pay entire arrears which accrued after the grant of disability pension to applicant.
  - vii. This Hon'ble Tribunal may grant any other and further relief to applicant as it deem fit, just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

- viii. This Hon'ble Tribunal may award the cost of petition to applicant.
- ix. This Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to set aside Impugned Appellate order No. Air HQ/99798/5/716540/1st Appeal/DP/AV-III dated 20.09.2022 passed by Sqn. Ldr AV ( A & N- Appeal) in the office of Respondents No. 4.
- 2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Air Force on 18.12.1986 and discharged on 31.01.2022 in Low Medical Category on attaining the age of superannuation after rendering 35 years, 01 month and 13 days of regular service. The applicant is in receipt of service pension. Before discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at SMC 15 Wing Air Force C/o 56 APO on 02.05.2021 assessed his disabilities (i) 'BICUSPID AORTIC VALVE WITH MILD AR (OLD) ICD No. I 06.8' @30%, (ii) 'DYSLIPIDEMIA (OLD) ICD NO. E 78.9, Z 09.0' @5% and (iii) 'PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (OLD) ICD NO. I 10.0, Z 09.0' @30%, composite disabilities @50% for life and opined the disabilities to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 17.11.2021. The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 21.12.2021 which too was rejected vide letter dated 20.09.2022. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

- 3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Air Force and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Air Force. The diseases of the applicant were contracted during the service, hence they are attributable to and aggravated by Air Force Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.
- 4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that composite disabilities of the applicant @50% for life have been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 153 of the Pension Regulations for the Indian Air Force, 1961 (Part-I) which provides that "Unless otherwise specifically provided, disability pension may be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service and is assessed at 20% or over" the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. Ld. Counsel for the respondents further contended that in the background of older age the applicant was detected to have first disability at the age of 51 years and was placed in low medical classification A4G4 (Temp) (T-24) vide AFMSF-15 dated 21.09.2015. In the background of older age the

applicant was detected to have second and third disabilities at the age of 55 years and was placed in composite low medical classification A4G4 (Temp) (T-12) vide aFMSF-15 dated 16.03.2020. The applicant was thereafter reviewed periodically for all the disabilities and was placed in composite low medical classification A4G3 vide AFMSF-15 dated 08.09.2020. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

- 5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-
  - (a) Whether the disabilities of the applicant are attributable to or aggravated by Air Force Service?
  - (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?
- 6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others*, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

- "29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).
- 29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].
- 29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).
- 29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]
- 29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].
- 29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 -

"Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the first and third disabilities 'BICUSPID AORTIC VALVE WITH MILD AR (OLD) ICD No. I 06.8' and 'PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (OLD) ICD NO. I 10.0, Z 09.0' are neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of these disabilities in September, 2015 and March 2020 while posted in Peace locations (Thane and Bareilly), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is cryptic, not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Air Force on 18.12.1986 and the first and third disability has started after more than 28 and 33 years of Air Force service respectively i.e. in September, 2015 and March, 2020. We also find that applicant's ideal weight was 74 Kg whereas the actual weight was 78 Kg, over weight is 04 Kg which is 5.40% i.e. less than 10%. As such it also cannot be said that the cause of disability is overweight. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances

should be given to the applicant in view of *Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors* (supra), and the first and third disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by Air Force service.

- 8. However, with regard to second disability i.e. 'DYSLIPIDEMIA (OLD) ICD NO. E 78.9, Z0 9.0' we are agree with the opinion of the RMB as NANA as it is a metabolic disease with inherited enzyme deficiency and excessive intake of saturated fats with no causal connection to service.
- 9. In para 17 A (a) of Chapter VII of the Guide to Medical Officer (Military Pensions), 2002 the provision for composite assessment has been mentioned which reads as under:-

## "17A. Composite Assessment

- (a) Where there are two or more disabilities due to service, compensation will be based on the composite assessment of the degree of disablement. Generally speaking, when separate disabilities have entirely different functional effects, the composite assessment will be the arithmetical sum of their separate assessment. But where the functional effects of the disabilities overlap, the composite assessment will be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. There is a tendency for some Medical Boards to reduce the composite assessment in the former group of cases. This is not correct."
- 10. In the instant case there are functional effects of the second and third disabilities overlapping, as such composite assessment is to be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. The degree of first disability is @30% and third disability is @30% for which we are of the view that there is some overlapping. The

degree of second disability is @5% which has been held as NANA by us. The composite assessment of all the three disabilities is @50% for life. Accordingly, we hold that composite assessment of first and third disabilities is less than @50% for life.

- 11. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-
  - "4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

- 5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.
- 6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.
- 7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.
- 8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."
- 12. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.
- 13. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (supra) as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No.17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of

11

disability pension less than @50% for life to be rounded off to 50%

for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his

discharge.

14. In view of the above, the Original Application No. 1360 of

2023 deserves to be partly allowed, hence partly allowed. The

impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of

disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The first and

third disabilities of the applicant are held as aggravated by Air

Force Service. The second disability is held as NANA as has been

opined by the RMB. The applicant is entitled to get disability

element less than @50% for life which would be rounded off to

50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents

are directed to grant disability element to the applicant less than

@50% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from

the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further

directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months

from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will

invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

15. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Dated: 02 April, 2025

AKD/-