## Court No. 1

# ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 537 of 2024**

Monday, this the 07<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2025

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singhy, Member (A)"

Service No. 721768-G Sergeant (Retd.) Andrews Antony Dass, permanent address is 283, Jawahar Ayya Nagar Nagar, Adhanur, Kanchipuram District, Tamilnadu-60302 and after retirement from Indian Air Force, residing at P-1, 405, Gulmohur Garden, Raj Nagar Extension, Ghaziabad-201017.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate **Applicant** 

#### Versus

- 1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi-110011.
- 2. The Chief of Air Staff, Air Headquarters, Vayu Bhavan, New Delhi-110106.
- 3. The Directorate of Air Veterans, Air Headquarters, Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
- 4. The PCDA (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad.
- 5. The JCDA (Air Force), Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate Respondents. Central Govt. Standing Counsel

### **ORDER**

## "Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)"

- The instant Original Application has been filed under Section
  of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs:-
  - (a) Quash the Impugned Order Air HQ/99798/1/721768/01/23/DAV (DP/RMB0 dated 16 Aug 2023 passed by the respondents and declare the disabilities "(i) CAD-ACS-BVO-PTCA TO PDA (RCA) (DES) OMI (DES) (ii) DM Type-II and (iii) Dyslipidemia" as aggravated by the Military Service.
  - (b) Grant the disability element of pension to the Applicant @50% w.e.f. 01<sup>st</sup> Feb 2023 for life with all consequential benefits and
  - (c) To issue/pass any other orders/direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant and render justice.
- 2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Air Force on 28.07.1989 and discharged on 31.01.2023 in Low Medical Category on attaining the age of superannuation. The applicant is in receipt of Service Pension. Before discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Air Force Station, Tambaram on 22.06.2022 assessed his disabilities (i) 'CAD-ACS-DVD PTCA TO PDA (RCA) (DES) + OMI (DES) (OLD) I 20.9 Z09.0' @30% for life, (ii) 'DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE II (OLD) E 11 Z09.0' @20% for life and (iii) 'DYSLIPIDEMIA (OLD) E78

- **Z09.0'** @5% for life, **composite disabilities** @**46.80% for life** and opined the disabilities to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 16.08.2023. The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 15.09.2023 but of no avail. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.
- 3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Air Force and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Air Force. The diseases of the applicant were contracted during the service, hence they are attributable to and aggravated by Air Force Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.
- 4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that composite disabilities of the applicant @46.80% for life have been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 153 of the Pension Regulations for the Indian Air Force, 1961 (Part-I) which provides that "Unless otherwise specifically provided, disability pension may be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is

attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service and is assessed at 20% or over" the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. Ld. Counsel for the respondents further submitted that the applicant had personal history of reformed smoker vide Summary and opinion dated 18.06.2022. In the background of older age and smoking, risk factor for CAD, DM and Dyslipidemia the applicant was initially placed in low medical classification A4G4 (Temp) (T-24) at the age of 47 years vide aFMSF-15 dated 06.12.2013. Thereafter, the applicant was reviewed periodically for all the disabilities and was placed in composite medical classification A4G4 (Permanent) vide AFMSF-15 dated 18.11.2014. It is evident from the successive medical examination that the applicant had modifiable risk factor that was well within his controller and that he did not address. In summary, the applicant by his own actions, contributed towards the possible development of aforesaid disabilities. As such the applicant disabilities cannot be considered to be attributable to or aggravated by service conditions and the applicant is not entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension. Since the applicant is not entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension the question of rounding off of disability pension does not arise. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the

Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-

- (a) Whether the disabilities of the applicant are attributable to or aggravated by Air Force Service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?
- 6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others*, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.
  - "29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).
  - 29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].
  - 29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that

the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).

- 29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]
- 29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].
- 29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."
- 7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the first disabilities 'CAD-ACS-DVD PTCA TO PDA (RCA) (DES) + OMI (DES) (OLD) I 20.9 Z09.0' and 'DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE II (OLD) E 11 Z09.0' are neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of first and second disabilities in October, 2013 while posted in Peace location (Chennai), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension for these disabilities. However,

considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is cryptic, not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous Air Force training and associated stress and strain of Air Force service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Air Force on 28.07.1989 and first and second disabilities have started after more than 24 years of Air Force service i.e. in October, 2013. We also find that at the time of discharge the applicant's ideal weight was 66 Kg whereas the actual weight was 60 Kg which is even less than the ideal weight. As such it also cannot be said that the cause of disability is overweight. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors (supra), and the first and second disabilities of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by Air Force service.

8. However, with regard to third disability i.e. 'DYSLIPIDEMIA' (OLD) E 78 Z09.0' we agree with the opinion of the RMB as NANA as it is a metabolic disease with inherited enzyme deficiency and excessive intake of saturated fats with no casual connection to service.

9. In para 17 A (a) of Chapter VII of the Guide to Medical Officer (Military Pensions), 2002 the provision for composite assessment has been mentioned which reads as under:-

# "17A. Composite Assessment

- (a) Where there are two or more disabilities due to service, compensation will be based on the composite assessment of the degree of disablement. Generally speaking, when separate disabilities have entirely different functional effects, the composite assessment will be the arithmetical sum of their separate assessment. But where the functional effects of the disabilities overlap, the composite assessment will be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. There is a tendency for some Medical Boards to reduce the composite assessment in the former group of cases. This is not correct."
- 10. In the instant case there are functional effects of the first and second disabilities overlapping, as such composite assessment is to be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. The degree of first disability is @30% and second disability is @20% for which we are of the view that there is some overlapping. The third degree of third disability is @5% which has been held as NANA by us. The composites disabilities of all the disabilities is 46.80% for life. Accordingly, we hold that composite assessment of first and second disabilities is less than @46.80% for life.
- 11. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of

the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

- By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India. dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.
- 5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.
- 6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.
- 7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.
- 8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."

- 12. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.
- 13. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (*supra*) as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No.17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension less than @46.80% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.
- 14. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 537 of 2024** deserves to be partly allowed, hence **partly allowed**. The impugned order, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, is set aside. The first and second disabilities of the applicant are held as aggravated by Air Force Service. The third disability is held as NANA as has been

11

opined by the RMB. The applicant is entitled to get disability

element less than @46.80% for life which would be rounded off to

50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents

are directed to grant disability element to the applicant less than

@46.80% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life

from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further

directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months

from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will

invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

15. No order as to costs.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjya Singh) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Dated: 07 April, 2025

AKD/-