# Court No. 1

# ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 397 of 2024**

Wednesday, this the 08th day of January, 2025

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)"

JC-490936-P, Sub. Manoj Kumar (Retd.), Resident of House No.677/22, Tej Vihar, Rohta Road, Talab Ke Pass, Fazalpur, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh -250002.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Vinay Pandey,** Advocate Applicant

#### Versus

- 1. Union of India, through Secretary, Ministry of Defence (Army), DHQ PO –New Delhi -11.
- 2. The Chief of the Army Staff, Army Headquarters, Sena Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. The Officer-in-Charge, Records JAT Regimental Centre, PIN 900496, C/o 56 APO.
- 4. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts, Draupadi Ghat, Prayagraj (UP).

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey,** Advocate Respondents. Central Govt. Standing Counsel

# **ORDER**

## "Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)"

- The instant Original Application has been filed under Section
   of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs:-
  - (a) Issue/pass an order or direction of appropriate nature to quash the decision taken by Army Authorities as mentioned in letter of JC-490936/DP/JR dated 04 Dec 2020, rejection of first Appeal vide IHQ of MoD (Army) ADG PS, AG's Branch letter No. B/40502/85/2021/AG/PS-4 (IMP-I) dated 25 March 2021 (Annexure No.A-1) and rejection of second appeal dated 18 Jan 2023 along with communication letter bearing No. JC-490936/DP/II Appeal /JR dated 31 Jan 2023 (Annexure No.A-5), rejecting the disability of disability pension with the benefit of Rounding off.
  - (b) Issue/Pass an order or direction of appropriate nature directing the respondents to concede the attributability and aggravation of ID due to military service and grant disability element of disability pension with the benefit of rounding off with effect from 01.09.2020.
  - (c) Issue/pass an order or direction of appropriate nature to the respondents to make the payment of arrears along with interest accrued to the applicant due to revision of his pension and continue to pay regular pension to the applicant in the revised rate.
  - (d) Issue/pass any other or direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit in the circumstances of the case.

- 2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 27.08.1992 and discharged on 31.08.2020 (AN) in Low Medical Category on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13 (3) Item III (i) (a) of the Army Rules, 1954 after rendering 28 years and 05 days of service. The applicant is in receipt of Service Pension. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Command Hospital (Central Command), Lucknow on 21.05.2020 assessed his disability 'CAD ACS IWMI TLT NEGATIVE POST PCI TO LAD (ICD-125.4)' @30% for life and opined the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 04.12.2020. The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 23.12.2020 which too was rejected vide letter dated 25.03.2021 which was communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 08.06.2021. The applicant preferred Second Appeal dated 30.12.2021 which too was rejected vide letter dated 18.01.2023 which was communicated to the applicant vide letter 31.01.2023. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.
- 3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in

Army. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that disability of the applicant @30% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 53(a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that "An individual released/retired/ discharged on completion of terms of engagement or on completion of service limits or on attaining the prescribed age (irrespective of his period of engagement), if found suffering from a disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted disability element in addition to service pension or service gratuity from the date of retirement/discharge, if the accepted degree of disability is assessed at 20% or more" applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. Ld. Counsel for the respondents further contended that Primary Medical Examination at the time of recruitment is basically a screening medical examination to screen out persons with the specified diseases/disabilities and select a physically fit person who should be able to sustain the physical stress and strain of training

and able to make a good and fit soldier. At the time of recruitment, individual is expected to reveal past illnesses, injuries and also intimate family history of specified disease. Further, Recruiting Officer, based on inputs given by the individual and also as per recruiting standards, examines the persons assembled for enrolment. General examination is carried out. Detailed medical test and psychiatric examination is neither feasible nor carried out. Generally boys are in the age group of 17-20 years. There are various diseases which manifest later in adult/middle age and certain disabilities have periodic remissions/relapses or there could be disease in sub clinical stage. These diseases cannot be detected at the time of enrolment. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

- 5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-
  - (a) Whether the disability of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?
  - (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?
- 6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Dharamvir**

Singh Versus Union of India & Others, reported in (2013) 7
Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

- "29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).
- 29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].
- 29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).
- 29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]
- 29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].

- 29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."
- 7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disability 'CAD ACS IWMI TLT NEGATIVE POST PCI TO LAD (ICD-I25.4)' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability on 25.12.2018 while posted in Peace location (Lucknow) and 14 days charter of duties not reflect exceptional mental, emotional or physical stress of military service, therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant are cryptic, not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 27.08.1992 and the disability has started after more than 26 years of Army service i.e. on 25.12.2018. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the

applicant in view of *Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors* (supra), and the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service.

- 8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-
  - By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.
  - 5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.

- 6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.
- 7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.
- 8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."
- 9. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.
- 10. It is also observed that claim for pension is based on continuing wrong and relief can be granted if such continuing wrong creates a continuing source of injury. In the case of *Shiv Dass vs. Union of India*, reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445, Hon'ble Apex Court has observed:

"In the case of pension the cause of action actually continues from month to month. That, however, cannot be a ground to overlook delay in filing the petition. It would depend upon the fact of each case. If petition is filed beyond a reasonable period say three years normally the Court would reject the same or restrict the relief which could be granted to a reasonable period of about three years. The High Court did not examine whether on merit appellant had a case. If on merits it would have found that there was no scope for interference, it would have dismissed the writ petition on that score alone."

- 11. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (supra) and *Shiv Dass (supra)* as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @30% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from three preceding years from the date of filing of the Original Application.
- 12. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 397 of 2024** deserves to be partly allowed, hence **partly allowed**. The impugned order, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, is set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of filing of Original Application. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for life which would stand

11

rounded off to 50% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of

filing of Original Application. The date of filing of Original

Application is 10.04.2024. The respondents are further directed to

give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date

of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest

@ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

13. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Dated: 08 January, 2025

Ashok/AKD/-