Court No. 1

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 558 of 2024

Wednesday, this the 29th day of January, 2024

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)"

No. 13997495P Ex. Hav. Shyam Lendu Dutta, S/o Sri Aditya Dutta, R/o Village – Musabani, Post- Musabani, District – East Singhbhum, Pin-832104 (Jharkhand).

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate Applicant

Versus

- 1. Union of India, through its Secretary, Integrated HQs of MoD (Army), New Delhi -110011.
- The Additional Directorate General of Personnel Services, PS-8/AG's Branch, IHQ of MoD (Army), Room No. 527, 5th Floor, 'A' Block, Defence Office Complex, K.G Marg, New Delhi -110001.
- 3. The Officer In-Charge, Records, AMC Lucknow, Pin-226002 (U.P).
- 4. The Principle Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Allahabad (now Prayagraj) Uttar Pradesh-14.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the	: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate
Respondents.	Central Govt. Standing Counsel

<u>ORDER</u>

"Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)"

- The instant Original Application has been filed under Section
 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-
 - A. To issue/pass an order to set –aside /quash rejection order passed by IHQ of MoD (Army) letter No. B/40502/11/2024 /AG/PS-8 dated 08 Apr 2024, received vide AMC Records letter No. 13997495P/Pen/DP/1st Appeal dated 22 Apr 2024.
 - B. To issue/pass an order to grant disability element @30% with benefits of Rounding off to 50% for life in light of Judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court, Orders of Hon'ble Armed Forces Tribunals in similar cases from next date of discharge w.e.f. 01.11.2023.
 - C. To issue/pass an order to grant arrears of disability element along with interest @18% p.a. on arrears from next date of discharge wef. 01.11.2023.
 - D. To any other as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem just, fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant.

2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Army Medical Corps of Indian Army on 28.10.1997 and discharged on 31.10.2023 in Low Medical Category on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13 (3) Item III (i) of the Army Rules, 1954. Before discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at 189 Military Hospital, Panagarh on 18.04.2023 assessed his disability "**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (I-10)** (**REVISED DIAGNOSIS**)' ⁽²⁾ 30% for life and opined the disability to be **aggravated by** service. However, the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension was rejected by the Competent Authority i.e. Officer-in-Charge, Army Medical Corps Record Office vide letter dated 04.11.2023 in the light of relevant rules, existing medical/administrative provisions on the subject. The applicant preferred First Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 08.04.2024 which was communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 22.04.2024. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant's disability was found to be aggravated by military service vide RMB which had also assessed the disability @30% for file. He further pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Army. He further submitted that Competent Authority has no authority to overrule the opinion of RMB. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents conceded that disability of the applicant @30% for life has been regarded as **aggravated by**

3

the RMB, but the Competent Authority has rejected the claim of the applicant in the light of relevant rules/existing medical/ administrative provisions on the subject, hence applicant is not entitled to disability pension in terms of Regulation 53(a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that "An individual released/retired/ discharged on completion of terms of engagement or on completion of service limits or on attaining the prescribed age (irrespective of his period of engagement), if found suffering from a disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted disability element in addition to service pension or service gratuity from the date of retirement/discharge, if the accepted degree of disability is assessed at 20% or more". He further submitted that Para 43 of Chapter VI of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Penssion), 2008 stipulates that "Primary Hypertension will be considered aggravated if it occurs while serving in Field Area, HAA, CI Ops area or prolonged afloat service". Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that Para 5 of Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards in Armed Forces Personnel, 2008 stipulates that "The medical test at the time of entry is not exhaustive, but its scope is limited to broad physical examination. Therefore, it may not detect some dormant disease. Besides certain hereditary constitutional and congenital diseases may manifest later in life, irrespective of service conditions. He further submitted that as per Medical Specialist

4

Opinion dated 31.07.2021 the father of the applicant has Hypertension and on medication. He further submitted that the applicant has not preferred Second Appeal as such the applicant has not availed the alternative remedy provided under the Army Act, 1954. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-

- (a) Whether the Competent Authority has authority to overrule the opinion of RMB?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?

6. This is a case where the disability of the applicant has been held as aggravated by military service by the RMB. The RMB assessed the disability @30% for life. However, the opinion of the RMB has been overruled by the Competent Authority d and the disability has been regarded as neither attributable to or aggravated by military service.

7. The issue of sanctity of the opinion of a Release Medical Board and its overruling by a higher formation is no more Res Integra. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Ex. Sapper Mohinder Singh vs. Union of India & Others*, in Civil Appeal

No.164 of 1993, decided on 14.01.1993, has made it clear that without physical medical examination of a patient, a higher formation cannot overrule the opinion of a Medical Board. Thus, in light of the observations made by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Ex Sapper Mohinder Singh vs. Union of India & Others*, we are of the considered opinion that the decision of competent authority over ruling the opinion of RMB held on 18.04.2023 is void in law. The relevant part of the aforesaid judgment is quoted below:-

"From the above narrated facts and the stand taken by the parties before us, the controversy that falls for determination by us is in a very narrow compass viz. whether the Chief Controller Defence Accounts (Pension) of has any jurisdiction to sit over the opinion of the experts (Medical Board) while dealing with the case of grant of disability pension, in regard to the percentage of the disability pension, or not. In the present case, it is nowhere stated that the Applicant was subjected to any higher medical Board before the Chief Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension) decided to decline the disability pension to the Applicant. We are unable to see as to how the accounts branch dealing with the pension can sit over the judgment of the experts in the medical line without making any reference to a detailed or higher Medical Board which can be constituted under the relevant instructions and rules by the Director General of Army Medical Core."

8. Thus in light of the aforesaid judgment (supra) as well as IHQ of MoD (Army) letter dated 25.04.2011 it is clear that the disability assessed by RMB cannot be reduced/overruled by Competent Authority, hence the decision of Competent Authority is void.

Hence, we are of the opinion that the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service as has been opined by the RM

9. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

"4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.

6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.

7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.

8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."

10. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

11. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (supra) as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No.17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @ 30% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life

may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.

In view of the above, the Original Application No. 558 of 12. 2024 deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service as has been opined by the RMB. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

13. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Dated : 29 January, 2024 Ashok/AKD/-