

Court No. 1**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 259 of 2021**Monday, this the 09th day of November, 2021**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)”**

Gp. Capt. (Dr.) Ajoy Kumar Mishra (Retd.), IC – AF-18922 H, Med, S/o Shri Sarbeswar Mishra, Resident of House No. 15, Akansha, Eldeco Udyan-1, Jail Road, Lucknow-226012.

..... **Applicant**Ld. Counsel for the Applicant : **Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi**, Advocate.

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi.
2. Chief of the Air Staff, Air Headquarters, Vayu Bhawan, New Delhi-110106.
3. Air Cmde A V, Directorate of Air Veterans, Air Headquarters, SMC Building (First Floor), Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
4. Joint Controller of Defence Accounts (Air Force), Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
5. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad (U.P.).

.....**Respondents**Ld. Counsel for the Respondents. : **Shri Asheesh Agnihotri**, Advocate
Central Govt. Counsel

ORDER

“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)”

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- (a) *To issue/pass an order to set aside the impugned order dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure A-1) by which the First Appeal against non-grant of disability element to the applicant, has been rejected.*
- (b) *To issue/pass any order or direction to modify the assessment of the Release Medical Board (Annexure No. A-3) of the applicant held on 08.05.2020 to the extent that the diseases of Primary Hypertension and Diabetes Mellitus Type – II with disability each @30% with composite assessment for all the disabilities at 60% for life, be declared as attributable/aggravated due to Air Force service conditions, with net assessment qualifying for disability pension @60% for life, rounded up to 75% as per policy issued vide GOI, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D (Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018 and the same circulated vide PCDA (P) Allahabad Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018. Copy annexed as Annexure No. A-6 to the Original Application.*
- (c) *To issue/pass any other order or direction to respondents to pay the disability element with effect from 01.10.2020 for life and pay the accrued arrears.*
- (d) *To issue/pass any other order or direction that this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit, just and proper under the circumstances of the case.*
- (e) *To allow this Original Application with costs.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was commissioned in the Indian Air Force on 09.04.1987 and was retired on 30.09.2020 on attaining the age of superannuation in Low Medical Category. At the time of retirement from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Air Force Station, Jalahalli on 08.05.2020 assessed his disabilities (i) **'PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (OLD) ICD NO. I10.0, Z09.0'** @30% for life, (ii) **'DYSLIPIDEMIA (OLD) ICD NO. E78, Z09.0'** @5% for life, and (iii) **'DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE – II (OLD) ICD NO. E11, Z09.0'** @30% for life, **composite disabilities @60% for life** and opined the disabilities to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 21.09.2020. The applicant preferred First Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 25.01.2021. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Air Force and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Air Force. The diseases of the applicant were contacted during the service, hence they are attributable to and aggravated by Air Force Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability pension as well

as arrears thereof, as applicant is also entitled to disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that composite disabilities of the applicant @ 60% for life have been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds:-

- (a) Whether the disabilities of the applicant are attributable to or aggravated by Air Force Service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).

29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].

29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).

29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]

29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].

29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 -

"Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disabilities i.e. '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (OLD) ICD NO. I10.0, Z09.0**', '**DYSLIPIDEMIA (OLD) ICD NO. E78, Z09.0**' and '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE – II (OLD) ICD NO. E11, Z09.0**' are neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disabilities in July, 2011 while posted in Peace location (Tambaram), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous Air Force training and associated stress and strain of Air Force service. The applicant was commissioned in Indian Air Force on 09.04.1987 and the disabilities have started after more than 14 years of Air Force service i.e. in July, 2001. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the disabilities of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by Air Force service.

8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalidated out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.

6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

8. *This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."*

9. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (supra)***, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability pension @ 60% for life to be rounded off to 75% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his retirement.

10. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 259 of 2021** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned orders dated 21.09.2020 and 25.01.2021, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element, are set aside. The disabilities of the applicant are held as aggravated by Air Force Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @60% for life which would be rounded off to 75% for life from the next date of his retirement. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @60% for life which would stand rounded off to 75% for life from the next date of his retirement. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of

this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

11. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

Dated : 09 November, 2021

AKD/-