

Court No. 1

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 103 of 2024

Thursday, this the 05th day of December, 2024

**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)”**

No. 701068-T JWO Shiobali Singh (Retd.), C/o Late Dhoomi Singh Kain, Residing at Village and Post Office – Kanwar, District – Kaushambi, Pin-212217 (UP).

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : **Dr. Amit Asthana**, Advocate
Applicant

Versus

1. Union of India, through Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Room No. 101 A, South Block, DHQ PO, New Delhi, Pin-110011.
2. Chief of Air Staff, Air HQ, Vayu Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.
3. Director, Dir of Air Veteran, Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
4. JCDA (Air Force), New Delhi, C/o Air Force Central Account Office, Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla**, Advocate
Respondents. Central Govt. Standing Counsel

ORDER

“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- (a) *Impugned order Air HQ/99798/1/701068/08/20/DAV (DP/RMB) dated 19.11.2020 passed by Respondent No. 3 (DAV) and AIR HQ/99798/1/701068/DP/AV-III (Appeals) dated 14.10.2021 to be set aside to the extent this order deny the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant as disability was neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.*
- (b) *Direct Respondents to grant Disability Pension wef 01.09.2020 (date of discharge) @50% (after rounding off from 20% to 50%) as applicant’s case is squarely covered on the matter of disease neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of Dharamvir Singh Vs. Union of India & Ors (Civil Appeal No. 4949 of 2023, judgment dated 02.07.2013), Union of India Vs. Rajbir Singh (Civil appeal No. 2904 of 2021, judgment on 13.02.2015 along with 35 connected appeals), Union of India & Ors. Vs. Angad singh Titaria (Civil Appeal No. 11208 of 20211, judgment on 24.02.2015) and latest judgment Ex. Gnr. Laxmanram Poonia (Dead) Through Lrs. Vs. Union of India and Ors. (Civil Appeal No. 3533 of 2017, judgment dated 22.02.2017) and on the matter of rounding off disability pension Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 10.12.2014 in Civil Appeal No. 418/2012 titled Union of India and Ors. Vs. Ram Avtar.*

- (c) *Direct Respondents to grant arrears of Disability Pension wef 15.03.2021 (date of discharge) with 12% interest as applicant case squarely covered with this Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 1439/2016 title Ex. Sgt. Girish Kumar Vs. UOI & Ors order dated 01.12.2017 and Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 9946 of 2016 order dated 20.09.2016 titled Davinder Singh Vs. Union of India and Ors.*
- (d) *Any other relief which the Hon'be Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Air Force on 21.08.1985 and discharged on 31.08.2020 in Low Medical Category on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Air Force Station, Begumpet on 27.09.2019 assessed his disability '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (OLD)**' @30% for life and opined the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 19.11.2020. The applicant preferred First Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 14.10.2021. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Air Force and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of

enrolment in Air Force. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Air Force Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that disability of the applicant @30% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 153 of the Pension Regulations for the Indian Air Force, 1961 (Part-I) which provides that *“Unless otherwise specifically provided, disability pension may be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service and is assessed at 20% or over”* the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. He further contended that the applicant had family history of Primary Hypertension vide AFMSF-3B dated 24.03.2006. In the background of genetic loading and older age, the applicant was initially detected to have Primary Hypertension at the age of 47 years and was placed in low medical classification A4G4 (Temp) (T-12) vide AFMSF-15 dated 04.10.2013. Thereafter, the applicant was reviewed periodically for the aforesaid disability and was placed in low medical classification A4G2 (Permanent) vide

AFMSF-15 dated 06.06.2015. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-

- (a) Whether the disability of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by Air Force Service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).

29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].

29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).

29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]

29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].

29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disability '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (OLD)**' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the

ground of onset of disability in September, 2013 while posted in Peace location, therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous Air Force training and associated stress and strain of Air Force service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Air Force on 21.08.1985 and the disability has started after more than 28 years of Air Force service i.e. in September, 2013. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by Air Force service.

8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the

personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.

6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.

7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.

8. This Court grants six weeks’ time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us.”

9. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated

09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

10. It is also observed that claim for pension is based on continuing wrong and relief can be granted if such continuing wrong creates a continuing source of injury. In the case of **Shiv Dass vs. Union of India**, reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445, Hon'ble Apex Court has observed:

“In the case of pension the cause of action actually continues from month to month. That, however, cannot be a ground to overlook delay in filing the petition. It would depend upon the fact of each case. If petition is filed beyond a reasonable period say three years normally the Court would reject the same or restrict the relief which could be granted to a reasonable period of about three years. The High Court did not examine whether on merit appellant had a case. If on merits it would have found that there was no scope for interference, it would have dismissed the writ petition on that score alone.”

11. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of **Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (supra)** and **Shiv Dass (supra)** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @30% for life to be rounded

off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from three preceding years from the date of filing of the Original Application.

12. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 103 of 2024** deserves to be partly allowed, hence **partly allowed**. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Air Force Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of filing of Original Application. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of filing of Original Application. The date of filing of Original Application is 24.01.2024. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

13. No order as to costs.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

Dated : 05 December, 2024

AKD/-