

**Court No. 1****ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 55 of 2024**Friday, this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2024**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)**  
**Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)”**No. 15661548L, Ex. Hav. Tulsi Ram Yadav, S/o Babu Lal Yadav,  
R/o House No. 309, Siklodhi, Bisanda (Rural), Banda, Uttar  
Pradesh -210203.**..... Applicant**Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Rahul Pal**, Advocate  
Applicant holding brief of  
**Shri Pradeep Pal**, Advocate

Versus

1. Union of India, through its Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi -110011.
2. The Chief of the Army Staff, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), Post – DHQ, New Delhi - 110011.
3. Additional Directorate General of Personnel Services, Adjutant General’s Branch [AG/PS-4 (Imp-II), IHQ of MoD (Army), DHQ PO, New Delhi -110011.
4. Officer –In-Charge, The Records Signals, PIN-908770, C/o 56APO.
5. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (PCDA) (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh-14.

**.....Respondents**Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava**, Advocate  
Respondents. Central Govt. Standing Counsel  
(**Not present at the time of hearing**)  
**Maj. Uma Yadav**,  
Departmental Representative

## **ORDER**

**“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”**

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- A. *To issue/ pass an order to set –aside /quash the order/letter No. P/15661548L/REJECTION/DP1/NER Dated 08 July 2022 passed by respondent No.4 and letter /order B/40502/277/2023 /AG/PS-4 (1<sup>st</sup> Appeal) Dated 27.04.2023 passed by respondent No.3 which is being annexed as Annexure No.A-1 and A-2 to this Original Application.*
- B. *To issue/pass an order or directions to the respondents to grant disability element of disability pension @20% from date of SOS i.e. 01.08.2022 (Date of Discharge 31.07.2022) along with interest @12% on arrear in light of Hon’ble Apex Court judgments.*
- C. *To issue /pass an order or directions to the respondents to grant benefit of rounding off disability pension to the tune of @50% from date of SOS i.e. 01.08.2022 (Date of Discharge 31.07.2022) along with interest @12% on arrear in light of Hon’ble Apex Court.*
- D. *To issue/pas any other or direction as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem just, fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant.*
- E. *To allow this original applications with costs.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Corps of Signals of Indian Army on 06.07.1996 and discharged on 31.07.2022 (AN)

Low Medical Category on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13 (3) Item III (i) of the Army Rules, 1954. The applicant is in receipt of Service Pension. Before discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital, Allahabad on 20.05.2022 assessed his disability '**GENERALISED SEIZURE (ICD – G40.6)**' @20% for life and opined the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 03.07.2022. The applicant preferred First Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 27.04.2023 which was communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 12.05.2023. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Army. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Maj. Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents contended that disability of the applicant @20% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 53(a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that *“An individual released/retired/ discharged on completion of terms of engagement or on completion of service limits or on attaining the prescribed age (irrespective of his period of engagement), if found suffering from a disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted disability element in addition to service pension or service gratuity from the date of retirement/discharge, if the accepted degree of disability is assessed at 20% or more”* the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. The Departmental Representative further submitted that every person who work in different filed for different organization irrespective of whether it is Armed forces or civil, do have sometime psychological impact due to stress and strain peculiar to the service/work. In Armed Forces the stress and strain is sometimes due to arduous nature of duties in hazardous and inhospitable terrain. But the onset of the disease in applicant's case was in peace station. However, the Army personnel are trained adequately with military training and stress management to cope up with such situations. As far as applicant's medical condition at the time of enrolment is concerned, it is emphasized that a General Medical Officer is attached/posted with Recruiting

Offices, who may not be able to detect chronic nature of disease, due to bulk recruitment and no pathology/other test are conducted at Recruiting Offices. Therefore, general/routine checkup of the applicant was also carried out at the time of recruitment by the General Medical Officer. Moreover, there is no provision to carry out internal medical examination at the time of recruitment. Therefore, the plea of the applicant is not sustainable. The Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 are superseded vide Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards and the provisions of which are applicable in cases of disablement or death of personnel who became non-effective on or after 01.01.2008. Since the applicant was discharged from service with effect from 31.07.2022, the applicant will be governed under the provisions of Entitlement Rules, 2008. Since the applicant is not entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension, hence, the question of its rounding off benefits does not arise. She pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Departmental Representative for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-

- (a) Whether the disability of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?

- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

*"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).*

*29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].*

*29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).*

*29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the*

*circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]*

*29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].*

*29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."*

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disability '**GENERALISED SEIZURE (ICD – G40.6)**' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability in February, 2011 while posted at Delhi in EEE Sub Group wef 21.09.2008 to 10.10.2011 which not Fd/CI Ops area, therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is cryptic, not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Even Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. Although the applicant's disability is a mental disorder but considering that the

applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 06.07.1996 and the disability has started after more than 14 years of Army service i.e. in February, 2011 we are of the opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service.

8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

*“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made*



*available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.*

5. *We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.*

6. *We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

8. *This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."*

9. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

10. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (supra)*** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter

No.17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @20% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.

11. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 55 of 2024** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @20% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @20% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

12. No order as to costs.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

Dated : 13 December, 2024

Ashok/AKD/-