Court No. 1 (E. Court)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Original Application No. 672 of 2021

Thursday, this the 17th day of February, 2022

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)

No. 146178Z Ex. LRO (TAC) Jagvir Singh, son of Shri Girraj Singh, resident of Village – Mankeda, District Agra, U.P.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the: **Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey**, Advocate Applicant

Versus

- 1. Union of India, through Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Government of India, South Block, New Delhi-110011.
- 2. Director, Integrated Headquarters, Ministry of Defence (Navy), Dte of Pay & Allowances, D-II Wing, Sena Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.
- 3. Bureau of Sailors, Cheetah Camp, Mankhurd, Mumbai-400088.
- 4. PCDA (Pensions), Drowpadi Ghat, Allahabad.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the : Respondents.

: **Shri Arun Kumar Sahu**, Advocate Central Govt. Counsel

ORDER

"Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)"

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs:-

- (i) This Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to grant the 50% disability pension.
- (ii) This Hon'ble Tribunal may granted to disability pension w.e.f. 07.08.1991 their respective date of retirement.
- (iii) Pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case.
- 2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Navy on 03.01.1981 and was invalided out from service on 07.08.1991 in Low Medical Category after completing 10 years, 07 months and 05 days of service. At the time of invaliding out from service, the Invaliding Medical Board (IMB) held on 28.03.1991 assessed his disabilities (i) 'ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE SYNDROME ICD 303' @30% for two years and (ii) 'ALCOHOLIC PSYCHOSIS (DELIRIUM TREMENS)' @30% for two years, composite disabilities @30% and opined the disabilities to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 10.07.1992. applicant preferred First The Appeal 06.04.2009 which too was rejected vide letter dated 15.09.2009. The applicant preferred Second Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 30.11.2011. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.
- 3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Navy and there is no note in the service

documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Navy. The diseases of the applicant were contacted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Naval Service. He further pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability pension as well as arrears thereof, as applicant is also entitled to disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

- 4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents opposed the submissions of learned counsel for the applicant and submitted that since the disabilities of the applicant have been regarded as NANA by the IMB, therefore, condition for grant of disability element of pension does not fulfil in terms of The Navy (Pension) Regulations, 1964 and, therefore, the competent authority has rightly denied the benefit of disability element of pension to applicant. He pleaded for dismissal of Original Application.
- 5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the IMB proceedings as well as the records. The sole question which needs to be answered by us is whether the disabilities of the applicant i.e. 'ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE SYNDROME ICD 303' and 'ALCOHOLIC PSYCHOSIS (DELIRIUM TREMENS)' are attributable to or aggravated by Naval Service?

- 6. We have given our thoughtful consideration to the issues raised by the learned counsel for the applicant. On careful analysis, we find that "ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE SYNDROME **ICD** 303 'ALCOHOLIC **PSYCHOSIS** (DELIRIUM and TREMENS)' are primarily diseases where an individual cannot control his excessive drinking habits. These diseases lead to being drunk while on duty and poor performance during discharge of official duties. It is also very clear that drinking Alcohol and exercise of discipline and moderation while drinking is a matter of personal choice.
- 7. It is also well known that all efforts are made by Navy doctors and the organization to help a soldier who has become a victim of 'ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE SYNDROME ICD 303' and 'ALCOHOLIC PSYCHOSIS (DELIRIUM TREMENS)' and only when all efforts fail the soldier is invalided out from service on ground of said diseases.
- 8. Further, Para 6 of Chapter V of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 provides that "Compensation cannot be awarded for any disablement or death arising from intemperance in the use of alcohol, tobacco or drugs, or from sexually transmitted diseases, as these are matters within the member's own control. It follows that where alcohol, tobacco or drugs or sexually transmitted diseases have aggravated an accepted disability, it is necessary to exclude the effect thereof in assessing the disablement ascribable to service condition."

5

9. In view of above, as far as attributability of the of disabilities

are concerned, we agree with the opinion of the IMB that this

diseases are neither attributable to nor aggravated by Naval

service.

Considering all issues, we are of the considered opinion that

Ld. Counsel for the applicant has failed to make out any case in

his favour. We agree with the opinion of IMB that the diseases of

the applicant were neither attributable to nor aggravated by Naval

service. Thus considering that due process has been followed by

Navy in invaliding the applicant from service, we are not inclined

to interfere with this process or provide any other relief to the

applicant.

In view of the above, the Original Application No 672 of

2021 deserves to be dismissed, hence **dismissed**.

12. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A)

Member (J)

Dated: 17 February, 2022

AKD/-