

**Court No. 1****ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 1085 of 2023**Thursday, this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”****“Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)”**

Ex. Hav. Lande Umesh Suresh (13993513-P), S/o Shri Suresh Lande,  
Residence of AT - Post Office – Punda, Tehsil –Akot, District- Akola,  
Maharashtra – 444101.

**..... Applicant**

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant : **Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd)**, Advocate  
**Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi**, Advocate

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, DHQ PO, New Delhi -110001.
2. The Chief of Army Staff, Army Headquarter, South Block, DHQ P.O., New Delhi- 110106.
3. AMC Records, Lucknow, PIN-900450, C/o 56 APO.
4. PCDA (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh – 211014.

**.....Respondents**

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents. : **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh**, Advocate  
Central Govt. Standing Counsel  
Assisted by Major Danish Farooqui,  
Departmental Representative

## ORDER

**“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”**

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- a. *To direct the respondents to bring the initial rejection of the Grant of Disability Pension dated 19.01.2022 on record with advance copy to the applicant.*
- b. *To set aside /quash the Rejection letter/Impugned letter dated 20.06.2022.*
- c. *To grant disability pension to the Applicant from the date of Discharge (01.01.2022) w.e.f. 02.01.2022.*
- d. *To direct the Respondents to grant broad banding of the disability pension w.e.f. 02.01.2022.*
- e. *To direct the Respondents to issue a corrigendum PPO pertaining to the disability pension and broad banding of the disability pension of the Applicant.*
- f. *To direct the Respondents to pay arrears of disability pension and broad banded disability pension along with interest @12% w.e.f. 02.01.2022.*
- g. *To grant such other relief appropriate to the fact and circumstances of the case as deemed fit and proper.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Medical Corps of Indian Army on 25.12.1995 and discharged on 31.12.2021 (AN) in Low Medical Category on fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13 (3) Item III (i) of the Army Rules, 1954. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital Pulgaon on 25.09.2021 assessed his disability ‘**PRIMARY**

**HYPERTENSION (I-10)** @ 30% for life and opined the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 19.01.2022. The applicant preferred First Appeal-Cum-Legal Notice dated 21.02.2022 through his Counsel Wing Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) which was returned unacted as the same was not signed by the applicant vide letter dated 04.04.2022. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Army. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that disability of the applicant @ 30% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 53(a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that *"An individual released/retired/ discharged on completion of terms of engagement or on completion of service limits or on attaining the prescribed age (irrespective of his period of engagement), if found suffering from a*

*disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted disability element in addition to service pension or service gratuity from the date of retirement/discharge, if the accepted degree of disability is assessed at 20% or more”* the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. He further submitted that Hon’ble Apex Court on 03.07.2023, in Civil Appeal No. 4248 of 2023 filed by Union of India against grant of disability pension with rounding off in a case of NANA [*Brig Mohanan Nair (Retd) Vs Union of India, OA 437 of 2018*] has stayed the grant of disability pension ordered by AFT, RB, Kochi. In that case, the applicant had retired in 2013 as a Low Medical Category (LMC) on account Primary Hypertension, Obesity and Impaired Glucose Tolerance. In view of the aforesaid order passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court, the Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Jabalpur has passed an order dated 20.07.2023 in O.A. No. 24 of 2020, **JC – 580297A, Sub/Clk Chandra Sekhar Acharya (Retd.) Vs. Union of India & Others** that *“awaiting decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court, list the matter after Civil Appeal No. 4248 of 2023 is decided by the Supreme Court”*. Relying upon the above order, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that this case may be deferred. Even otherwise, he pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical

Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds:-

- (a) Whether the disability of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

*"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).*

*29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].*

*29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable*

*doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).*

*29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]*

*29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].*

*29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."*

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disability '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (I-10)**' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability in May 2019 while posted in Peace location (158 BH, Bengdubi, West Bengal), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and

associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 25.12.1995 and the disability has started after more than 23 years of Army service i.e. in May 2019. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service.

8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> January 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

*“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalided*

*out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.*

5. *We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.*

6. *We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

8. *This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."*

9. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

10. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & (supra)*** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the



considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @ 30% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.

11. We have perused the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 03.07.2023, in Civil Appeal No. 4248 of 2023 filed by Union of India against grant of disability pension with rounding off in a case of NANA [Brig Mohanan Nair (Retd) Vs Union of India, OA 437 of 2018] by which Hon'ble Apex has stayed the grant of disability pension ordered by AFT, RB, Kochi and the order dated 20.07.2023 in O.A. No. 24 of 2020, **JC – 580297A, Sub/Clk Chandra Sekhar Acharya (Retd.) Vs. Union of India & Others** passed by the Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Jabalpur and we find that the aforesaid orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Jabalpur were in a specific case as an interim measure. Therefore, we are of the view that due to the aforesaid orders instant Original Application need not be deferred.

12. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 1085 of 2023** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for

life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

13. No order as to costs.

14. Major Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative orally submitted to grant Leave to Appeal against the above order which we have considered and no point of law of general public importance being involved in the case the plea is rejected.

**(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)**  
**Member (A)**

Dated : 15 February, 2024

Ashok/AKD/-

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**