## RESERVED Court No.1

# ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

### Original Application No. 568 of 2018

Friday, this the 29<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2019

## Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)

	1423/562 Ex. Nr. (18) Mond. Sagir, Son of Shri Tahavvar Ali, Resident llage and Post Office – Tikriya Mow, District Lucknow, UP-227125.
	Applicant
In Pe	erson.
	Versus
1.	Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi-110011.
2.	Officer-In-Charge, The Records Signals, PIN-908770, C/o 56 APO.
3.	Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Draupadi Ghat, Alalhabad.
	Respondents
	Counsel for the :Shri Rajiv Pandey, ondents :Central Govt Counsel.

#### **ORDER**

#### "Per Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)"

- 1. The instant Original Application has been filed on behalf of the applicant under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, whereby the applicant has sought following reliefs:-
  - "(I) This Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to direct the respondent No. 2 and 3 to rounding off the disability pension of the applicant from 20% to 50% and ensure/relapse the arrear of disability pension/element accordingly w.e.f. 1.1.2006 as per the rules of the department along with interest @9% p.a. and continue the same for life in pursuance of Circular dated 31.01.2001, in the interest of justice.
  - (II) To issue/pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem just, fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant.
  - (III) Cost of the appeal be awarded to the applicant."
- 2. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in Army on 17.02.1980 and was discharged in the rank of Naik (TS) in low medical category on 31.07.1997. The Release Medical Board assessed his disability @20% for life. Accordingly, he has been issued P.P.O. No.D/RA/20451/2002 dated 27.05.2002. The applicant approached the respondents for benefit of rounding off disability pension from 20% to 50%, which has not been granted by the respondents. Presently the applicant is in receipt of 20% disability pension for life and has moved this Original Application for the benefit of rounding off of disability element.
- 3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant argued that since the applicant is already in receipt of 20% disability element for life on account of his disability therefore in view of judgment in O.A. No. 328 of 2016, *Colonel Dharam Raj Singh (Retd)*, decided by Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Lucknow on 07.09.2016 and Hon'ble Apex Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No. 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014), the applicant is also entitled to benefit of rounding off of disability element @ 50% for life.
- 4. On the other hand, though Ld. Counsel for the respondents conceded receipt of 20% disability pension by the applicant but contended that the applicant is not entitled to the benefit of rounding off of disability pension on the ground that the applicant's services were not cut short as he was not invalided out on account of his disability. In the instant case the applicant was

discharged from service on completion of his terms of engagement, therefore, the Ld. Counsel claimed that he is not eligible for the benefit of rounding off.

- 5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the material placed on record. The only question which needs to be answered is that whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off of his disability pension?
- 6. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014) wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-
  - "4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.
  - 5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.
  - 6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.
  - 7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.
  - 8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."
- 7. In the instant case, there is no dispute that the applicant is in receipt of 20% disability pension for life. This fact has also been accepted by the respondents. Thus in view of the settled law on this matter we are of the considered opinion that the applicant is entitled the benefit of rounding off.

4

8. It may be observed that claim for pension is based on continuing wrong

and relief can be granted if such continuing wrong creates a continuing source

of injury. In the case of Shiv Dass vs. Union of India, reported in 2007 (3)

SLR 445, para-9, Hon'ble Apex Court has observed:

"In the case of pension the cause of action actually continues from month to month. That, however, cannot be

a ground to overlook delay in filing the petition. It would depend upon the fact of each case. If petition is filed beyond a reasonable period say three years normally the

Court would reject the same or restrict the relief which

could be granted to a reasonable period of about three years. The High Court did not examine whether on merit

appellant had a case. If on merits it would have found that there was no scope for interference, it would have

dismissed the writ petition on that score alone."

9. As observed in the preceding paragraphs, delay in filing the O.A. has

been condoned by this Tribunal vide order dated 28.11.2018, as such, in view

of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Shiv Dass (supra), we

are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability pension @

20% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life may be made applicable to the

applicant from three preceding years from the date of filing of the O.A.

10. In view of the above, the Original Application No. 568 of 2018

deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The applicant is entitled to the benefit

of rounding off of disability element from 20% for life to 50% for life with

effect from three years prior to the date of filing of this Original Application.

The date of filing of this Original Application is 23.07.2018. The respondents

are directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the

date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @

9% per annum till actual payment.

No order as to costs.

(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore)
Member (J)

Dated:

March, 2019

AKD/-