

Court No. 1**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 116 of 2023**Wednesday, this the 29th day of March, 2023**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)”****“Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)”**

JC-462198-A, Ex. Nb. Sub Clk (SD) Dinesh Singh Parihar of 16 MAHARATHA LI C/o 56 APO, S/o Shri Mulchandra Parihar, Resident of Village- Nayawans, Post- Biprawali, Tehsil- Bah, Police Station – Pinahat, District – Agra, (U.P), Pin code -283123.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant : **Shri K.K. Singh Bisht**, Advocate.

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi -110011.
2. Chief of the Army Staff, Integrated Headquarter of the Ministry of Defence (Army), South Block, New Delhi-110011.
3. Officer-in-Charge Records, The MARATHA LI, PIN-900499, C/o 56 APO.
4. Principal Controller Defence Accounts (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Prayagraj (U.P)-211014.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents. : **Ms. Prerna Singh**, Advocate
Central Govt. Counsel

ORDER**“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)”**

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- (a) *Issue/pass an order or direction to the respondents to grant the disability element claim to the applicant @54.4% as assessed by release medical Board which after rounding off will be @75% for life from the next date of discharge i.e. from 01 January 2023.*
- (b) *Issue /pass an order or direction of appropriate nature to the respondents to pay the arrears of disability element along with interest.*
- (c) *Issue /pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit in the circumstances of the case.*
- (d) *Allow this application with costs.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 14.07.2001 and discharged on 31.12.2022 (AN) in Low Medical Category being unwilling to continue to serve under Rule 13 (3) Item III (ii)(a)(i) of the Army Rules, 1954. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital Ahmadabad, C/o 56 APO on 04.10.2022 assessed his disabilities (i) **'OTITIS MEDIA EFFUSION (BL) WITH SENSONEURAL HEARING LOSS (L/T)'** @ 40% as attributable to service (ii) **'DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II'** @20% as neither attributable to nor aggravated by service (NANA) by service and (iii) **'DYSLIPDEMIA'** @ 05% as NANA by service, **composite disabilities @54.4%** for life. Accordingly, the applicant was granted disability element of disability pension @40% rounded off to @50% for life for the first disability. But the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension for the second and third disabilities was rejected on 15.12.2022. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Army. The second and third diseases of the applicant have been regarded as neither attributable to nor aggravated by service. These diseases of the applicant i.e. '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II**' and '**DYSLIPDEMIA**' were also contracted during the service, hence they are also attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension for the second and third disabilities also and its rounding off to 75%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the second and third disease of the applicant have been regarded as attributable to or aggravated by service, hence, he was granted disability element @40% rounded off to 50% for life for the first disability. She further contended that second and third disabilities i.e. '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II**' and '**DYSLIPDEMIA**' of the applicant @ 20% and @5% respectively for life have been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension for these disabilities. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds:-

- (a) Whether the second and third disabilities i.e. “**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II**” and ‘**DYSLIPDEMIA**’ of the applicant are also attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of pension for the second and third disabilities also?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).

29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no

note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].

29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).

29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]

29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].

29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the second disability '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II**' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability in July, 2021 while posted in Peace location (Gandhinagar, Gujarat), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of

disability element of disability pension for second disability. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant for the second disability is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 14.07.2001 and the second disability have started after more than 19 years of Army service i.e. in July, 2021. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the second disability i.e. '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE-II**' of the applicant should also be considered as aggravated by military service. However, with regard to third disability i.e. '**DYSLIPDEMIA**' we are agree with the opinion of the RMB as NANA as it is a metabolic disease with inherited enzyme deficiency and excessive intake of saturated facts with no causal connection with service.

8. In para 17 A (a) of Chapter VII of the Guide to Medical Officer (Military Pensions), 2002 the provision for composite assessment has been mentioned which reads as under :-

“17A. Composite Assessment

(a) Where there are two or more disabilities due to service, compensation will be based on the composite assessment of the degree of disablement. Generally speaking, when

separate disabilities have entirely different functional effects, the composite assessment will be the arithmetical sum of their separate assessment. But where the functional effects of the disabilities overlap, the composite assessment will be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. There is a tendency for some Medical Boards to reduce the composite assessment in the former group of cases. This is not correct.”

9. In the instant case there are functional effects of the first and second disabilities overlapping, as such composite assessment is to be reduced in proportion to the degree of overlapping. The degree of first disability is @40% and second disability is @20% for which we are of the view that there is overlapping of 8%. Accordingly, we reduce the total by 8% and we hold that composite assessment of first and second disabilities is @52% for life.

10. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th January 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable

to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. *We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.*

6. *We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

8. *This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."*

11. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

12. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D (Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @52% for life to be rounded off to 75% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.

13. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 116 of 2023** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension for the second disability '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE – II (E 11)**' is set aside. Be it mentioned that the applicant's first disability has already been regarded as attributable to military service and the applicant is getting disability element @40% rounded off to 50% for life. The second disability i.e. '**DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE – II (E 11)**' of the applicant is also held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is held entitled to get disability element @52% for life which would be rounded off to 75% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @52% for life which would stand rounded off to 75% for life from the next date of his discharge. The disability element of disability pension paid @50% from the next date of applicant's discharge shall be adjusted from the arrears. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a

period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

14. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

Dated : 29 March, 2023

AKD/Ashok/--