Court No. 1

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 1166 of 2024

Monday, this the 17th day of March, 2025

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)"

JC-592793N Ex. Sub. & Hony. Lt. (RT) Md Aslam (Retd.), Present Address: C/o Rishi Kumar, S-439 A, shivalik Nagar, Haridwar-249403

Permanent Address: House No. 13/H/6 Brown Field Row, PO – Alipore, District – Kolkatta (WB), Pin-700027.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Applicant Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

- 1. Union of India, through Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Room No. 101 A, South Block, DHQ PO, New Delhi, Pin-110011.
- 2. Chief of Army Staff, Sena Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.
- 3. Additional Directorate General of Personnel Services/AG's Branch, PS-8, HQ of MoD (Army), A Block, KG Marg, New Delhi-110001.
- 4. Officer IC Records, JAK Lt Record Office, Pin-911097, C/o 56 APO.
- 5. PCDA (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Respondents. Central Govt. Standing Counsel

ORDER

"Per Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)"

- The instant Original Application has been filed under Section
 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs:
 - a. Impugned order dated 17.01.2024 and 03.01.2024 be set aside passed by the respondents to the extent this order deny the grant of Disability Pension to the applicant as disability was neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.
 - b. Direct Respondents to grant Disability Pension wef 31.08.2023 (date of discharge) @50% (after rounding off from 30% to 50%) as applicant's case is squarely covered on the matter of disease neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Dharamvir Singh Vs. Union of India & Ors (Civil Appeal No. 4949 of 2013 judgment dated 02.07.2013), Union of India Vs. Rajbir Singh (Civil Appeal No. 2904 of 2011 judgment on 13.02.2015 along with the 26 connected appeals), Union of India & Ors Vs. Angad Singh Titaria (Civil Appeal No. 11208 of 2011 judgment on 24.02.2015) and latest judgment Ex. Gnr. Laxmanram Poonia (Dead0 Through LRs Vs. Union of India and Ors. (Civil Appeal No. 2633 of 2017 judgment dated 22.02.2017 judgment dated 22.02.2017) and on the matter of rounding of disability pension Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 10.12.2014 in Civil Appeal No. 418/2012 titled Union of India and Ors. Vs. Ram Avtar.

- c. Direct Respondents to grant arrears of Disability Pension wef 31.08.2023 (date of discharge) with 12% interest as applicant's case squarely covered with this Hon'ble Tribunal in OA No. 1439/2016 titled Ex. Sgt. Girish Kumar Vs. UOI & Ors. order dated 01.12.2017 and Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 9946 of 2016 order dated 20.09.2016 titled Davinder Singh Vs. Union of India and Ors.
- d. Any other relief which the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.
- e. To award the cost of this Original Application.
- 2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in the JK LKI Regiment of Indian Army on 12.02.2001 and discharged on 31.08.2023 in Low Medical Category before fulfilling the conditions of his enrolment under Rule 13 (3) Item I (ii) (a) of the Army Rules, 1954. The applicant is in receipt of Service Pension. Before discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at 92 Base Hospital Srinagar C/o 56 APO on 14.07.2023 assessed his disability 'PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (I10)' @30% for life and opined the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 06.09.2023. The applicant preferred First Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 03.01.2024 which was communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 17.01.2024. The applicant preferred Second Appeal dated 19.03.2024 which was returned to the

applicant with an advice to submit on prescribed format vide letter dated 30.03.2024. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

- 3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Army. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.
- 4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that disability of the applicant @30% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 53(a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that "An individual released/retired/ discharged on completion of terms of engagement or on completion of service limits or on attaining the prescribed age (irrespective of his period of engagement), if found suffering from a disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted disability element in addition to service pension or service gratuity from the date of retirement/discharge, if

the accepted degree of disability is assessed at 20% or more" the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. Ld. Counsel for the respondents further contended that the disability Primary Hypertension is held as NANA as it does not fulfil the conditions laid down in Rule 6, 10 and 11 of the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Award to Armed Forces Personnel, 2008 read in conjunction with Para 43 Chapter VI of Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 (Amended 2008). The applicant has not preferred Second Appeal as has been advised by the respondents. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

- 5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are two folds:-
 - (a) Whether the disability of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?
 - (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?
- 6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others,* reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note

of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

- "29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).
- 29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].
- 29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).
- 29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]
- 29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].
- 29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service

and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disability 'PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (I-10)' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground that it has been detected during induction medical (first phase) of FD/CI OPS/HAA as stated in first opinion by the concerned Medical Specialist at 158 Base Hospital and applicant performed duties in HAA/FD area from 21.07.2017 to 03.08.2017, therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant is cryptic, not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Even Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 12.02.2001 and the disability has started after more than 16 years of Army service i.e. in August, 2017 at JEMA (Sikkim) 12 Jakli. We also find that applicant's ideal weight was 57.5 Kg whereas the actual weight was 66 Kg, over weight is 08.5 Kg which is 14.78%

i.e. less than 20%. As such it also cannot be said that the cause of disability is overweight. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of *Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors* (supra), and the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service.

- 8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-
 - "4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who

is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

- 5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.
- 6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.
- 7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.
- 8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."
- 9. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.
- 10. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors* (supra) as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter

10

No.17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the

considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of

disability pension @30% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life

may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his

discharge.

In view of the above, the Original Application No. 1166 of

2024 deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The impugned

orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element

of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is

held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to

get disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to

50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents

are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for

life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the next date

of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to give

effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of

receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest

@8% per annum till the actual payment.

12. No order as to costs.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Dated: 17 March, 2025

AKD/-