Court No.3

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Original Application No. 203 of 2014

Wednesday, This the 7th day of October 2015

Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)

No. JC. 580910F Subedar Clk Ogar Ram Panwar, (J&K Rifles), 71 Task Force PIN-914671 C/O 56 APO

.....Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant

Shri K.K. Mishra, Advocate

Versus

- Union of India, through its Secretary Ministry of Defence,
 New Delhi.
- Chief of Army Staff, Integrated Headquarters (Army)Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
- Officer Incharge, Records, Jammu & Kashmir Rifles, Jabalpur.

...Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents

Shri Ishraq Farooqui, Advocate Central Govt. Counsel

ORDER (ORAL)

- Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, we propose to decide this O.A. at this stage itself.
- 2. One Naib Subedar Clk Upendra Kumar Tomar had filed O.A. No. 144 of 2010 against seniority list dated 29.07.2008 issued by Records J & K Rifles, Jabalpur. The O.A. was allowed by order dated 20.02.2013. The operative portion of the order is reproduced as under:
 - "The O.A. is allowed. We set aside the impugned letter No. 1478/RA-3/Clk dated 29th July, 2008 issued by Records J & K Rifles, Jabalpur, as contained in Annexure-2 to the O.A. and also set aside the seniority list as contained in Annexure A-3 and direct the respondents to restore the seniority of the applicant and consider the promotion of the applicant to the rank of Subedar with pay allowances as applicable as per the original seniority. The drill to be performed within three months from the date a certified copy of this judgment is made available to the learned counsel for the respondents."
- 3. According to learned counsel for the applicant once the seniority list has been set aside with certain observations, then benefit of the order should be given to the applicant as well as other similarly situated persons. Submission is that benefit has been extended only to Naib Subedar Clk Upendra Kumar Tomar.
- 4. Argument advanced by learned counsel for the applicant seems to be correct. Once the seniority list has been set aside pointing out certain irregularities therein, then while releasing new seniority list in the light of judgment (supra), similarly situated persons should have been considered

3

while deciding inter se seniority. It is well settled proposition of law that

equals cannot be treated unequally.

5. Since the controversy involved is a question of fact and law, we

dispose of the present application permitting the applicant to represent his

case within one month from today and thereafter the respondents shall

decide the representation of the applicant in the light of judgment and order

rendered in O.A. No. 144 of 2010 (supra) and pass speaking and reasoned

order expeditiously, say, within three months from today.

6. In this case, there appears some additional ground. It shall be open

to applicant while submitting representation to make necessary pleadings

which shall be considered by the respondents.

7. Needless to say that the respondents shall ensure that the applicant

be provided benefit in pursuance to judgment and order passed in O.A. No.

144 of 2010 (supra).

7. Subject to above observation, O.A. No. 203 of 2014 is disposed of

filally.

8. No order as to costs.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Membere (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

uk