

Court No. 1**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 307 of 2023**Friday, this the 01st day of September, 2023**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)
Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)”**

Ex. Hav. Ravjit Singh (No. 14922423A), S/o Late Sri Sardul Singh, R/o H. No.1684/14, Gora Bazar, Tilak Nagar, Rae Bareilly (U.P.).

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant : **Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.)**, Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastva, Advocate

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi-110011.
2. The Chief of the Army Staff, IHQ of MoD (Army), South Block, New Delhi-110011.
3. OC Records, Records the Mech. Inf. Ahmadnagar.
4. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts, PCDA (P), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad-211014.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents. : **Shri Arun Kumar Sahu**, Advocate
Central Govt. Counsel

ORDER

“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)”

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- (a) *Issue/pass an order or direction of appropriate nature to summon & quash/set aside respondents’ impugned orders leading to denial of his entitled disability element of pension duly rounded off to 50% due to disability “Type-II Compound Segmental Fracture Tibia Fibula (RT)” attributable to military service for life on 31.03.2018.*
- (b) *Issue/Pass an order or direction of appropriate nature to the respondents to set aside PCDA (P), Allahabad and Records letters dated 25.02.2019 and 06.12.2019 respectively rejecting applicant’s disability element of pension claim for his 40% disability of Type-II Compound Segmental Fracture Tibia Fibula (RT)” attributable to military service for life on 31.03.2018.*
- (c) *Issue/Pass any other order or direction as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit in the circumstances of the case.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was initially enrolled in the Indian Army 01.10.1995 and was discharged on 30.04.2020 (AN) in Low Medical Category under Rule 13 (3) Item III (iii) (a) (i) of the Army Rules, 1954. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at 166 Military Hospital on 22..04.2018 assessed his disability ‘**TYPE II COMPOUND SEGMENTAL FRACTURE TIBIA FIBULA (RT) (OPTD) (S 82.2)**’ @40% for life and opined the disability to be **attributable to** military service. The

disability claim of the applicant was however rejected by the Principal Controller of Defence Account (Pensions), Allahabad vide letter dated 25.02.2019 on the ground that the disability of the applicant was neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service which was communicated to the applicant vide letter dated 20.03.2019. The applicant preferred Petition/1st Appeal dated 09.07.2019 which too was rejected vide letter dated 19.08.2019. The applicant served a Legal Notice dated 22.10.2019 which too was rejected vide letter dated 06.12.2019. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant's disability was found to be attributable to military service vide RMB which had also assessed the disability @40% for life. He further submitted that Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Allahabad has no authority to overrule the opinion of RMB. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents conceded that disability of the applicant @40% for life has been regarded as **attributable to military service** the RMB, but pension sanctioning authority i.e. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Allahabad has rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the

disability of the applicant is neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service as applicant met a road accident on 18.06.2004 when he went on out pass to meet his brother-in-law and his motor cycle was hit by a jeep while he was returning back, hence as per Regulation 173 of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 1961 (Part-I) and Regulations 53, 81 and 95 of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) the applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds:-

- (a) Whether the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Allahabad has authority to overrule the opinion of RMB?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of disability pension?

6. This is a case where the disability of the applicant has been held as attributable to military service by the RMB. The RMB assessed the disability @40% for life. However, the opinion of the RMB has been overruled by Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Allahabad and the disability has been

regarded as neither attributable to or aggravated by military service.

7. The issue of sanctity of the opinion of a Release Medical Board and its overruling by a higher formation is no more Res Integra. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Ex. Sapper Mohinder Singh vs. Union of India & Others**, in Civil Appeal No.164 of 1993, decided on 14.01.1993, has made it clear that without physical medical examination of a patient, a higher formation cannot overrule the opinion of a Medical Board. Thus, in light of the observations made by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Ex Sapper Mohinder Singh vs. Union of India & Others**, we are of the considered opinion that the decision of competent authority i.e. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Allahabad over ruling the opinion of RMB held on 22.04.2018 is void in law. The relevant part of the aforesaid judgment is quoted below:-

“From the above narrated facts and the stand taken by the parties before us, the controversy that falls for determination by us is in a very narrow compass viz. whether the Chief Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension) has any jurisdiction to sit over the opinion of the experts (Medical Board) while dealing with the case of grant of disability pension, in regard to the percentage of the disability pension, or not. In the present case, it is nowhere stated that the Applicant was subjected to any higher medical Board before the Chief Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension) decided to decline the disability pension to the Applicant. We are unable to see as to how the accounts branch dealing with the pension can sit over the judgment of the

experts in the medical line without making any reference to a detailed or higher Medical Board which can be constituted under the relevant instructions and rules by the Director General of Army Medical Core.”

8. Thus in light of the aforesaid judgment (supra) as well as IHQ of MoD (Army) letter dated 25.04.2011 it is clear that the disability assessed by RMB cannot be reduced/overruled by Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Allahabad, hence the decision of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Allahabad is void. Hence, we are of the opinion that the disability of the applicant should be considered as attributable to military service as has been opined by the RMB.

9. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not,

an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. *We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.*

6. *We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

8. *This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."*

10. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War

Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

11. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (supra)*** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @ 40% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.

12. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 307 of 2023** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as attributable to Army Service as has been opined by the RMB. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @40% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @40% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.

13. No order as to costs.

14. Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents orally submitted to grant Leave to Appeal against the above order which we have considered and no point of law of general public importance being involved in the case the plea is rejected.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

Dated : 01 September, 2023

AKD/-