

**Court No. 1****ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 220 of 2021**Friday, this the 03<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2021**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)  
Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)”**

Col. Sameer Misra (Retd.), IC – 48010F, SIGS, S/o Late Shri R.K. Misra, Resident of House No. 222, S1 Abhay Sector – 4, Behind DPS, Eldeco Udyan-2, Raebareli Road, Lucknow-226025.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the : **Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi**, Advocate.  
Applicant

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi.
2. Chief of the Army Staff, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), South Block, New Delhi-110001.
3. Additional Directorate General of Personnel Services/AG’s Branch/PS-4 (Imp-II), Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), Room No. 94, Block – M., New Delhi-110001.
4. Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad (UP).

.....**Respondents**Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Kaushik Chatterjee**, Advocate  
Respondents. Central Govt. Counsel

## ORDER

**“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)”**

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- (a) *To issue/pass an order to set aside the impugned order dated 13.01.2021 (Annexure A-1) by which the First Appeal against non-grant of disability element to the applicant as claimed in Initial Claim, has been rejected.*
- (b) *To issue/pass any order or direction to modify the assessment of the Release Medical Board (Annexure No. A-3) of the applicant held on 28.08.2019 to the extent that the disease of Primary Hypertension with disability @30% for life, be declared as attributable/aggravated due to military service conditions, with net assessment qualifying for disability pension @83% for life, rounded up to 100% as per policy issued vide GOI, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D (Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018 and PCDA (P), Allahabad Circular no. 596 dated 09.02.2018.*
- (c) *To issue/pass any other order or direction to the respondents to pay the revised disability element with effect from 01.01.2020 for life by issuing a Corrigendum in place of PPO No. 131201900990 Suffix – 0202 issued by the office of the PCDA (P) Allahabad and pay the accrued arrears.*
- (d) *To issue/pass any other order or direction that this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit, just and proper under the circumstances of the case.*
- (e) *To allow this original application with costs.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was commissioned in the Indian Army on 11.06.1988 and was retired from service on 31.12.2019 in Low Medical Category on attaining the age of superannuation. At the time of retirement from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital, Faizabad on 11.09.2019 assessed his disabilities (i) '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (I-10)**' @30% for life as neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service, (ii) '**BIMALLEOLAR FRACTURE LT ANKLE (S-82)**' @50% for life as attributable to service, (iii) '**SARCOIDOSIS (D-86)**' @20% for life as aggravated by service, (iv) '**DM TYPE-II ((E-11)**' @20% for life as attributable to service and (v) '**SECONDARY OSTEOPOROSIS (STEROID INDUCED) (M 81.4)**' @10% for life as attributable to service, **composite disabilities @80% for life.** Accordingly, the applicant was granted disability element of disability pension @70% rounded off to @75% for life. But the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension for the first disability was rejected. The applicant preferred First Appeal which too was rejected vide letter dated 13.01.2021. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of commission, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of commission in Army. The other diseases of the applicant have been regarded as

either attributable to or aggravated by service. This disease of the applicant i.e. '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**' was also contracted during the service, hence it is also attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability element of disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension as well as arrears thereof, as applicant is also entitled to disability element of disability pension for this disease also and composite disabilities rounding off to 100%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the other diseases of the applicant have been regarded as attributable to or aggravated by service, hence, he was granted disability element @70% rounded off to 75% for life. He further contended that first disability i.e. '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**' of the applicant @ 30% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension for this disability. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds:-

- (a) Whether the first disability i.e. '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**' of the applicant is also attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability element of pension for this disability also?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

*"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).*

*29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].*

*29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit*

*of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).*

*29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]*

*29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].*

*29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."*

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the first disability '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION (I-10)**' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability in May, 1997 while posted in Peace location (Mathura), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension for this disability. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability element of disability pension to applicant for this disability is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the

matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was commissioned in Indian Army on 11.06.1988 and the disability has started after more than 08 years of Army service i.e. in May 1997. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the disability i.e. '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**' of the applicant should also be considered as aggravated by military service.

8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

*“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the*

*benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.*

5. *We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.*

6. *We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

8. *This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us."*

9. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (supra)***, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @80% for life to be rounded off to 100% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his retirement.

11. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 220 of 2021** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension for the disability '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**', are set aside. Be it mentioned that the applicant's other disabilities

have already been regarded as attributable or aggravated by military service and the applicant is getting disability element @75% rounded off to 75% for life. This disability i.e. '**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**' of the applicant is also held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is held entitled to get disability element @80% for life which would be rounded off to 100% for life from the next date of his retirement. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @80% for life which would stand rounded off to 100% for life from the next date of his retirement. The disability element of disability pension paid @75% from the next date of applicant's retirement shall be adjusted from the arrears. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

12. No order as to costs.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)  
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)  
Member (J)

Dated : 03 December, 2021

AKD/-