

Court No. 1

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 805 of 2023

Friday, this the 19th day of January, 2024

**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Hon’ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)”**

Ex. Master Warrant Officer Shiva Kant Sachan (Service No. 259082-G), presently residing at H. No. 180-B, Tiwaripur Bagia, Jajmau, District – Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh, PIN-208010.

..... Applicant

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant : **Shri Keshav Sharma**, Advocate.

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi-110011.
2. The Chief of Air Staff, Air Headquarters, Vayu Bhavan, New Delhi-110106.
3. The Directorate of Air Veterans, Air Headquarters, Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.
4. The PCDA (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad.
5. The JCDA (Air Force), Subroto Park, New Delhi-110010.

.....Respondents

Ld. Counsel for the Respondents. : **Dr. S.N. Pandey**, Advocate
Central Govt. Counsel
Assisted by MWO S.K. Mishra,
Departmental Representative

ORDER

“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs:-

- (a) *Quash the impugned Order RO/2703/259802/01/01P&W (DP) dated 24 Mar 2003 and declare the disabilities “Seizure Disorder (ii) Primary Hypertension (iii) NIDDM Type II Diabetes Mellitus as aggravated by the Military Service.*
- (b) *Grant the disability element of pension to the Applicant @50% w.e.f. 01 Feb 2001 for life with all consequential benefits and*
- (c) *To issue/pass any other orders/direction as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of the applicant and render justice.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was enrolled in Indian Air Force on 07.08.1963 and was discharged on 31.01.2001 in Low Medical Category on attaining the age of superannuation after rendering 37 years and 178 months of service. At the time of discharge from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at 402 Air Force Station assessed his disabilities (i) ‘**SEIZURE DISORDER**’ @15-19% for two years, (ii) ‘**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**’ @30% for two years and (iii) ‘**NIDDM**’ @20% for two years, **composite disabilities @40% for two years** and opined the disabilities to be

neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by Air Force service. The applicant's claim for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 24.03.2003. The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 30.09.2022 but of no avail. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of enrolment, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Indian Air Force and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of enrolment in Air Force. The diseases of the applicant were contracted during the service, hence they are attributable to and aggravated by Air Force Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability element of disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that composite disabilities of the applicant have been regarded as 40% for two years by RMB. However, since the disabilities were opined by RMB to be neither attributable to nor aggravated by Air Force service his claim for grant of disability element of disability pension was rejected in terms of Regulation 153 of the Pension Regulations for the Indian Air Force, 1961 (Part-I) which provides that *"Unless otherwise specifically provided, disability pension may be granted to an individual who is invalided*

from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service and is assessed at 20% or over”.

He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings and we find that the questions which need to be answered are of two folds :-

- (a) Whether the disabilities of applicant are attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service?
- (b) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off of his disability element of disability pension?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).

29.2. *A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].*

29.3. *The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).*

29.4. *If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)].*
[pic]

29.5. *If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].*

29.6. *If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."*

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disabilities “**SEIZURE DISORDER**”, ‘**PRIMARY HYPERTENSION**’ and ‘**NIDDM**’ are neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by Air Force service on the ground of constitutional in nature and not connected with service, therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability element of disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for

denying disability pension to applicant is cryptic, not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Even peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous Air Force training and associated stress and strain of Air Force service. Although the first disability is a mental disorder but considering that the applicant was enrolled in Indian Air Force on 07.08.1963 and the first disability has started after more than 33 years of service on 20.12.1997 and the second and third disabilities have started after more than 35 years of Air Force service i.e. on 13.02.1999 we are of the opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and all the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by Air Force service.

8. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10th December 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.

6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.

7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.

8. This Court grants six weeks’ time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us.”

9. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War

Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

10. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (supra)*** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017(01)/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability element of disability pension @40% for two years to be rounded off to 50% for two years may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his discharge.

11. Since the applicant's RMB was valid for two years w.e.f. 31.01.2001, hence, the respondents will now have to conduct a fresh Re-Survey Medical Board for him to decide his future eligibility to disability element of disability pension.

12. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 805 of 2023** deserves to be partly allowed, hence, **partly allowed**. The impugned order, rejecting the applicant's claim for the grant of disability element of disability pension, is set aside. All the three disabilities of the applicant are held as aggravated by Air Force service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element of disability pension @40% for two years which would be rounded off to 50% for two years from the next date of his discharge. Respondents are directed to grant disability element of disability

pension to the applicant @40% for two years which would stand rounded off to 50% for two years from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to conduct a Re-Survey Medical Board for the applicant to assess his further entitlement of disability element of disability pension. Respondents are further directed to give effect to the order within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order failing which the respondents shall have to pay interest @ 8% per annum till the date of actual payment.

13. No order as to costs.

14. Master Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents orally submitted to grant Leave to Appeal against the above order which we have considered and no point of law of general public importance being involved in the case the plea is rejected.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

Dated : 19 January, 2024

AKD/-