

Court No. 1**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW****ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 1231 of 2023**Tuesday, this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024**“Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”****“Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)”**MS-16085L, Lt. Col. Vinod Kumar Singh, Village & Post Office-  
Patihata, Tehsil- Chunar, District – Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh -231304.**..... Applicant**Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri J.L. Joel**, Advocate.  
Applicant

Versus

1. Union of India, through the Secretary, Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence, South Block, New Delhi – 110011.
2. Chief of Army Staff, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi -110011.
3. Integrated HQ of MoD (Army), Adjutant General’s Branch, Addl. Dte General Manpower, ORO/MP-7/Adjudication, West Block III, R.K. Puram, New Delhi -110066.
4. The Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Draupadi Ghat, Allahabad (U.P) -211014.

**.....Respondents**Ld. Counsel for the : **Shri Ashish Kumar Singh**, Advocate  
Respondents. Central Govt. Standing Counsel

**ORDER**

**“Per Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)”**

1. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for the following reliefs :-

- A. *To quash or set aside Respondents’ letter bearing No. MS-16085L/ AMC/ MPRS (O) / 48/ 2023/AG/ MP (ORO) dated 31.03.2023 intimating about the initial rejection and/or.*
- B. *Direct the Respondents to place on record the Final Release Medical Board of the Applicant which has been denied to the Applicant and/or.*
- C. *To direct the Respondents to grant Disability Pension which includes the service as well as disability element to the Applicant from the date of release from service with effect from 18.06.2023 and to pay arrears along with rounding off benefits @50% as per rules with suitable rate of interest as deemed fit and proper by this Hon’ble Tribunal and/or.*
- D. *Any other relief as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit in the interest of justice and good conscience.*

2. Briefly stated, applicant was commissioned in the Army Medical Corps of Indian Army on 19.06.2009 as Short Service Commissioned Officer and released on 18.06.2023 (AN) in Low Medical Category after completion of contractual period. At the time of release from service, the Release Medical Board (RMB) held at Military Hospital, Jhansi on 14.01.2023 assessed his disability ‘**TYPE-2 DIABETES MELLITUS (E-11)**’ @ 20% for life and opined the disability to be neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service. The applicant’s claim for grant of

disability pension was rejected vide letter dated 31.03.2023. The applicant preferred First Appeal dated 10.01.2023 but of no avail. It is in this perspective that the applicant has preferred the present Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of commissioned, the applicant was found mentally and physically fit for service in the Army and there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of commissioned in Army. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by Military Service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the applicant be granted disability pension (service element as well as disability element) and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that disability of the applicant @ 20% for life has been regarded as NANA by the RMB, hence as per Regulation 37 (a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that *“An Officer who retires on attaining the prescribed age of retirement or on completion of tenure, if found suffering on retirement, from a disability which is either attributable to or aggravated by military service and so recorded by Release Medical Board, may be granted in addition to the retiring pension admissible, a disability element from the date of retirement if the degree of disability is*

*accepted at 20% or more” and as per Regulation 81 (a) of the Pension Regulations for the Army, 2008 (Part-I) which provides that “Service personnel who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by such service may, be granted a disability pension consisting of service element and disability element in accordance with the Regulations in this section”, the applicant is not entitled to disability pension. He pleaded for dismissal of the Original Application.*

5. We have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant as also Ld. Counsel for the respondents. We have also gone through the Release Medical Board proceedings as well as the records and we find that the questions which need to be answered are three folds:-

- (a) Whether the disability of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by Military Service?
- (b) Whether Short Service Commissioned Officers are entitled for the grant of disability pension?
- (c) Whether the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off the disability pension?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others***, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the

Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

*"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).*

*29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].*

*29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).*

*29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]*

*29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].*

*29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical*

*Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."*

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the RMB has denied attributability to the applicant only by endorsing that the disability '**TYPE-2 DIABETES MELLITUS (E-11)**' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by service on the ground of onset of disability on 09.11.2020 while posted in Peace location (Jhansi), therefore, applicant is not entitled to disability pension. However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that this reasoning of Release Medical Board for denying disability pension to applicant is not convincing and doesn't reflect the complete truth on the matter. Peace Stations have their own pressure of rigorous military training and associated stress and strain of military service. The applicant was commissioned in Indian Army on 19.06.2009 and the disability has started after more than 10 years of Army service i.e. on 09.11.2020. We are therefore of the considered opinion that the benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of ***Dharamvir Singh vs Union of India & Ors*** (supra), and the disability of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service.

8. Further, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi

letter No. 1(9)/2006/D(Pen-C) dated 30.08.2006 and letter No.16(01)/2012-D(Pen-Pol) dated 23.03.2015, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 23 dated 27.05.2015 wherein it is provided that *“in the case of aggravation too, service element of disability pension in respect of non-regular officers would be calculated after taking into account the full commissioned service rendered by them as calculated in the case of Regular Commissioned Officer. As such EC/SSC officers in aggravation cases would also be allowed the benefit of revision w.e.f. 30.08.2006 as allowed to attributable cases vide MoD letter dated 30.08.2006.”*

9. In view of the Circular No. 23 dated 27.05.2015 issued by the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj, even if Short Service Commissioned Officer, the applicant is entitled for disability pension which include disability element as well as service element.

10. The law on the point of rounding off of disability pension is no more RES INTEGRA in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors*** (Civil appeal No 418 of 2012 decided on 10<sup>th</sup> January 2014). In this Judgment the Hon'ble Apex Court nodded in disapproval of the policy of the Government of India in granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension only to the personnel who have been invalided out of service and denying the same to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of

superannuation or on completion of their tenure of engagement. The relevant portion of the decision is excerpted below:-

*“4. By the present set of appeals, the appellant (s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No 1(2)/97/D (Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.*

*5. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties to the lis.*

*6. We do not see any error in the impugned judgment (s) and order(s) and therefore, all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

*7. The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.*

*8. This Court grants six weeks' time from today to the appellant(s) to comply with the orders and directions passed by us.”*

11. Additionally, consequent upon the issue of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D(Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj has issued Circular No. 596 dated 09.02.2018 wherein it is provided that the cases where Armed Forces Pensioners who were



retired/discharged voluntary or otherwise with disability and they were in receipt of Disability/War Injury Element as on 31.12.2015, their extent of disability/War Injury Element shall be re-computed in the manner given in the said Circular which is applicable with effect from 01.01.2016.

12. As such, in view of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & (supra)*** as well as Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 17(01)/2017/D (Pen/Policy) dated 23.01.2018, we are of the considered view that benefit of rounding off of disability pension (service element as well as disability element) @20% for life, which include service element as well as disability element, to be rounded off to 50% for life may be extended to the applicant from the next date of his release.

13. In view of the above, the **Original Application No. 1231 of 2023** deserves to be allowed, hence **allowed**. The impugned order, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability pension, is set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability pension (service element as well as disability element) @20% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his release. The respondents are directed to grant disability pension (service element as well as disability element) to the applicant @20% for life, which include service element as well as disability element, which would stand rounded off to 50% for life, from the next date of his release. The respondents are further directed to give

effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

14. No order as to costs.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

Dated : 28 May, 2024

Ashok/AKD/-